



**COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF**

**Annex P**

Name of Administrative Staff: **LEOPOLDO S. ESCALA JR.**

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.90	70%	3.43
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	5.0	30%	1.500
<b>TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING</b>			<b>4.93</b>

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: **4.93**


Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: \_\_\_\_\_

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: **4.93**

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING **4.93**

ADJECTIVAL RATING: **Outstanding**


Prepared by:

  
**LEOPOLDO S. ESCALA JR.**  
Name of Staff


Reviewed by:

  
**JESSAMINE C. ECLEO**  
Head, Procurement

Recommending Approval:

  
**RYSAN C. GUINOCOR**  
Director, ODAS

Approved:

  
**DANIEL LESLIE S. TAN**  
VP, Admin. & Finance

# INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, LEOPOLDO S. ESCALA JR., of the Office of the Head for Procurement commits to deliver and agree to the rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January to June 2022

LEOPOLDO S. ESCALA JR.

Ratee

Approved:

JESSAMINE C. ECLEO

Immediate Supervisor

MFOs & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Acomplishments		Percent Accomplishment	Rating				Remarks
			Target	Actual		Q <sup>1</sup>	E <sup>2</sup>	T <sup>3</sup>	A <sup>4</sup>	
PI 1: ISO 9001:2015 aligned documens and compliant processes	A1. Clients served rated the services received at least very satisfactory	T1. Rating from clients served on services related to BAC secretariat and contract management	Very satisfactory	Very satisfactory	100.0%	5	5	5	5.00	
		T1. Number of procurement process implemented according to QPs	1	1	100.0%	5	5	5	5.00	
OVPAF STO 3: ARTA aligned compliance and reporting requirements										
PI 1: ARTA aligned frontline services	A1. ARTA aligned frontline services	T1.: Number of complaints from clients in relation to efficient and customer friendly services	0 complaint	0 complaint	100.0%	5	5	5	5.00	
OVPAF GASS 1: Administrative and Support Services Management										
PI 1: Administrative and Support Services Management	A1: Administrative and Support Services Management	T1: Number of university committtees/association involvement	1	3	300.0%	5	5	5	5.00	BAC Secretariat, PBB Compliance Committe, ADPA
		T2. Percentage of PhilGEPS posting-related documents maintained and filed	100%	100%	100.0%	5	5	5	5.00	
OVPAF MFO 6: PROCUREMENT SERVICES										
ODAS GASS 3: Procurement Services										
PI 1. Procurement Services	A1. Support Service to the BAC	T1. Number of Bid Bulletin for Competitive Bidding prepared and posted in PhilGEPS	25	29	116.0%	5	5	5	5.00	
		T2. Number procurement opportunities for Alternative Method with ABC of above 50K posted in the PhilGEPS	40	66	165.0%	5	5	5	5.00	
		T3. Number of projects procured thru Alternative Method that are posted in the PhilGEPS awarded and updated	75	83	110.6%	5	4	4	4.33	
		T4. Number of procurement projects undertaken thru NP-2 Failed Biddings facilitated and awarded	2	2	100.0%	5	5	5	5.00	
		T5. No. of BAC meetings facilitated and attended	60	63	105.0%	5	5	5	5.00	
		T6. Number of Purchase Order prepared for Competitive Bidding, NP-2FB, and NP-EC modalities	100	154	154.0%	5	5	5	5.00	
		T7. Number of Trainings/Webinars Attended	1	1	100.0%	5	5	5	5.00	PhilGEPS Webinar




		<b>T8.</b> Percentage of inquiries/clarifications of Suppliers/cleintele related to procurement answered through Telephone calls, emails, and other media	100%	100%	100.0%	4	5	5	4.67	
		<b>T9.</b> Number of e-mails sent to suppliers/external campuses related to Procurement	100	141	141.0%	5	5	5	5.00	
	<b>A2.</b> Contract Management	<b>T1.</b> Number of on-going Purchase Orders (POs)/Contracts for the current year monitored	325	399	122.7%	5	4	5	4.67	
		<b>T2.</b> Number of on-going Purchase Orders (POs)/Contracts for the previous years monitored	90	169	187.7%	5	4	5	4.67	
		<b>T3.</b> Number of reports for publicized projects prepared and submitted to COA within the deadline	2	2	100.0%	5	5	5	5.00	
<b>Total Overall Rating</b>									83.33	
<b>Average Rating (Total Over-all rating devided by # of entries)</b>			<b>4.90</b>			<b>Comments &amp; Recommendations for Development Purpose:</b>  Deserves to be promoted! Very dedicated staff.				
<b>Additional Points:</b>			<b>0</b>							
<b>Punctuality</b>										
<b>Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)</b>										
<b>FINAL RATING</b>			<b>4.90</b>							
<b>ADJECTIVAL RATING</b>			<b>Outstanding</b>							

Evaluated & Rated by:

  
**JESSAMINE C. ECLEO**  
Immediate Supervisor

Date: 7/6/22

Recommending Approval:

  
**RYSAN C. GUINOCOR**  
Director, ODAS

Date: JUL 11 2022

Approved by:

  
**DANIEL LESLIE S. TAN**  
VP, Admin. & Finance

Date: JUL 11 2022





## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: **January to June 2022**

Name of Staff: **LEOPOLDO S. ESCALA, JR.**

Position: **Administrative Aide IV**

**Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.**


Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1



Total Score										
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)						Scale				
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors					5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.					5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.					5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.					5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit					5	4	3	2	1
Total Score										
Average Score						5.0				

Overall recommendation : \_\_\_\_\_

  
**JESSAMINE C. ECLEO**  
 Immediate Supervisor

## EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: **LEOPOLDO S. ESCALA, JR.**

Performance Rating: **January – June 2022**

Aim: Effective and efficient delivery of procurement services

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:

Date: **January 2022** Target Date: **June 2022**

First Step:

Recommend to attend trainings relative to procurement services.

Result:

Be updated on relevant information related to procurement.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Target Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Next Step:

Outcome: Improved work performance.

Final Step/Recommendation:


To be promoted to a higher position suited to his qualifications.

Prepared by:

  
**JESSAMINE C. ECLEO**

Unit Head

Conforme:

  
**LEOPOLDO S. ESCALA, JR.**

Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff