



**COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF**

**Annex P**

Name of Administrative Staff: REYNA MAE C. CAINTIC

| Particulars<br>(1)                                                                                        | Numerical<br>Rating (2) | Percentage Weight<br>(3) | Equivalent<br>Numerical Rating<br>(2x3) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| 1. Numerical Rating per IPCR                                                                              | 4.85                    | 70%                      | 3.39                                    |
| 2. Supervisor/Head's assessment<br>of his contribution towards<br>attainment of office<br>accomplishments | 4.83                    | 30%                      | 1.4                                     |
| <b>TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING</b>                                                                             |                         |                          | <b>4.83</b>                             |

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.83

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:           

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.83

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING 4.83

ADJECTIVAL RATING: OUTSTANDING

Prepared by:

REYNA MAE C. CAINTIC  
Name of Staff

Reviewed by:

ROSARIO A. SALAS  
Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

VICTOR B. ASIO  
Dean/Director

Approved:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS  
Vice President

**Vision:**

A globally competitive university for science, technology, and environmental conservation.

**Mission:**

Development of a highly competitive human resource, cutting-edge scientific knowledge and innovative technologies for sustainable communities and environment.

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, **REYNA MAE C. CAINTIC**, of the Department of Horticulture commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following Accomplishment in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January to June, 2021.

**REYNA MAE C. CAINTIC**

Ratee

Approved:

**ROSARIO A. SALAS**

Head of Unit

| MFO & PAPs                                  | Success Indicators                                                | Tasks Assigned                                                                                                         | Target     | Actual Accomplishment | Rating         |                |                |                | Remarks |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
|                                             |                                                                   |                                                                                                                        |            |                       | Q <sup>1</sup> | E <sup>2</sup> | T <sup>3</sup> | A <sup>4</sup> |         |
| Research Services                           | No. of research projects maintained/prepared                      | Lead in land and seedling preparation, planning, staking, planting, harvesting of produce.<br><br>Care and maintenance | 2          | 2                     | 4              | 4              | 5              | 4.67           |         |
|                                             | No. of data gathered                                              | Data gathered, recorded, analyzed and tabulated                                                                        | 10         | 10                    | 4              | 5              | 5              | 4.67           |         |
|                                             | No. of reports made submitted                                     | Submitted reports                                                                                                      | 3          | 3                     | 4              | 5              | 4              | 5              |         |
|                                             | No. of laborers managed/supervised in the nursery                 | Supervised laborers                                                                                                    | 1          | 2                     | 4              | 4              | 5              | 5              |         |
| Other tasks in support to research services | No. of reports made for STF Project Locally Grown Vegetable Seeds | Submitted reports                                                                                                      | 6          | 6                     | 5              | 5              | 5              | 5              |         |
| Other functions in support to instruction   | No of student activities assisted                                 | Hold class when concerned instructor is on travel<br><br>Proctor in examinations                                       | 1<br><br>2 | 1                     | 5              | 4              | 4              | 5              |         |
|                                             | No. of laboratories maintained                                    | Maintained the seed technology laboratory                                                                              | 1          | 1                     | 5              | 5              | 4              | 4.67           |         |
|                                             | No. of communities served as member                               | Member of working committees fir VSU Anniversary                                                                       | 0          |                       |                |                |                |                |         |

|                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |      |  |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|------|--|
|                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |      |  |
| Total Over-all Rating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.85 |  |

|                                                     |  |      |
|-----------------------------------------------------|--|------|
| Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4) |  |      |
| Additional Points:                                  |  |      |
| Punctuality                                         |  |      |
| Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)  |  |      |
| FINAL RATING                                        |  | 4.85 |
| ADJECTIVAL RATING                                   |  | 0    |

**Comments & Recommendations  
for Development Purpose:**

*should attend seminars  
or conference*

Evaluated and Rated by

*Rosal*  
ROSARIO A. SALAS  
Head, DOH

Date: 07/27/21

Recommending Approval:

*Victor*  
VICTOR B. ASIO  
Dean, CAFS

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved:

*Beatriz*  
BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS  
Vice President Instruction

Date: 8/13/21

1 – Quality

2 – Efficiency

3 – Timeliness

4 – Average



## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January- June 2021

Name of Staff: REYNA MAE C. CAIN TIC

Position: SCIENCE RESEARCH ASSISTANT

**Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.**

| Scale | Descriptive Rating | Qualitative Description                                                                                                                                                   |
|-------|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 5     | Outstanding        | The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model |
| 4     | Very Satisfactory  | The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements                                                                                                              |
| 3     | Satisfactory       | The performance meets job requirements                                                                                                                                    |
| 2     | Fair               | The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.                                                                                                          |
| 1     | Poor               | The staff fails to meet job requirements                                                                                                                                  |

| A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors) |                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Scale |   |   |   |   |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|---|---|---|---|
| 1.                                                    | Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.                                                                              | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 2.                                                    | Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time                                                                                                                                                                   | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 3.                                                    | Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 4.                                                    | Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.                                                                                                          | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 5.                                                    | Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks                                                                                       | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 6.                                                    | Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.                                                                        | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 7.                                                    | Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.                                                                                                                                                 | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 8.                                                    | Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients                                                                                                                                 | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 9.                                                    | Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university                             | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 10.                                                   | Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele               | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 11.                                                   | Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment                                                                                                            | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 12.                                                   | Willing to be trained and developed                                                                                                                                                                                         | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

**Vision:**  
**Mission:**

A globally competitive university for science, technology, and environmental conservation.  
Development of a highly competitive human resource, cutting-edge scientific knowledge and innovative technologies for sustainable communities and environment.

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |   |       |   |   |   |  |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|-------|---|---|---|--|
| Total Score                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |   |       |   |   |   |  |
| <b>B. Leadership &amp; Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)</b>                                                                                                                                |   | Scale |   |   |   |  |
| 1. Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors                                                                              | 5 | 4     | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |
| 2. Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.                                                                  | 5 | 4     | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |
| 3. Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.                                              | 5 | 4     | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |
| 4. Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.                                                                                                                 | 5 | 4     | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |
| 5. Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit | 5 | 4     | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Total Score                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |   |       |   |   |   |  |
| Average Score                                                                                                                                                                                                                |   | 4.83  |   |   |   |  |

Overall recommendation : \_\_\_\_\_

  
ROSARIO A. SALAS  
 Printed Name and Signature  
 Head of Office

## EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: REYNA MAE C. CAINTIC

Performance Rating: OUTSTANDING

Aim: Achieve good performance in instruction, research and production.

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:

Date: January 2021 Target Date: June 2021

First Step: Upgrade instructional and scientific form. To be able to help improve the DOH vegetable project.

Result: Attended training, seminars and scientific forum.

Maintained and helped improve the vegetable project.

Date: January 2022 Target Date: June 2022

Next Step: Upgrade instructional and scientific form. To be able to help improve the DOH vegetable project.

Outcome:


Final Step/Recommendation:

To finish my PhD degree

Prepared by:

  
ROSARIO A. SALAS  
Unit Head

Conforme:

  
REYNA MAE C. CAINTIC  
Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff