## Annex P

## COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR **ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF** (January – June 2017)

Name of Administrative Staff: RONILLO V. CANO

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.63	x 70%	3.24
Supervisor/Head's assessment     of his contribution towards     attainment of office     accomplishments	4.25	x 30%	1.27
5	TOTAL NUM	MERICAL RATING	4.51

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.51

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Outstanding

Prepared by:

RONILLO V. CANO

Name of Staff

Head, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

Visayas State University
College of Engineering
DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING
Visca, Baybay City, Leyte

## INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

1, RONILLO V. CANO, Staff of the Department of Mechanical Engineering, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following accomplishments in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January to June, 2017.

ROMILLO V. CANO Admin. Assistant II Date: 14 August 2017

MOAS

CELSO OUMAOD
Department Head
Date: 14 August 2017

Rating Equivalents:
5- Outstanding
4- Very Satisfactory
3- Satisfactory
2- Fair
1- Poor

Remark		4.3 Prepared administrative and financial documents of the office like Cash advance, Pre-travel, Trip tricket, Reimbursement, Petty cash, RIS, DTR, PPMP, etc.	4.7 Travel Request, Cash Advance, Reimbursment of Travel, Liquidation of Cash Advance, Purchased Request, PPMP, titnerary of Travel, Trip Ticket, DTR, Appointment of VSU-TESDA Based Skills Training Program	4.7 Facilitated in the signing of documents for Head of office	4.7 Photocopied documents	4.7 Facilitated the Teachers Performance Evaluation
	Average	6.3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Rating	zsəniləmiT	ഗ	ς.	2	2	4
Ra	Efficiency	4	4	4	4	ις.
	Quality	4	ις.	2	2	rs.
Accom- plishmnt (Jan- June 2016)		180	80	20	500 pages	23 Total faculty subjects evaluated
Target		100	20	30	300 pages	4 Faculty
	Tasks Assigned	Prepare, process and follow-up of administrative and financial matter of the office/unit.	Processes and releases documents on time.	Assist on to be signed and approved documents	Photocopied documents	Facilitates Teachers Performance Evaluation
Program/ Activities/ Projects		Documentation	Documentation	Documentation	Service	Faculty Performance Evaluation
Success/Performance Indicator Program/ Activities/ (PI) Projects		PI.1. Number of documents prepared/encoded/processed/ followed-up	PI 2. Number of documents released & processed on time.	P13. Number of documents attended and served	PI Number of documents photocopied	PI_ Number of Faculty Performance Evaluation Facilitated Evaluation per semester/subject.
MFO Description General Admin. & Support Services (GASS)		General Admin. & Support Services (GASS)				
MFO 6		MFO 6				

	PI 10, Efficient and customer Servi friendly frontline service	Service	Served clients with courtesy; 0 % complaint 0 % complaint immediate response to client from clients from clients needs and inquiries	0 % complaint from clients	0 % complaint from clients	2	2	4	4 4.7 100% no complaint; served clients with courtesy; immediate response to client	
							H	$\vdash$		
							H	-		
Number of Performance Indicators Filled-up	Indicators Filled-up						9			
Total Over-all Rating							27.8			
Average Rating							4.63			
Adjectival Rating						0	Outstanding	ding		

Received by:
CTERESTTAL QUINANOLA
Planning Officer
Date:

Chairman, PMT
Date:

Recommending Approval:

BEATRIC S. BEJOHIAS
Vice/Pres. for Instruction
Date:

EDGARDO E. TULIN,

## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: <u>January – June 2017</u>

Name of Staff: RONILLO V. CANO

Position: Administrative Asst. II

**Instruction to supervisor:** Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	<b>Descriptive Rating</b>	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A.	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		2	Scal	е	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	(4)	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	(3)	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	(5)	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	(1)	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	(3)	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	<u>(4)</u>	3	2	1

11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	(5)	4	3	2	1
	Total Score		51			
	Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		5	Scale	Э	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score					
	Average Score		4.2	5		

Overall recommendation	:

CELSO GUMAOD Name of Head