COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Rating Period:

JANUARY TO JUNE 2018

Name of Administrative Staff:

MIRIAM M. DE LA TORRE

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.96	70%	3.472
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of her contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.92	30%	1.476
	4.95		

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

<u>4.95</u>

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

<u>4.95</u>

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Outstanding

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

MIRIAM M. DE LA TORRE

Name of Staff

TERESITĂ L. QUIÑAÑOLA

Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

LOURDES B. CANO

Director for Admin & HRD

Approved:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

VP for Admin & Finance

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, Miriam M. De la Torre, of the Personnel Records and Performance Evaluation Office commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period <u>January 1, 2018 to June 30, 2018</u>.

Approved:

MIRIAM M. DE LA TORRE

Ratee

TERESITA L. QUINANOLA

Head of Unit

MFO & PAPs	Success Indicator	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Rating				Remarks
				,	Q¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	
PRPEO MFO 1: Administrative and	Support Services Management								
Efficient & customer friendly frontline service	Zero percent complaint from clients served	Attends to queries and consultation on personnel matters	no complaint	no complaint	5	5	5	5.00	
PRPEO MFO 2: Efficient and effect	tive talent sourcing and screening using	approved criteria	<u> </u>	·		·	•		
Psychological exams and Skills Test coordination	No. of psychological exams & skills test	Coordinates conduct of written psychological exams & skills test for clerks, drivers, and security guards	2	3	5	5	5	5.00	
PRPEO MFO 3: Percentage of app	roved recommendations to hire/promot	te processed within turn around time			-				
Appointments processed, reviewed and recorded	Percentage of appointments received reviewed and countersigned	Reviews and countersigns appointments of JO workers	100% of received appointment	100% of received appointment	5	5	5	5.00	
e ja, N. visike Sod de	Percentage of new service cards prepared	Prepares New Service Card for Regular, Casual, Contractual Employees, and JO workers	100% new service Cards prepared	100% new service Cards prepared	5	5	4	4.67	,
	Percentage of Service Cards updated	Updates Service Cards of Regular, Casual, Contractual Employees, & JO workers	100% Service Cards updated	100% Service Cards updated	5	5	4	4.67	·
	No. of records	Encodes appointments of JO workers	700	982	5	5	5	5.00	
	No. of masterlist	Prepares masterlist of JO workers	1	1	5	5	5	5.00	
	No. of PDS	EncodesPDS & Philhealth of JO workers	700	1,482	5	5	5	_5.00_	

MFO & PAPs	Success Indicator Tasks Assigned		Target	Actual Accomplishment	Rating				Remarks
				/ 1000 mp. 100 m. 100 m	Q¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	
PRPEO MFO 5: Percentage of EOP	compliant performance management sy	ystem administered and submission of IPCRs mor	nitored						
IPCR submission monitoring	Percentage submission of approved IPCRs monitored/followed-up and received	Monitors submission of approved IPCRs by faculty and staff	100% of approved IPCRs received	100% of approved IPCRs received	5	5	5	5.00	
EDP submission monitoring	planning of individual employees	Monitors submission of Employee Development Plan	100% of received IPCRs w/ EDP	100% of received IPCRs w/ EDP	5	5	5	5.00	
PRPEO MFO 6: Implementation of	I frewards and recognition policies monit	ored, followed up and facilitated				L	L	<u> </u>	
		Encodes performance ratings of all employees who	100% of IPCR ratings submitted to PMT	100% of IPCR ratings submitted to PMT	5	5	5	5.00	
		Gather and prepare list of employees with Outstanding ratings per approved IPCR as potential nominees to University Awards	100% of deserving employees included and endorsed	100% of deserving employees included and endorsed	5	5	5	5.00	
PRPEO MFO 7: Implementation or									
	Percentage implementation of Productivity Enhance Incentives (PEI) based on DBM guidelines	Prepares and endorses list of qualified employees entitled to receive PEI	100% implemented	100% implemented	5	5	5	5.00	
PRPEO MFO 8: Compliance to ISO	9001:2015 documentation requirement	S							
n de de la company	Percentage implementation of work instructions	Implement assigned work instructions	100% implemented	100% implemented	5	5	5,	, 5.00	
PRPEO MFO 9: Percentage compl	iance to 5S on office and documents mar	nagement							
·	Percentage implementation of 5S	Implement 5S in the office	100% 5S compliant as to Office set-up	100% 5S compliant as to Office set-up	5	5	5	5.00	
PRPEO MFO 12: Compliance to H	R Accreditation	L							
·	Number of PRIME-HRM core area evidences/documents duly	Gathers, organizes and packages PMS related documents for submission to CSC and display at HR Accreditation Center ready for inspection and assessment by CSC team	1 core area (PMS)	2 core area (PMS)	5	5	5	5.00	

