COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

Leopoldo P. Igot

	Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.66	70%	3.262
2	Supervisor/Head's Assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishment	4.50	30%	1.35
		TOTAL NUMERICAL R	ATING	4.612

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING:

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Prepared by:

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA Immediate Supervisor 4.612

4.612

4.612

Outstanding

Recommending Approval:

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA Director, GSD

Approved:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Vice President

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, <u>LEOPOLDO P. IGOT</u> of the <u>GENERAL SERVICES DIVISION</u> commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period: <u>July-December 2019</u>

LEOPOLDO P. IGOT

Ratee

Approved:

ARIO LILIO VALENZONA
Unit Head

	Success Inditors	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual	Rating				Remarks	
MFO & Performance Indicators				Accomplish ment	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	Remarks	
MFO1: Performance Indicators	PI-1 Completed repairs	various repair of Buildings	140	145	5	5	4	4.67		
MFO2: Furnitures Works	P2- 1- Completed repairs and fabrication	various repair and fabraication of furnitures	100	110	5	5	4	4.67		
Total Over-all Rating								9.33		

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)	4.665	Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:				
Additional Points: Punctuality:						
Approved Additional point (with copy of approval)		pasac occupational safety				
FINAL RATING	4.665	Ja Hearn Tourney				
ADJECTIVAL RATING	0					

Evaluate & Rated by:

Recommending Approval:

Approved by:

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA

Director, GSD

REMBERTO À. PATINDOL
Vice President

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

	Rating Period:	July-Dec. 2019
Name of Staff: LEOPOLDO P. IGOT	Position: Adm.	Aide -V

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description					
5	()utetanding 1 .	performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exception				utputs	3
4	Very Satisfactory The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements						-
3		performance meets job requirements					
2		performance needs some development to meet job requirement	ents.		-		
1		staff fails to meet job requirements					-
	ent (both for subordinates and su			5	Scale)	-
		s needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting	G				
1	business with the office fulfilling ar		(3	4	3	2	
2	Makes self-available to clients eve	en beyond official time	5	(4)	3	2	
	Submits urgent non-routine repor	ts required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM,					
3	CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and	similar regulatory agencies within specified time by	5	(4)	3	2	
	rendering overtime work even with						
4	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/	her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the	-	1	2	2	T
4	prescribed time.		5	(4)	3	2	
5	Commits himself/herself to help at	tain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees	(B)	4	2	2	T
5	who fail to perform all assigned ta	sks	(5)	4	3	2	
6	Regularly reports to work on time,	logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on	(F)	4	2	2	Γ
0	personal matters and logs out upo	on departure from work.	(5)	4	3	2	
7	Keeps accurate records of her wo	rk which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	(4)	3	2	
8	Suggests new ways to further imp	rove her work and the services of the office to its clients	(5)	4	3	2	T
^		by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is					H
9	not related to his position but critic	cal towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	(4)	3	2	
		n periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of	0				
10		at further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction	(5)	4	3	2	
	of clientele						L
11		pens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his	5	4	3	2	
	work accomplishment						L
12	Willing to be trained and develope		(5)	4	3	2	L
		Total Score		54			
B. L		pervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor			Scale)	
		tise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and	5	4	3	2	
1	confidence from subordinates and			7	3		L
		ategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department	5	4	3	9	
2	aligned to that of the overall plans	of the university.	5	4	3	2	
	Innovates for the purpose of impro	oving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational					
3		partment/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	
	Accents accountability for the over	rall performance and in delivering the output required of					H
4	his/her unit.	rail performance and in delivering the output required of	5	4	3	2	
4							L
-		coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved					
5		complishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment	5	4	3	2	
	of the calibrated targets of the unit						L
		Total Score					
		Average Score	Δ	1.5			

Overall recommendation

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA
Director, GSD

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: Leopoldo P. Igot Performance Rating: Outstanding
Aim: Efficient delivery of Service
Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:
Date: Jaly 2019 Target Date: Mg. 2019
First Step:
Result: Attend TESDA trainings
Date: October 2019 Target Date: October 2019
Next Step:
Outcome:
Final Step/Recommendation:
Prepared by:
MARIO LILIO VALENZONA Supervisor

Conform:

LEOPOLDO P. IGOT
Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff