## COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

TERESITA G. MANATAD

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.66	4.66 x 70%	3.27
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.83	4.83 x 30%	1.45
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			4.72

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.72

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

 $\overline{0.00}$ 

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.72

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

**OUTSTANDING** 

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

MARIA ROBERTA S. MIRAFLOR

Admin. Assistant VI

Admin. Officer III

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

President

## INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, TERESITA G. MANATAD, of the Budget Office commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period July 1 to December 31, 2016.

TOMAUNTIAL TERESITA G. MANATAD

Approved:

ANITA G. GODOY

Head of Unit

	_	_	_	_
к	а	П	е	e

MEO 8 DADo	Consequently diseases			Percentage of	Details of Actual		R	ating	2	
MFO & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Accomplishment as of June 30, 2016	Accomplishment	Q <sup>1</sup>	E <sup>2</sup>	T <sup>3</sup>	A <sup>4</sup>	Remari
Certified Financial Documents/Reports	Number of transaction recorded/encoded right after receipt of documents, error free	Records/Encodes daily, obligated Purchase Orders, Vouchers & Payrolls under Special Trust Fund Accounts to BAOM	95% : 8200 documents under STF	57%	4646 documents under STF	5	5	<ul> <li>5</li> <li>4</li> <li>5</li> <li>4</li> <li>5</li> <li>4</li> <li>5</li> <li>4</li> </ul>	4.67	
	Number of Income received and encodedd in the Subsidiary Ledger & BAOM & projects controlled 2 days upon receipt, error free	under STF and encode income from STF Projects	95%: 2100 documents income recorded to 176 proj/mo.	50%	1,100	5	5	4	4.67	
	Number of documents obligated, 2 days upon receipt, error free	payrolls and files BUR & vouchers copy under	95%: 6100 documents obligated & filed under STF	51%	3126 documents under STF	5	5	4	4.67	
	Number of documents earmarked, 2 days upon receipt, error free	- 1	90% :1900 document earmarks	75%	1433 documents	5	5	4	4.67	
	Number of status of funds monthly, quarterly and year-end status prepared within prescribed period, error free	of funds under Fund 164 (STF) projects	26 internal reports/status oif fund/project	61%	16) reports/Status	5	5	4	4.67	
Administrative Support services and Management	Efficient & customer-Friendly Frontline Service		Zero percent complaint from clients served		Zero percent complaint	5	5	4	4.67	

verage Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by # of entries)			Percentage of	Details of Actual						
MFO & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Accomplishment as of	Accomplishment					Remarks
Total Over-all Rating				June 30, 2016		Q <sup>1</sup>	E <sup>2</sup>	T <sup>3</sup>	A <sup>4</sup>	
Total Over-all Rating						30	30	24	28.00	
Average Rating (Total Over-all rati	ng divided by # of entries)	ed by # of entries) 4.66 Comments & Recom		ommend	ations					
Additional Points:						for D	evelop	ment	Purpose	
Punctuality										
Approved Additional points (wi	th copy of approval)									
FINAL RATING					4.66					1
ADJECTIVAL RATING										

Date: \_

Received	i by:
RE	EDEMPTA L. SORIA
	Planning Office
	Date:

1 - quality

2 - efficiency

Calibrated by:
REMBERTO A. PATINDOL
PMT, Chairman

Date: \_

3 - timeliness

4 - average

Recommending Approval:	
REMBERTO A. PATINDOL	
V P for Admin & Finance	

Approved:	
1000	
Clish	_
EDGARDO E. TULIN	
President .	
o President	

Date:

## Annex O

## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: <u>JULY 1 – DECEMBER 31, 2016</u>

Name of Staff: TERESITA G. MANATAD

Position: ADMIN. AIDE VI

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description			
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model			
4	Very Satisfactory The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements				
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements			
2	2 Fair The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.				
1	1 Poor The staff fails to meet job requirements				

A.	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		(	Scal	е	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1

11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score	401	8 2	18	7	
	Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		5	Scale	Э	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score					
	Average Score					

	1
	Om-
	ANITA G. GODOY
	Name of Head

Overall recommendation