COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:	Marilou L. Sta. Iglesia

	Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1.	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.79	70%	3.35
2.	Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.83	30%	1.44
		TOTAL NUM	IERICAL RATING	4.79

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4.79
Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4.79
FINAL NUMERICAL RATING	4.79
ADJECTIVAL RATING:	Outstanding

Prepared by:

ARILOU L'STA. IGLESIA

Name of Staff

Reviewed by:

OTHELLO B. CAPUNO Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

Approved:

JOSE L. BACUSMO Dean/Director

Vice President

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

gree

	Cussons Indicators	Toward	Actual			ting			
MFO and PAPs	FO and PAPs Success Indicators		Target	Accomplishment	Q ¹	E²	T ³	A⁴	Remarks
Research Administration	100% of administrative	Receives/ records			5	5	5	5	
Services	documents approved/acted	appointments of casuals,							
	within one day from receipt	contractuals,	300	450					
	within one day nom receipt	project/study leaders;,	100	200	1				
		travel orders,	300	500		1			
		leave applications,	40	60	1				
		CSRs &DTRs,	35	50		ł			
		leave status,	15	25	į				
		faculty workloads,	110	300	İ				
		clearances,	30	35					
		reimbursements/liquidation							
		of cash advances/PRs, RIS,							
		vouchers;	60	95	ł	1			
		OICship and	45	50		1	1		
		official communications	20	30					
		Prepares appointment of			5	4	5	4.66	
		casuals/contractuals/Job				l			
		Orders;	40	50					
		payrolls,	50	60			ł		
		vouchers,	30	35		ļ	į		
		RIS,	15	32	l	1	1		i
		PRs,	30	35					
		PJRs,	15	21			1		
		Trip tickets,	25	30		1	1		
		POs,	5	10			1		
		Travel documents,	25	30	1		1		
		OICship,	15	20					
		Application for Leave,	25	30					
		Liquidation,	10	15			ł		

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)		4.79
Additional Points:		
Punctuality	XX	
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)	XX	
FINAL RATING	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4.79
ADJECTIVAL RATING		Outstanding

Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:

Eva	luated	&	Rated	by	/ :
-----	--------	---	-------	----	------------

Recommending Approval:

Approved by:

Director, Research

Vice President\R & E

1 – Quality 2 – Efficiency 3 – Timeliness

4 – Average

Date:_

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: <u>July – December 2018</u>

Name of Staff: <u>Marilou L. Sta. Iglesia</u> Position: <u>Adm. Aide III</u>

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A.	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)			Scal	е	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	6)	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	9	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	B	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	(D	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	(3)	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(3)	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	3	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	I & \	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for	5	0	3	2	1

	improvement of his work accomplishment						
12.	Willing to be trained and developed		(5)	4	3	2	1
		Total Score	5	9			
1	_eadership & Management (For supervisors only supervisor)	to be rated by higher			Scale	Э	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas and confidence from subordinates and that of high		5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and spendifice/department aligned to that of the overall plant		5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficience operational processes and functions of the satisfaction of clients.		5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance required of his/her unit.	e and in delivering the output	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and nimproved efficiency and effectiveness in accomneeded for the attainment of the calibrated targets	plishing their assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
		Total Score					
		Average Score	l	۲, ٤	03		

Overall recommendation

Very good worker!!! Keep it up!!!

Name of Head

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: <u>Marilou L. Sta. Iglesia</u> Performance Rating: <u>Outstanding</u>
Aim:To have a smooth and efficient office operations
Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:
Date: June 1, 2018 Target Date: December 31, 2018
First Step:
1. To come up with a systematic recording of documents.
2. To attend a training on data management system.
Result:
1 Systematic recording of documents achieved.
Date: _January 1, 2019_ Target Date: _June 30, 2019_ Next Step:
Application of data based management system.
Outcome: <u>Efficient office operations.</u>
Final Step/Recommendation:
Renew services but with close guidance.
Prepared by: OTHELLOB. CAPUNO Unit Head
Conforme: MARILOU L. STA. IGLESIA Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff

my!