



COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF
JULY TO DECEMBER 2019

Annex P

Name of Administrative Staff: **MAZO, CRISANTO A. – ADMIN. ASST. - I**

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.74	4.74 X 70%	3.31
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.05	4.05 X 30%	1.21
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			4.52

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.52

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING

4.52

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

"VS"

Prepared by:

CRISANTO A. MAZO
Name of Staff

Reviewed by:

ANDRELI D. PARDALES
Department/Office Head

Approved:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS
VP - Instruction

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, **CRISANTO A. MAZO**, of the University Library commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with indicated measures for the period July to Dec. 2019

CRISANTO A. MAZO
Ratee

Approved:

ANDRELI D. PARDALES
Head of Unit

[illegible]

Total Over-all Rating		
Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)	18.99	
Additional Points:		
Punctuality		
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)		
FINAL RATING	4.74	
ADJECTIVAL RATING	"O"	

Evaluated & Rated by:



ANDRELI D. PARDALES
 Chief Librarian
 Date: _____

- 1 – Quality
- 2 – Efficiency
- 3 – Timeliness
- 4 – Average

Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:

His expertise in binding and printing needs to be updated.

Approved by:


BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS
 VF - Instruction
 Date: _____

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: JULY TO DECEMBER 2019

Name of Staff: MAZO, CRISANTO A. – ADMIN. ASST. - I

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)	Scale				
1. Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
2. Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
3. Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	<u>3</u>	2	1
4. Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
5. Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
6. Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
7. Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
8. Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1

9. Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	<u>5</u>	4	3	2	1
10 Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
11 Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
12 Willing to be trained and developed	<u>5</u>	4	3	2	1
Total Score					
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)					
Scale					
1. Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
2. Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
3. Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
4. Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
5. Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
Total Score					
69 / 17					
Average Score					
4.05					

Overall recommendation : _____


ANDRELI D. PARDALES
 Name of Head

Exhibit I

PERFORMANCE MONITORING FORM
JULY – DECEMBER 2019

Name of Employee: MAZO, CRISANTO A.

Task No.	Task Description	Expected Output	Date Assigned	Expected Date to Accomplish	Actual Date accomplished	Quality of Output*	Over-all assessment of output**	Remarks/ Recommendation
1	Number of cover titles printed	Bound library materials printed	July 2	December	December	VS	VS	VS
2								
3								
4								

* Either very impressive, impressive, needs improvement, poor, very poor

** Outstanding, very satisfactory, satisfactory, unsatisfactory, poor

Prepared by:


ANDRELI D. PARDALES
Chief Librarian

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN
JULY – DECEMBER 2019

Name of Employee: MAZO, CRISANTO A.
Performance Rating:

Aim: To exposed to digital printing of theses, dissertations and other library materials.

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:

Date: _____ Target Date: _____

First Step: An old laptop unit was passed to him for use in the preliminary steps in using a computer.

Result: Scout for possible printing process for a crash course in digital printing.
Willingness to be trained.

Date: _____ Target Date: _____

Next Step:

Outcome: _____


Final Step/Recommendation:

Conforme :



CRISANTO A. MAZO
Name of Ratee Faculty / Staff

Prepared by:



ANDRELI D. PARDALES
Unit Head