Annex P

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

ARMANDO P. ALBARICO

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.467	x 70%	3.127
Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.176	x 30%	1.253
	TOTAL NUM	MERICAL RATING	4.380

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.380

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

4 200

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.380

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Very Satisfactory

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

ARMANDO P. ALBARICO

Name of Staff

ARTHURIT. TAMBONG, FPSAE

Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL, Ph.D.

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

Dragidant



College of Engineering Visayas State University

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL ENGINEERING



Visca, Baybay City, Leyte

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, ARMANDO P. ALBARICO, Staff of the Department of Agricultural Engineering, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following accomplishments in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January to June, 2017.

ARMANDO P. ALBARICO

Administrative Assistant III Date: August 8, 2017 IN CHAMATRON ON BETWEEN THE THE THE THE WELL WILL THE WELL CONDANGED FOR THE WELL THE WELL CONDANGED FOR THE WELL THE WELL CONDANGED FOR THE WELL T

ARTHUR IT. TAMBONG Department Head

Date: August 8, 2017

5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory Rating Equivalents:

3 - Satisfactory 2 - Fair

	Remark							4.3 Postharvest and Abaca	Processing Laboratory		
	Average	5 4.7						4.3			
Rating	zsəniləmiT	2						4			
Ra	Efficiency	4						4			
	Quality	2						2			
	Accomplishment (Jan - June accomplishment)	2						2			
	Target	2						2			
	Tasks Assigned	Spearheaded meetings	of the Building and	Lawn Maintenance	Committee of the	College		Maintenance and	operation		
	Program/ Activities/ Projects	Spearheaded	e e	_	Maintenance	fthe	College	Maintenance and	operation		
	MFO Descrip- Success/Performance No. tion Indicator (PI)	PI 2. No. of management Spearheaded	meetings conducted	,				PI 4. Number of	academic	lecture/laboratory rooms	maintained
	MFO Descrip- tion	MFO 6 General	Admin. &	Support	Services	(GASS)					
	MFO No.	MFO 6									

	PI 5. Number of heavy	Maintenance	Maintenance	-	-	2	4	4	3.	4.3 Tractor
	equipment maintained									
	PI 10. Efficient and	Service	Served clients with	Zero	Zero	2	2	5	5.0	
	customer-friendly		courtesy; immediate	complaint	complaint					
	frontline service		response to client	from clients	from clients					
			needs and inquiries							
	PI 11. Additional Outputs									
	Number of Oil	Maintenance and Maintenance and	Maintenance and	2	2	4	4	4	O.	4.0 Plant Oil Technology
	Processing Equipment	operation	operation					_	U	Center equipment
	maintained						7	-	1	
	Number of Postharvest	Maintenance and Maintenance and	Maintenance and	2	2	4	4	4	0.	4.0 Plant Oil Technology
	Equipment maintained	operation	operation						0	Center equipment
	No. of dispatched trips		Conduct and fetch	10	15	2	4	5	7.	4.7 Dr. RCGuarte Trips
	driven safely and		passengers inside and							
	passandars conduct to	*	outside VSII campiis							
	thoir doctinotion within		outside voo campus					-		
	uleil desulladol widill									
	specified time.					1	+	+	+	
	Number of vehicle	Maintain and		7	7	4	4	4	0	4.0 Strada and protos canter
	repaired and maintained	repair College								
		vehicles								
	Number of committee	Chairman of the	Supervise and plan	-	_	2	4	4	4.3	
	handled	Building & Lawn								
		Maintenance								
		Committee of the					-	_		
		Coll. of Eng'g.						_		
Number of Performance Indicators Filled-up	ce Indicators Filled-up						4			
Total Over-all Rating							18.333	33		
Average Rating							4.467	7		
Adjectival Rating						Very	Very Satisfactory	facto	buy	
							l	l		

Recommending Approval:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS, Ph.D. Vice Pres. for Instruction Date:

Approved:

EDGARDON TULIN, Ph.D. President

Date:

Calibrated by:
REMBERTO A. PATINDOL, Ph.D.

Date:

Chairman, PMT

Planning Officer

Date:

Received by:

Annex O

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

	Rating Perio	od:	Jan- J	une 2017	_		
Name of Staff:	Armando 1	P.	Albarieo	Position: _	Admin.	test.	III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A.	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		9	Scale)	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	(3)	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	(5)	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	(5)	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	3	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele		4	B	2	1

11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	3)	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score					
B.	Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		5	Scale	9	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	(5)	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	(3)	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	(4)	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	1	(4)	3	2	1
	Total Score	5	1			
	Average Score-	+	>	4.	176	

Name of Head/\$upervisor

Overall recommendation

2