

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff: Maria Verjie Q. Subere

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.8	70	3.36
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.7	30	1.41
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			4.77

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.77

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Outstanding

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

MARIA VERJIE Q. SUBERE
Name of Staff

OTHELLO B. CAPUNO
Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL
Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN
President

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, **Maria Verjje Q. Subere**, of the **Office of the Vice President for Research and Extension** - Office of the Director for Research commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period **July 1 to December 31, 2017**.


MARIA VERJJE Q. SUBERE
Ratee

Approved:


OTHELLO B. CAPONO
Head of Unit

MFO & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Rating				Remarks
					Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	
Efficient & customer-friendly assistance	90% of office visitors and queries ushered/attended efficiently		50	100	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	
Research administrative support services	Number of clientele served/registered during the Farmers Field Day	Assist/ facilitate registration of participants and the conduct of the raffle draw. Purchase and preparation of registration materials and raffle prizes	1,800	2,093	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	
	Number of Powerpoint presentations prepared		1	4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	
	Number of communication, correspondence, prepared and released	Draft preparation, reproduction and ready for release. Intranet messaging for information dissemination	50	70	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	
Scientific fora (Capability building)	Number of scientific fora hosted/ coordinated /facilitated	Prepare: program, backdrop, communication, letter requests, certificates, attendance sheet; Facilitate: documentation,	6	12	5.0	4.9	4.9	4.93	

		evaluation forms	1	1					
		Search for Best Paper	1	2					
		In-House Review	2	7					
		Seminar Series	1	1					
		Regional Symposium	1	1					
		Layout, production and facilitate distribution of certificates	30	50	5.0	4.8	4.7	4.83	
		Layout, production and facilitate distribution of programs	10	100	5.0	5.0	4.9	4.97	
Monitoring and Evaluation		Review individual faculty workload	100	171	4.9	4.7	4.5	4.70	
		Review individual leave status	10	45	4.9	4.7	4.5	4.70	
Project Monitoring, evaluation and review in the Implementation of Research Programs/ projects/ studies		Assists in the monitoring and evaluation of the university's R & D programs and activities: Prepare: Evaluator's Kit and Evaluation Forms; Facilitate : distribution of papers under review, documentation,data consolidation	100	130	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.77	
		Assist in the production of R & D related review materials, program preparation, draft communication, result consolidation, facilitate documentation and compilation of papers	10	150	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	
		Number of reviews (AIHR, Search for Best Paper, regional symposia) coordinated/ facilitated/ assisted							

[illegible]

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)		
Additional Points:		
Punctuality		
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)		
FINAL RATING		4.80
ADJECTIVAL RATING		

Comments & Recommendations
for Development Purpose:

Received by: 
Planning Office

Calibrated by: 
REMBERTO A. PATINDOL
PMT

Recommending Approval: 
OTHELLO B. CAPUNO
Vice President

Approved by: 
EDGARDO E. TUIN
President

Date: _____
1 – quality
2 – Efficiency
3 – Timeliness
4 – Average

Date: _____

Date: _____

Date: _____

Annex O

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: July - December, 2017

Name of Staff: Maria Verjie Q. Subere

Position: Science Research Asst.


Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score		57 = 4.75				

B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		Scale				
1. Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1	
2. Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1	
3. Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1	
4. Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1	
5. Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1	
Total Score						
Average Score		4.70				

Overall recommendation : _____


OTHELLO B. CAPUNO
 Head of Office