# COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

### **GERONIMO T. TUMULAK**

Particulars (1)		Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.5	70%	3.15
2	Supervisor/Head's Assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishment	4.83	30%	1.45
	4.6			

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4.6	
Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:		
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4.6	
FINAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4.6	
ADJECTIVAL RATING:	Outstanding	
		_

Prepared by:

MARIO C. BANTUGAN

Adm. Aide III

Tot.

Reviewed by:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL Vice Pres. for Adm. & Finance

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

## **INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)**

I, GERONIMO T. TUMULAK of the GENERAL SERVICES DIVISION commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period: JANUARY TO JUNE 2018

Approved:

MARIO E. BALIAD

Head of Unit

GERONIMO T. TUMULAK
Ratee

	Success Indicators		Target	Actual			Ratin		
MFO & Performance Indicators		Tasks Assigned		Accomplis hment	Q <sup>1</sup>	E <sup>2</sup>	T³	A <sup>4</sup>	Remarks
	PI 1.2 Rendered driving services within the specified period	Conduct solid waste management personnel in the collection and disposal of garbage (Main Job)	320	350	5	4	4	4.33	
	PI 1.2 Monitored the maintenance of the assigned vehicles	Assisted in maintaining and repairing of garbage truck	40	40	5	5	4	4.66667	
FMO1 Driving of Garbage	PI 1.3 Redered general chck-up and rendered	Helped in venue preparation of different activities of the VSU	25	30	5	4.5	4	4.66667	
Truck	PI 1.4 Maintained the cleanliness of the garage area.	Helped in the maintenance of the cleanliness and beautification in the campus ground and surroundings	15	25	5	5	4	4.67	
		Attended to the request of higher official and other departments of the VSU	15	25	5	4	4	4.33	
		Performed other duties as maybe assigned by the president of higher officials of the VSU	20	20	5	4	4	4.33	
Total Over-all Rating								27.00	
Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)				4.50	] (	Comments & Recommendations			
Additional Points:					for Development Purpose:				
Punctuality:			ļ		Afferd Painty				
Approved Additional point (with copy of approval)			<u> </u>	4.50	Denninge (Testà)				està)
FINAL RATING				4.50 VS	at one a later come				
ADJECTIVAL RATING			l	1 42	<u> </u>		•	1000	

Evaluate & Rota:

MARCO E. BALLAD SUPERVISOR

Recommending Approval:

Approvedby:

REMBERTO X. PATINDOL VICE PIZES. FOR KDM.

#### Annex O

## **Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff**

		Rating Period:	Junaury to June 2018	
Name of Staff:	GERONIMO T. TUMULAK	Position: Adm. Ai	ide I	

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description							
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model							
4	Very Satisfactory	he performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements							
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements	performance meets job requirements						
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requiren	nents.						
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements							
. Commitme	ent (both for subordinates	and supervisors )			Scale	<del>)</del>			
1	Demonstrates sensitivity business with the office fu	to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting ulfilling and rewarding.					5		
2		ients even beyond official time					5		
3	Submits urgent non-routi DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA	ne reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, A, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time rk even without overtime pay				4			
4	Accepts all assigned task within the prescribed time	s as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs					(5)		
5	employees who fail to per	form all assigned tasks					(E)		
6	1 • • •	on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out logs out upon departure from work.					E		
7	Keeps accurate records of	of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	ļ		(	(4)	1		
8	Suggests new ways to fu	rther improve her work and the services of the office to its clients					(5		
9	is not related to his position	assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment on but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the					E		
10	outputs of which results a or satisfaction of clientele						É		
11	Accepts objective criticismost accomplishment	ns and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of					E		
12	Willing to be trained and	developed	· · · · · · · · · · · ·			<b>-</b>	(E		
	<u> </u>	Total Score			•	18			
B. Lea	dership & Management (	For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor			Scal	е			
1	confidence from subordi	nd expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and nates and that of higher superiors draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the							
2	office/department aligned	I to that of the overall plans of the university.  To fimproving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational				_	Ļ		
3	processes and functions	of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.  r the overall performance and in delivering the output required of					L		
4	his/her unit	monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved					<u> </u>		
5		ess in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the							
		Total Score							
		Average Score		4.	23				

	1

MARIO E. BALIAD

Head, LSWMU

## EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: Geronim Performance Rating: Outstandin	no T. Tumulak ng		•	
Aim:	·			
Proposed Interventions to Improv	ve Performance:			
Date:	Target Date:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·	
First Step:				
Result: Attend Training, Semina	ars TESDA related w	orks		
Date:	Target Date:			
Outcome:				•
Final Step/Recommendation:				
	Prepared by:		i.	
			DE. BALIAD upervisor	

Conform:

GERONIMO T. TUMULAK
Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff