



# OFFICE OF THE HEAD OF PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AND REWARDS & RECOGNITION

Visca Baybay City, Leyte 6521-A, Philippines Phone/Fax: 565-0600 local 563-7323 Email Address: prpeo@vsu.edu.ph Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

#### COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Annex P

Name of Administrative Staff:

Ernesto A. Gonzaga, Jr.

	Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1.	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.44	70%	3.10
2.	Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.75	1.42	
		TOTAL NUI	MERICAL RATING	4.52

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.52

4.52

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING

4.52

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

**OUTSTANDING** 

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

ERNESTO A. GONZAGA, Jr.

Name of Staff

LILIAN B. NUÑEZ

Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

College Dean

MOISES NEIL V. SERIÑO

Approved:

BEATRIZ \$.BELONIA

Vice President for Academic Affairs

#### INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW (IPCR)

I, ERNESTO A. GONZAGA, Jr., Administrative Aide IV, of the ISRDS commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period July.-December, 2021.

ERNESTO A. GONZAGA, JR.

Adm. Aide IV

Head of Unit Date:

							Ra	ting		
MFO No.	MFO Descrip- tion	Success Indicator (SI)	Task Assigned	Target	Actual Accom- plishment	Quality	Efficiency	Timeliness	Average	Remark
UMFO 6. Gene	ral Adminis	tration and Support Service	es (GASS)	4			-			
OVPRE MFO 1	. Administr	ative and Facilitative Servi	ces						Nerturbalisation of the State of	
	forms/docu	ber of government iments typed, prepared ted for approval.	Types vouchers, PRs, RIS, TOs, trip tickets, DTR's, leave application, permits, job request and other official documents.	500	700	5	5	5	5 0.00	
	PI 2: Number of letters and official communications prepared, recorded and sent to different departments/centers and clienteles.  PI 3: Number of MOUs, contracts, resolutions and official documents filed between BIDANI, SUCs and LGUs.		Preparation of letters & correspondence, recording of incoming and outgoing communications.	100	120	4	4	4	4 0.00	
			Files official forms and documents.	1	3	3	4	5	4 0.00	
	PI 4: Numb	per of trainings facilitated.	Facilitated trainings conducted at SUCs & LGUs	2	2	4	4	4	0.00	
	brochures,	er of handouts, forms, manuals and other reproduced and	Reproduces and collates handouts, forms, brochures, manuals and other documents including IEC materials.	5000	5000	4	5	5	4.67	

OVPI MFO 2.	Frontline Services					***************************************				
	PI 1. Efficient and customer-friendly frontline service		t complaint from clients	90%	100%	5	5	5	0.00	
	Best practices/new initiatives									
Total Over-all F	Rating		26.67			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Average Rat	ing (Total Over-all rating divided by 4	)	4.44			7	Comi	ments	& Red	commendations for
Additional Po	ints:									rpose:
Approved Add	itional points (with copy of approval)						Improve Filing of docs.			
FINAL RATING	G								,	9
ADJECTIVAL	RATING		***************************************		***************************************				Massacratic	
Evaluated & Rat	ed by:	Recommend	ding Approval:		Approved b	by:				
	LIAN B. NUÑEZ Dept./Unit Head	MOISES N	EIL V. SERIÑO		Z S/BELON		nic Affa	airs		
Date: Janua	ing 14, 2022	Date: 3	re m	Date:						
1- Quality	2- Efficiency	3- Timeliness	4- Average							





Visca Baybay City, Leyte 6521-A, Philippines Phone/Fax: 565-0600 local 563-7323 Email Address: prpeo@vsu.edu.ph

Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: July-December 2021

Name of Staff: Ernesto A. Gonzaga, Jr. - Administrative Aide 6

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale helow Encircle your

Scale	Descriptive Rating	g the scale below. Encircle your rating.  Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The start delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
2	Fair	The performance meets job requirements  The performance peeds some descriptions of the peeds of the peed
1	Poor	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.  The staff fails to meet job requirements

A.	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)	T		C	-1-	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	(5	) 4		ale 2	2 1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time		1	1	-	-
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	6			
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	(5	) 4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	(5)	) 4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	F	1		-	-
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
0.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	(5)	4	3	2	1
1.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	(5)	4	3	2	1
2.	Willing to be trained and developed	7				
		5)	4	3	2	1

	Total Score					
	eadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		5	Scale	9	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	,
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	,
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	
	Total Score		5	5		
	Average Score		4	58		

Overall recommendation

: Larn computer skells. Obtain civil service eligibility.

LILIAN B. NUÑEZ

### EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: Performance Rating:	ernesto a. Gonzaga, JR.
Aim: to eilnblu	v database of all documents
Proposed Intervention	ns to Improve Performance:
Date:	Target Date: 405. 28, 2022
First Step: Revew	HRIS of ISRDS and populate all folder with
genting ples	
Result: OU, dow	ments uplonded
	Target Date: Lept. 30, 2022
Next Step: Promy	e constant remembers to all staff to submit
downents. Upl	nd regularly the drawments.
Outcome: Upda	ed database; easy tracking of downents
Final Step/Recomme	idation:
Keep databa	updated and accurable to office staff.
	Prepared by:  Line Unit Head
Conforme:	Lo
	esto A. aonzacon JR. of Ratee Faculty/Staff