

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

OFFICOR THE HEAD OF PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AND REWARDS & RECOGNITION

Visca Baybay City, Leyte 6521-A, Philippines Phone/Fax: 565-0600 local 563-7323 Email Address: pree@vsu.edu.ph Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Annex P

Name of Administrative Staff: THELMA P. APAS

	Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1.	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.74	70%	3.318
2.	Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.18	30%	1.254
		4.572		

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	
FINAL NUMERICAL RATING	4.57
ADJECTIVAL RATING:	Very Satisfactory
Prepared by: THELMA R. APAS Name of Staff	Reviewed by: ELIZABETH S. QUEVEDO Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

MA. THERESA P. LORETO

Dean, CAS

Approved:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS

Vice President for Academic Affairs

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, Ms. THELMA P. APAS, of the Department of Pure & Applied Chemistry commit to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following accomplishments in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January - June 2021.

THELMA P. APAS

Approved:

ELIZABETH S. QUEVEDO

MA. THERESA P. LORETO

Head of Unit

Dean, CAS

					riead of Offic					Dean, C	
							F	Rating			Remarks
Description of MFO's/PAPs	Success Indicators	Program/ Activities/ Projects	Ƴasks Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Quality	Efficiency	Timeliness	Average		
General Administration and Support Services (GASS)											
Efficient and customer friendly frontline service	0% complaint from client served	Frontlining		100% no complaint	Frontline services	5	5	5	5.00		
Student Services	Documents requested by students served on time										
Secretariat Works	Prepared, encode and compiles documents for ISO	Preparation, compilation and submission of documents to QAC	Fac litated submission and compilation of documents for ISO	80%	100%	5	5	4	4.66		
	Number of communications prepared, encoded and printed	Encocle and print		95%	98%	5	4	4	4.33		:
	Number of drafts and finalize for projected workload for 1st and 2nd sem. 2020-2021	Encocle and print		15	23	5	4	5	5.00		

i far	Numbe accomplishment reports prepared and submitted	Encode and print	25	25	,	5	5	4	4.66		
	Number of Report Student Completion Grades recorded	Facilitates submission and Filing of Students' Completion of Grade	7	10		5	5	5	5.00		
	Number of Incoming and Outgoing documents recorded & released	Printing and submission of documents	80	90		5	4	5	4.67		
	Number of OPCR, IPCR, PPMP & PR prepared, reproduced and submitted	Preparation and submission of documents	20	26		5	4	5	4.67		
	Number of documents prepared and submitted	Preparation and submission of documents	15	30		5	4	4	4.33		
	Preparation	Preparation and Submission of Job Requests	7	10		5	5	5	5.00		
		Preparation of Projected Workload	12	24		5	5	5	5.00		
	government forms	Preparation and submission of standard government forms	45	40		4	4	4	4.00		
		Preparation of PR's and PPMPs	8	15		5	5	5	5.00	-	

10 10 10	Numbe Payrolls prepared (twice per month)	Preparation and submission of Payrolls	10	10	4	4	4	4.00	
	Number of outgoing and incoming communications prepared and submitted to concerned units	Preparation, submission of outgoing communications	15	30	5	5	5	5.00	
	Number of Financial documents prepared and submitted	Preparation and submission of financial documents	10	20	5	5	5	5.00	
	Number of DoPAC documents consoliclated/filed	Consolidating/filing	42	55	5	5	5	5.00	
Other Services		Does task assigned as member of the committee	10	20	5	5	5	5.00	
Total Over-all Ratin			and the second s	***************************************				85.32	
Average Rating:								4.74	
Adjectival Rating:								0	

Evaluated and Rated by:

ELIZABETH S. QUEVEDO

Head, DoPAC Date: 20.2021

Recommending Approval:

MA. THERESAP. LORETO

College Dean
Date: 10 22 2021

Approved by;

BEATRIZ'S. BELONIAS

VP for Academic Affairs

Date:

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by	4.74
Additional Points:	
Punctuality	
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)	
FINAL RATING	4.74
ADJECTIVAL RATING	0

Comments & Recon	nmendatio	ns for Developm	ent Purpose:
Attend of Lot	thore	trainings	in the use

Evaluated & Rated by:

ELIZABETH S. QUEVEDO

Head, DoPAC Date: July 26, 2021

Recommending Approval:

MA. THERESA P. LORETO

Dean, CAS

Date: 10 22 202

Approved by:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS

VP for Academic Affairs

1-Quality

2- Efficiency

3- Timeliness

4- Average



OF THE HEAD OF PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AND **REWARDS & RECOGNITION**

Visca Baybay City, Leyte 6521-A, Philippines Phone/Fax: 565-0600 local 563-7323 Email Address: prpeo@vsu.edu.ph Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period:

JANUARY-JUNE 2021

Name of Staff: THELMA P. APAS

Position: ADM. AIDE VI

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description				
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model				
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements				
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements				
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.				
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements				

A. C	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		5	Scal	е	A -
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	(4)	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for	5	(4)	3	2	1

V	improvement of his work accomplishment	2				
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1
	Score					
	eadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		S	cal	е	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5 (4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.				2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.		4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score		7	1		
	Average Score		4	4.18	3	

Overall recommendation

ELIZABETH S. QUEVEDO

Printed Name and Signature Head of Office

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: THELMA P. Performance Rating:	APAS	-
Aim: Aspire for outstanding Admin	nistrative Staff	
Proposed Interventions to Improve	Performance:	
Date: July 2021	Target Date: Decemb	er 2021
First Step:		
Attendance to training-workshop f of office documents.	_	
Results:		
Date:		
Next Step:		
Outcome:		
Final Step/Recommendation:		
	Prepared by:	4 Money ELIZABETH S. QUEVEDO Unit Head
Conforme:		

THELMA P. APAS Name of the Ratee