

Visca Baybay City, Leyte 6521-A, Philippines

Phone/Fax: +63 053 563 7323 Email Address: prpeo@vsu.edu.ph Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Annex P

Name of Administrative Staff: ZANDRO G. ISRAEL

	Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1.	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.67	70%	3.269
2.	Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.33	30%	1.299
		TOTAL NUI	MERICAL RATING	4.568

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	
Add: Additional Approved Points,	if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.568

4.568

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING 4.568

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

VS

Prepared by:

ZANDRO G. ISRAEL. Name of Staff Reviewed by:

Head, LSWMU

Recommending Approvat:

MARIO NILIO VALENZONA
Director, ODPP

Approved:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL
Vice President

v1 05-27-2020 No. **248**

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, ZANDRO ISRAEL of the GENERAL SERVICES DIVISION commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period: January - June 2020

ZANDRO G. SRAEL Ratee RODEN D. TROY

MFO & Performance	Constant la l'aut			Actual	Rating				-
Indicators	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Accomplishme					Remarks
				nt	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	
		Prepares schedule of work activities of LSWMU personnels	5 schedule per work	5 schedule per work	5	5	4	4.67	(
FMO1-Ground maintenance & waste	PI 1.1 well maintained campus grounds and waste properly collected and disposed	2. Monitors daily work assignments of laborers	15 laborer	15 laborer	5	5	4	4.67	,
management services		4. Monitors the collection and disposal of waste around the campus	5 days/week	5 days/week	5	5	4	4.67	,
Total Over-all Rating	· ·	,	,			,		14.00	,
Average Rating (Total Ov	,	4.67	Comments & Recommendations						
Additional Points:	-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			for De	velopme	nt Purpose:		
Punctuality:	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	N)ee	ls bi		0 -	1, ,		
Approved Additional poin			Proper proving of trees or						
FINAL RATING								,	4.67
ADJÉCTIVAL RATING	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	O Shribs				,	

-	in/
	RODEN D. TROYO
	Supervisor

Evaluate & Rated by:

Date:

1-quality

2-Efficiency

3-Timeliness

4-Average

Recommending Approval:

MARIO/LILIO VALENZONA

Director, ODPP

Date:____

Approved by:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

VP for Admin. & Fiance

Date:____



Visca Baybay City, Leyte 6521-A, Philippines Phone/Fax: +63 053 563 7323 Email Address: prpeo@vsu.edu.ph Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January to June 2020

Name of Staff: ZANDRO G. ISRAEL

Position: Admin. Aide III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. (Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		(Scal	е	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	A	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	1	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	6	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1

	Total Score		52	_			
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)				Scale			
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1	
2.	 Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university. 		4	3	2	1	
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1	
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1	
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	8	3	2	1	
	Total Score						
	Average Score	1	1.3	3			

Overall recommendation	:
Overall recommendation	i

Printed Name and Signature

Head of Office

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: Zaudro Israel Performance Rating: Lun - Ine 2020
Aim: Effective delivery of service
Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:
Date: Target Date:
First Step: Undergo triving on proper proving of trees
Result: No scheduled tonining due to the pundamic
0
Date: Target Date:
Next Step: To look for any available washing toning available whire.
available mline.
Outcome:
Final Step/Recommendation:
Prepared by: Property Proper

Conforme:

Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff