



COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Annex P

Name of Administrative Staff: **JOEL M. ISRAEL**

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.93	4.93 x 70%	3.45
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.92	4.92 x 30%	1.48
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			4.93

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.93

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.93


FINAL NUMERICAL RATING

4.93

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Outstanding

Prepared by:


JOEL M. ISRAEL
Name of Staff


Reviewed by:


SANTIAGO T. PEÑA, JR.
Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:


SANTIAGO T. PEÑA, JR.
Dean/Director

Approved:


BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS
Vice President for Academic Affairs

“Exhibit B”

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, **JOEL M. ISRAEL**, of the **College of Veterinary Medicine** commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period **January to June, 2023**.

JOEL M. ISRAEL
Ratee

Approved: SANTIAGO T. PEÑA, JR.
Head of Unit

[illegible]

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 5)	24.67	4.93
Additional Points:		
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)		
FINAL RATING		4.93
ADJECTIVAL RATING		Outstanding

Comments & Recommendations for Development

Purpose:

Mr. Israel is encouraged to take the civil service exam for his permanency

Evaluated & Rated by:

SANTIAGO T. PEÑA, JR.

Dept/Unit Head

Date:

July 13, 2013

Recommending Approval:

SANTIAGO T. PEÑA, JR.

College Dean

Date:

July 13, 2013

Approved by:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS

Vice President for Academic Affairs

Date:

July 14, 2013

1 - Quality

2 - Efficiency

3 - Timeliness

4 - Average



Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period:

Name of Staff: JOEL M. ISRAEL

Position: Administrative Aide III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for	5	4	3	2	1

improvement of his work accomplishment					
12. Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1
Score	Total				
	59/12 = 4.92				
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)	Scale				
1. Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2. Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3. Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4. Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5. Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score					
Average Score					

Overall recommendation : _____

SANTIAGO T. PEÑA, JR.
 Printed Name and Signature
 Head of Office

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: JOEL M. ISRAEL

Performance Rating: Outstanding

Aim: To improve work efficiency and achieve the targets.

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:

Date: January 2023 Target Date: June 2023

First Step: Prepares/encodes/print recommendation letters, for renewal of appointments of Temporary Faculty, casual, contractual, research assistants and Job Orders, PPMP for office, research/ laboratory supplies and materials
Records keeping and documents controller of the College as dDRC
Prepares projected faculty workload, actual teaching load and Individual faculty workload and follow up all other routinely office documents

Result: Submission of documents for approval to the higher Authority of VSU

Date: March 2023 Target Date: June 2023

Next Step: Continuous follow up of all request documents for approval to the higher VSU Officer and Training on Records Keeping

Outcome: Smooth operation of office work

Final Step/Recommendation:

The weekly program of activities should be made ahead of time.

Prepared by:

SANTIAGO T. PEÑA, JR.
Unit Head

Conforme:

JOEL M. ISRAEL
Ratee