Annex P

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

Sheila Marie C. Lemos

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
3. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.52	x 70%	3.16
4. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.58 x 30%		1.37
	TOTAL NUM	IERICAL RATING	4.53

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Very Satisfactory

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

SHELLA MARIE C. LEMOS

Name of Staff

WOLFREDA T. ALESNA

Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

President

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, SHEILA MARIE C. LEMOS, of the Information Office, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January 1 to June 30, 2016.

SHEILA MARIE C. LEMOS Ratee Approved:

WOLFREDA T. ALESNA

Head of Unit

				D			1		
MFO & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Details of Actual Accomplishment	Q¹	E ²	T³	A ⁴	Remarks
Documentation and publication services	100% activities/events documented and published by week	Assists in the documentation of university activities and archiving of photos	100% of university activities documented	100% of university activities documented	5	5	5	5.00	
	100% archiving of pictures for future refernce by month and year	Archiving of photos	8,000	2,941	5	4	4	4.33	
Information services	Number of issues produced and distributed to units/clients in one day	Gather data and write articles for the Obelisk	100 articles	30 articles	5/	3	3	3.67	
	1	Distribution of copies of the Obelisk to all key offices, centers, institutes, colleges, departments, units	100 clients	238 clients	5	4	4	4.33	
		Distribution of copies of the Obelisk to different agencies, offices, schools, thru mailing by the Records Section	100 clients	108 clients	5	4	4	4.33	

Support Services									
Administrative function		T	30	121	5	5	5	5.00	
		Preparation of official documents such as vouchers,							
		PRs, POs, etc. and follow-up							
		of documents released							
Efficient and customer		Provide efficient and	No complaints	No complaints	5	5	5	5.00	
friendly frontline service		courteous frontline service							
				,					
Total Over-all Rating					25	20	20	31.66	
					Comments Purpose:	& Recomm	nendations f	or Develop	nent
Average Rating (Total Over entries)	-all rating divided by # of			4.52	ruipose.				
Additional Points:									
Punctuality									
Approved Additional po	ints (with copy of approval)				1				
FINAL RATING				4.52					
ADJECTIVAL RATING				Very Satisfactory	<u> </u>				
Deserved by		Calibrated by	Recommending Approval:	Approved:					
Received by:		Calibrated by:	Recommending Approval.	Approved.					
REDEMPTA L. SORIA		REMBERTO A. PATINDOL		EDGANDO E. TULIN					
Planning Office		Chairman, PMT		President					
g onice				/					
Data		Data	Data	Date					
Date:		Date:	Date:	Date:					

Annex O

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January-June 2016

Name of Staff: Lemos, Sheila Marie C. Position: Administrative Aide III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale **Descriptive Rating Qualitative Description** The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The 5 Outstanding staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements 4 Very Satisfactory 3 Satisfactory The performance meets job requirements The performance needs some development to meet job 2 Fair requirements.

The staff fails to meet job requirements

Poor

A.	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		5	Scale	Э	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3	3 Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay				2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	. Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks			3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1

	Total Score					55					
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)				Scale							
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1					
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1					
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1					
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1					
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1					
	Total Score										
Average Score			4.58								

Overall recommendation	:	

WOLFREDA T. ALESNA Name of Head

MA