

OFFICE THE HEAD OF PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AND REWARDS & RECOGNITION

Visca Baybay City, Leyte 6521-A, Philippines Phone/Fax: 565-0600 local 563-7323 Email Address: preo@vsu.edu.ph Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Annex P

Name of Administrative Staff:

TEODOMERO C. RATILLA

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.75	70%	3.32
Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	5.00	30%	1.50
	TOTAL NUM	MERICAL RATING	4.82

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:		4.82
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:		4.82
FINAL NUMERICAL RATING	4.82	_
ADJECTIVAL RATING:	-	OUTSTANDING

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

TEODOMERO C. RATILLA Name of Staff

ULYSSES A. CAGASAN Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

VICTOR B. ASIC

Approved:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS

Vice President

"EXHIBIT B"

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, TEODOMERO C. RATILLA, of the DEPARTMENT OF AGRONOMY commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with

the indicated measures for the period July 1, 2020 to December 31, 2020.

Approved:

ULYSSES A. CAGASAN

TEODOMERO C. RATILLA

School Farm Demonstrator

VICTOR B. ASIO

College Dean Department Head

Date:

Date:

Date: E² T^3 A4 Q^1 Accomplishment Remarks Tasks Assigned MFO & PAPs **Success Indicators** Target Actual No. of rice varieties planted 3 5 5 5 5.00 Administrative 2 2 5 5 5 5.00 No. of corn varieties planted Support 3 3 5 5 5 5.00 Services No. of perennial crops planted and maintained 2 2 4 4 4 4.00 No. of legume crops planted & maintained Supervise, monitor farm operations related to instruction, research, extension and 2 2 . 4 4 4 4.00 No. of production projects maintained production activities 2 2 4 4 4.00 No. of croppings conducted 7 7 4 4 4 4.00 No. of annual crops planted and maintained 5.00 Quantity of rice seeds produced (kg) 800 1436 5 5 5 4.00 60 96 4 4 4 Quantity of corn seeds produced (kg) 750 1396 5 5 5 5.00 Quantity of rice seeds released (kg) 20 96 5 5 5 5.00 Quantity of corn seeds released (kg) Issue and release seeds to buyers/students 5 50 57 5 5 5.00 No. of clients served 69560.00 5 5 5 5.00 25000.00 Income generated Issues needed farm supplies and materials 5 5 5 5.00 No. of laboratory classes assisted 6 10 5 10 5 5 5 5.00 No. of student research assisted Supervise laborers in the field 5 5 5 5.00 1 1 No. of laborers supervised 5 5 5.00 Prepare periodic project reports 3 3 5 No. of project reports prepared and submitted 5 5.00 No. of farm tools/implements requested and procured Request farm tools/implements/ supplies 4 6 5 5 and materials 5 5 5 5.00 No. of farm supplies (fertilizers, etc) procured 5 5 5 5 5.00 Perform other tasks from time to time 2 3 No. of other assigned tasks performed on time 95.00 Total Over-all Rating

tcratilla...

Average Rating	4.75

Additional Points:		
Punctuality		
Approved Additional Points (with copy of approval)		
FINAL RATING		4.75
ADJECTIVAL RATING	Outstanding	

ADJECTIVAL RATING	(Outstanding	
Comments & Recommendations for Deve	elopment Purpose:	if od fr intaction	Purposes
Evaluated & Rated by: ULYSSES A. CAGASAN Dept/Unit Head Date:		VICTOR B. ASIO Dean/Director Date:	Approved by: BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS VP for Academic Affit Date: 1/21/21
1- Quality 2- Efficiency 3-	- Timeliness	4- Average	



OFFICE THE HEAD OF PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AND REWARDS & RECOGNITION

Visca Baybay City, Leyte 6521-A, Philippines Phone/Fax: 565-0600 local 563-7323 Email Address: prpeo@vsu.edu.ph Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: July to December 2020

Name of Staff: TEODOMERO C. RATILLA Position: SCHOOL FARM DEMONSTRATOR

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus

using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. (Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		(Scale	е	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	(5)	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	(5)	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	(5)	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	(5)	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	3	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	(5)	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	(5)	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	1 1	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	(3)	4	3	2	1

(5)		Cool		
A	Scale			
0	4	3	2	1
5	4	3	2	1
(5)	4	3	2	1
5	4	3	2	1
(5)	4	3	2	1
Tel	9			
	V			

ULYSSES A. CAGASAN

Printed Name and Signature

Head of Office

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: <u>TEODOMERO C. RATILLA</u>

Performance Rating: OUTSTANDING

Aim: To sustain the outstanding rating

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance

Date: January 2021

Target Date: December 2021

First Step:

To attend trainings and seminars to improve skills and be able to assess TESDA-

related courses

Result:

Attended and satisfactorily passed the TM training in TESDA

Target Date: December 2022

Next Step:

To register as one of the TESDA assessor in Agricultural Crop Production

Outcome: Become one of the TESDA assessors in Agricultural Crop Production

Final Step/Recommendation:

Maintain production for income generating project of the university and supervision of student researchers (Thesis) in the department and become TESDA assessor

Prepared by:

Unit Head

Conforme:

TEODOMERO C. RATILLA Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff