



COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Annex P

Name of Administrative Staff: **ARGIE P. SINGSON**

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.48	70%	3.136
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.666	30%	1.399
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			4.535

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.535

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:


TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.535

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING 4.535

ADJECTIVAL RATING: Very Satisfactory

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:


ARGIE P. SINGSON
Name of Staff


MARIO LILIO VALENZONA
Head, BHMU

Recommending Approval:


MARLON G. BURLAS
OIC, Director, PPO

Approved:


REMBERTO A. PATINDOL
Vice President

Vision:

A globally competitive university for science, technology, and environmental conservation.

Mission:

Development of a highly competitive human resource, cutting-edge scientific knowledge and innovative technologies for sustainable communities and environment.

Page 1 of 1

FM-PRO-13

v1 05-27-2020

No.

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, **ARGIE P. SINGSON** of the **WATER AND SEWERAGE SYSTEM MAINTAINANCE UNIT** commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period: **JULY TO DECEMBER 2020**

Approved:

ARGIE P. SINGSON
Ratee

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA
Head, WSSMU

[illegible]

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)		4.48	Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose: BOST TRAINING / SEMINARS
Additional Points:			
Punctuality:			
Approved Additional point (with copy of approval)			
FINAL RATING		4.48	
ADJECTIVAL RATING			

Evaluate & Rated by:

Recommending Approval:

Approved by:

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA

Supervisor

Date: _____

1-quality

2-Efficiency

3-Timeliness

4-Average

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA

Director, GSD

Date: _____

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

VP. For Adm. Finance

Date: _____



Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: July- December 2020

Name of Staff: ARGIE P. SINGSON

Position: Plumbing Foreman

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements


A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1

Vision:
Mission:

A globally competitive university for science, technology, and environmental conservation.
Development of a highly competitive human resource, cutting-edge scientific knowledge and innovative technologies for sustainable communities and environment.

Total Score						26
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)						Scale
1. Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1	
2. Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1	
3. Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1	
4. Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1	
5. Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1	
Total Score						
Average Score						4.666

Overall recommendation : _____


MARRIO LILIO VALENZONA
 Printed Name and Signature
 Head of Office

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: Argie P. Singson

Performance Rating: _____

Aim: Effective Delivery of Service

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:

Date: July 2020 Target Date: August 2020

First Step:

Result:


Date: September 2020 Target Date: October 2020

Next Step:


Outcome: _____

Final Step/Recommendation:

Prepared by:


MARLON G. BURLAS
OIC, Director

Conforme:


ARGIE P. SINGSON
Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff