COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

NAME OF ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF:

MARIO R. ROM

	Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1.	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.50	70%	3.150
2.	Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.58	30%	1.374
		TOTAL N	UMERICAL RATING	4.524

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.524

Add: Additional Approved points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.524

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

OUTSTANDING

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

MARIO R. ROM Name of Staff FELICIANO G. SINON
Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

Approved:

FELICIANO G. SINON
Director

Vice- President

VISAYAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Visca, Baybay City, Leyte, Philiopines

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, MARIO R. ROM, Administrative Aide III of the National Abaca Research Center-Visayas State University commits to deliver and agree

o be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period	January 2019 to June 2019.
--	----------------------------

MARIO R. ROM Ratee

Approved:

ELICIANO G. SINON

MFQ & Performance Indicators		Tasks Assigned	Target	Setual Assemblishments	1	R	Remarks		
(PI)	Success Indicators			Actual Accomplishments	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	Romans
NIFO5: Research & Extension									
Admin. & Support Services					100	- 1			
	Actual number of hours driving	Conducts and fetches NARC staff/	500	700	14	4	5	4.33	
		visitors on official travel outside						-	1037
		official station						-	
	No. of hours vehicle maintained	Maintain vehicle in good running	40	70	5	4	5	4-67	
		condition							
Total Over-all Rating								4-50	

Ave. Rating (Total Over-all rating		4,50
Additional Points:		
Punctuality	-	
Approved Additional points		
(with copy of approval)		
FINAL RATING		4.50
ADJECTIVAL RATING		VERY CATISPACTORY

Comments & Recommendation for Development Purpose:

He has to carry always his cellfone for easy contact & communication -

Evaluated & Rated by:

Date:

Recommending Approval:

Approved by:

FELICIANO G. SINONDirector, NARC

Director, NARC

OVIPRIE

Exhibit I

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Name of Employee: MARIO R. ROM

Task No.	Task Description	Expected Output	Date Assigned	Expected Date to Accomplis h	Actual Date accomplish ed	Quality of Output*	Over-all assessment of output**	Remarks/ Recommen- dation
1	No. of actual hours driving to conduct and fetch NARC staff/visitors on official travel outside station	500	Jan 1, 2019	June 30, 2019	700	Impressive	VS	He has to carry always his cellphone for easy contact and communication
2	No. of hours vehicle maintained in good running condition	40	Jan 1, 2019	June 30, 2019	70	Very Impressive	0	

* Either very impressive, impressive, needs improvement, poor, very poor ** Outstanding, very satisfactory, satisfactory, unsatisfactory, poor

Prepared by:

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

		MARIO R. ROM OUTSTANDING	Signature:
Aim: To pi	rovide trans	sport for centers' staff	
Proposed I	ntervention	ns to Improve Performan	ce:
Date: Jan.	1, 2019		Target Date: June 30, 2019
First Step:			
-		ain the good running con and transport centers' sta	dition of the center's vehicle.
Result:		ntained vehicle transport of visitors, clie	nts and research staff.
Date: July	1, 2019		Target Date: Dec. 31, 2019
Next Step:		the conduct of the centre if necessary	er's activities by providing transport and render
Outcome: s	smooth imp	olementation of the center	er's program.
Final Step/ He has to c			contact and communication.
			_

Prepared by:

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January 1, 2019 to June 30, 2019

Name of Staff: MARIO R. ROM Position: ADMIN AIDE 3

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A.	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		5	Scal	е	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	0	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	4
2.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	6	4	3	2	1
3.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	0	3	2	1
7	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	(5)	4	3	2	1
8	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	6	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	6	4	3	2	1
10	Willing to be trained and developed	5	0	3	2	1
	Total Score		5	5.00)	

B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)					Scale				
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	6	X.	3	2	/1			
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	0	3	2	1			
3.	innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	(4)	B	1	1			
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	(5)	4	3/	\$	1			
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	(5)	4	/3	2	1			
	Total Score		- (
	Average Score		4	.58	(

Overall recommendation	:	outsonding

FELICIANOIG. SINON Name of Head/Director