MFO & PAPs Success Indicator		Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Rating				Remarks
				,	Q¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	
		Updates HR evidences/documents based on latest indicators at HR Accreditation Center	100% updating of required HR evidences in PRIME-HRM	100% updating of required HR evidences in PRIME- HRM	5	5	5	5.00	:
Total Over-all Rating								84.33	
MIRIAM N	1. DE LA TORRE	Average Rating :		4.96	Comments & Recommendations		ns for		
		Additional Points:			Development Purposes:				
		Punctuality							· ·
		Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)			To attend relevant trainings		ings		
		FINAL RATING		4.96					
		ADJECTIVAL RATING		Outstanding					

and the control of the control of the second of the control of the control of the control of the control of the

	Evaluated &	Rated by:		Recommending Approval:	Approved by:
	TERESITAL	QUIÑANOLA		LOURDES B. CANO	REMBERTO A. RATINDOL
	Head, PRPEC			Director for Admin & HRD	Vice President for Admin & Finance
	Date:			Date:	Date:
Logand	1 - Quality	2 - Efficiency	3. Timeliness	A - Δverage	

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness

dministrative Staff

Rating Period: JANUARY TO JUNE 2018

Name of Staff: MIRIAM M. DE LA TORRE

Position: Administrative Officer III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus

using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description			
5 Outstanding		The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He i exceptional role model			
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements			
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements			
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.			
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements			

Α.	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)			Scal	е	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	(3)	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	(5)	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	(5)	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-	(3)	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	<u>(5)</u>	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	6	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	(5)	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	(3)	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	(5)	4	3	2	1
	Total Score	5	9/	12	= 4	1.92

Ove	ıalıı	ecor	mmer	idalion

RESITA L. QUIÑANOLA Head of Office

PERFORMANCE MONITORING & COACHING JOURNAL

1st	Q
2 nd	A
3 rd	R T
4th	E R

Name of Office: ODAHRD

Head of Office: LOURDES B. CANO

Number of Personnel: Miriam M. de la Torre

		MECHAN			
Activity	M	eeting		Others (Pls.	Remarks
Monitoring	One-on-One	Group	Memo	specify)	
Monitoring					
September 11,		Group			For PRIME
2018		monitoring of			HRM on-line
		preparations			Assessment
September 12,		Review of			
2018		evidences per			
		area			
September 21,		Staff meeting			Re: Packaging
2018					of documents
Coaching					
	1				

Note: Please indicate the date in the appropriate box when the monitoring was conducted.

Conducted by:

Noted by:

LOURDES B. CANO Immediate Supervisor

Next Higher Supervisor

. EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee:	MIRIAM M. DE LA TORRE	
Performance Rating:	: Outstanding	
Aim:	· 	
Proposed Intervention	ons to Improve Performance: Attend relevant	t trainings
Date:	Target Date:	·
First Step:	t;	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Result:	: ! _e	•
Date:	Target Date:	·
Next Step:	·	
Outcome:		·
	C	٠
Final Step/Recomme	endation:	No
	,	•
	:	<u> </u>
	Prepared by:	Lobra
	<u>TERI</u>	ESITA L. QUINANOLA Unit Head

Conforme:

MIRIAM M. DE LA TORRE Ratee – AO III