

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff: **NILO L. LEORNA**

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.778	x 70%	3.345
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.823	x 30%	1.447
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			4.792

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.792

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.792

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Outstanding

Prepared by:

NILO L. LEORNA
Name of Staff

Reviewed by:

ARTHUR IT. TAMBONG, FPSAE
Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL, Ph.D.
Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN, Ph.D.
President



Visayas State University
College of Engineering
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL ENGINEERING
Visca, Baybay City, Leyte



INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, NILO L. LEORNA, Staff of the Department of Agricultural Engineering, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following accomplishments in accordance with the indicated measures for the period July to December 2016

NILO L. LEORNA

Engineer II

Date: 28 December 2016

ARTHUR H. TAMBONG, FPSAE

Department Head


Date: 28 December 2016

Rating Equivalents:
5 - Outstanding
4 - Very Satisfactory
3 - Satisfactory
2 - Fair
1 - Poor

MFO No.	MFO Description	Success/Performance Indicator (PI)	Program/ Activities/ Projects	Tasks Assigned	Target	Accomplishment (July to Dec 2016)	Rating				Remark
							Quality	Efficiency	Timeliness	Average	
MFO 2	Higher Education Services	PI 1. Number of FTE coordinated and implemented	Teaching	Teach at least one preparation in Eng'g subjects/courses	21	33.25	5	5	5	5.0	ESCI 126 - 83 students MENG 117 - 22 students ESCI 127 - 28 students
		PI 10. Number of academe/industry linkage established	Coordinating	Establishes at least one (1) linkage with academe / industry	1	1	5	4	5	4.7	

MFO 6	General Admin. & Support Services (GASS)	PI 1. Number of departments and/or service units supervised and monitored	Supervising/ Monitoring	TESDA Acting Coordinator	1	1	5	5	5	5.0	VSU-TVET
		PI 10. Efficient and customer-friendly frontline service	Service	Served clients with courtesy; immediate response to client needs and inquiries	Zero complaint from clients	Zero complaint from clients	5	5	5	5.0	
		PI 9. Additional Outputs									
		Amount of money generated from TVET programs (TESDA scholars)	Program Coordinator	TVET Training Programs	150,000	250,000	5	5	5	5.0	
		Installation of Electrical Wiring Lighting Fixtures (POTC/CoE Workshop Building)	Supervising	Repair/install electrical wiring as per job request	1	1	5	5	5	5.0	
		Troubleshooting and Repair Electrical Devices & Equipment (NCRC/Workshop Building)	Supervising	Repair/install electrical wiring as per job request	1	1	5	4	5	4.7	
		Number of TVET Programs coordinated	Program Coordinator	Conduct Technical Vocational Education and Training (TVET)	2	2	5	4	5	4.7	
		Number of new TVET Programs Registered to TESDA	Program Coordinator	Registration of TVET program to TESDA Leyte Provincial Office	1	0	4	4	4	4.0	
Number of Performance Indicators Filled-up							9				
Total Over-all Rating							43.000				
Average Rating							4.778				
Adjectival Rating							Outstanding				


Received by:


 Planning Officer
 Date: _____

Calibrated by:


REMBERTO A. PATINDOL, Ph.D.
 Chairman, PMT
 Date: _____

Recommending Approval:


BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS, Ph.D.
 Vice Pres. for Instruction
 Date: _____

Approved:


EDGARDO E. TULIN, Ph.D.
 President
 Date: _____

Annex O

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: July - Dec, 2016
 Name of Staff: Nilo L. Leorna Position: Engineer II

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.


Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	(5)	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	(5)	4	3	2	1
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	(5)	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	(5)	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	(5)	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	(5)	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	(4)	3	2	1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	(5)	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	(5)	4	3	2	1

11. Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	(5)	4	3	2	1
12. Willing to be trained and developed	(5)	4	3	2	1
Total Score	59				
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)	Scale				
1. Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	(5)	4	3	2	1
2. Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	(4)	3	2	1
3. Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	(4)	3	2	1
4. Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	(5)	4	3	2	1
5. Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	(5)	4	3	2	1
Total Score	23				
Average Score	4.823				

Overall recommendation

Excellent! Dependable in Extension!


Name of Head/Supervisor

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: Nilo L. Leorna
Performance Rating: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Aim: To further improve performance.

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:

Date: 10/18/16 Target Date: Last Qtr. 2016

First Step: Advised to implement SS in office.

Result: He attended SS seminar.
Promoted in terms of responsibility.


Date: _____ Target Date: _____

Next Step: _____

Outcome: _____

Final Step/Recommendation: _____

Prepared by:


ARTHUR T. TAMBONG, FPSAE
Immediate Supervisor