COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

TERESITA G. MANATAD

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.77	4.77 x 70%	3.33
Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.66	4.66x 30%	1.39
	TOTAL NUM	IERICAL RATING	4.72

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.72

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

0.00

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.72

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

OUTSTANDING

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

TERESITA G. MANATAD Admin. Aide VI

MYRNA S. PANCITO Head, Budget Office

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

President

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

MYRNA S. PANCITO 1, TERESITA G. MANATAD, of the Budget Office commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period July to December 31, 2017.

TERESITA G. MANATAD Trans.

Approved:

		Ratee				9		200	lead of other	
		4		Percentage of	Details of Actual		Ra	Rating		
MFO & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Accomplishment as of Dec. 31, 2013	Accomplishment	ō	E3	7	A4	Remark
Certified Financial Documents/Reports	Number of transaction recorded/encoded right after receipt of documents, error free	Records/Encodes daily, obligated Purchase Orders, Vouchers & Payrolls under Special Trust Fund Accounts to BAOM	95% : 2000 documents under STF	175%	3511	in	5	4	4.67	
7	Number of sub-allotment & projects controlled 2 days upon receipt, error free	office/center/dept . augmentation : and encode income from STF o Registry of Receipts & Obligations / Ledger of curent and prior years	95%: 2500 documents under STF & income recorded to 176 proj/mo.	195%	4,894	in	S	4	4.67	
	Number of documents obligated, Obligates vouchers, purchase or 2 days upon receipt, error free payrolls and files BUR & vouchers.	ders and ers copy under	95% :2500documents obligated & filed under	140%	3511 documents under STF	r.	2	4	4.67	
	Number of documents earmarked, 2 days upon receipt,	Orders, Contract of services, lests, RIS and fund transfer	90% :1200 document earmarks	115%	1383 documents	5	2	4	4.67	
	Number of status of funds monthly, quarterly and year-end status prepared within	Number of status of funds Prepares monthly, Quarterly & year-end status 15 internal reports monthly, quarterly and year-end of funds under Fund 164 (STF) projects status prepared within	15 internal reports	106%	16	2	2	2	5.00	
Administrative Support services and	Efficient & customer-Friendly Frontline Service	Entertain clients and observe no noon break policy	Zero percent complaint from clients served	100%	100% Zero percent complaint	ıs.	S	150	5.00	
Management Total Over-all Rating						30	30	26	28.67	
Average Rating (Total Ov	Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by # of entries)				4.77	Comi	nents 8	Reco	Comments & Recommendations	ations
Additional Points:						forD	evelops	nent i	for Development Purpose:	
Punctuality										
Approved Additional	Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)					_				
FINAL RATING					4.77	_				
ADJECTIVAL RATING										

GEORES MA L'ES WINIMIO UN thou, paper Received by:

Calibrated by:

KCM NE RED ANTINVOL

3- timeliness

Date:

Vice President, Admin & Finance REMODER ON ANTIMODIC

Date:

Date:

Date:

2 - efficiency

1 - quality

4 - average

Annex O

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period:July 1 – December 31	, 2017		
Name of Staff: Teresita G. Manatad	Position:	_Admin. Aide VI	

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description		
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model		
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements		
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements		
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.		
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements		

A.	A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)				Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	(5)	4	3	2	1			
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	(5)	4	3	2	1			
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1			
2.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	(5)	4	3	2	1			
3.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	(5)	4	3	2	1			
4.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1			
5.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1			
6.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	6	4	3	2	1			
7	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	(5)	4	3	2	1			
8	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1			
9.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	0	3	2	1			
10	Willing to be trained and developed	(5)	4	3	2	1			

Total Score						
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)			Scale			
 Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors 	5	4	3	2	1	
Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1	
 Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients. 	5	4	3	2	1	
 Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit. 	5	4	3	2	1	
Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1	
Total Score	9.					
Average Score	4(ماد				

Overall recommendation		
		MYRNA S. PANCITO
		MYRNA S. PANCITO
	-	Name of Head