#### **Annex P**

## COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Staff: HENRY P.POSAS

| Particulars (1)  | Numerical<br>Rating (2) | Percentage<br>Weight<br>(3) | Equivalent<br>Numerical Rating |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Numerical rating per IPCR  | 3.92                    | 70%                         | (2x3)<br>2.74                  |
| Supervisors/Head's assessment of     His contribution towards Attainment of     office accomplishments | 4.60                    | 30%                         | 1.38                           |
|  | TOTAL NU                | IMERICAL RATING             | 4.12                           |

**TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:** 

4.12

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: TOTAL NUMERIAL RATING:

4.12

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

VS

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

HENRY P. POSAS Name of Staff

CELSO OUMAOD

Department/Office Head

Approved;

REMBERTO A. PA Chairman, PMT

3

### "Exhibit B"

I, HENRY P.POSAS, of the SECURITY SERVICES AND MANAGEMENT OFFICE commit to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets/accomplishment in accordance with the indicated measures for the period July 1 to December 31, 2018.

HENRY P. POŠAS

Ratee

CELSO GUMAOD

Head, Security Office

|   | Program/Activities/ |  | ACCOMP | LISHMENT |            | Rating         |                |                |                |         |  |
|---|---------------------|--|--------|----------|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|--|
| MFO / PAPS  | Projects            | Tasks Assigned   | Target | Actual   | Percentage | Q <sup>1</sup> | E <sup>2</sup> | T <sup>3</sup> | A <sup>4</sup> | Remarks |  |
| UMFO 6 General Administration and Support Services (GASS)                     |                     |  |        |          |            |                |                |                |                |         |  |
| VPAF MFO 7: Security Services and Management Office                           |                     |  |        |          |            |                |                |                |                |         |  |
| Security Services Management MFOs:  |                     |  |        |          |            |                |                |                |                |         |  |
| MFO 3. Public Safety  |                     |  |        |          |            |                |                |                |                |         |  |
| PI. 1. Number of hours implementation of road traffic safety during rush hour | Traffic safety      | Guide pedestrian and assist students, Faculty and Staff in crossing the highway. | 20     | 45       | 225%       | 4              | 4              | 4              | 4              |         |  |
| MFO 4. Maintain Peace and Order   |                     |  |        |          |            |                |                |                |                | 37      |  |

| PI 1. Number of hours fixed post<br>being manned                         | Manning fixed Post<br>(Post 1,2,<br>Administration<br>Building and Market<br>area) | Checking in/out all motorized vehicle, Entering on the blotter all report incidents, Checked and assist all visitors, Checked/reprimand unlicense motorcycle driver, Received packages/money/letters and facilitate the owners to withdraw, Records trip ticket of VSU vehicles, Checking student, faculty and Staff ID's, Monitor in/out of Staff, Opening and closing of market gate including PCC and Holy spirit gate and Inspection of all stalls after closing time. | 240 | 360 | 150% | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3.667 |  |
|--|--|--|-----|-----|------|---|---|---|-------|--|
| PI 2. Number of hours in the Campus properly roved                       | Campus roving  | Observed area of responsibility<br>(AOR)   | 50  | 65  | 130% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4     |  |
| <u>PI. 3.</u> Number of orders/directives from higher office implemented | on on different<br>memorandum circulars<br>issued by <b>OP.</b>                    | Apprehend/reprimand violators on vandalism; Picking fruits, flowers, plants, etc. on campus without permission; Public disturbance; Trespassing; Littering; Intrusion VSU properties; No smoking policy; Improper disposal of solid waste; and Curfew policy.  | 20  | 22  | 110% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4     |  |
| TOTAL OVER-ALL RATING  |  |  |     |     |      |   |   |   | 15.67 |  |

(

a, •.

| Average Rating(Total Overall rating divided by 4) |    | 3.92 |
|---|----|------|
| Additional Points:                                |    |      |
| Punctuality                                       | ХХ |      |
| Approved additional points(with copy of approval) | ж  | 3.92 |
| FINAL RATING                                      |    |      |
| ADJECTIVAL RATING                                 |    | VS   |

Evaluated and Rated by;

CELSO GUMAOD

Dept/Office Head

Date:

1 - Quality

2 - Efficiency

3 - Timeliness

4 - Average

Approved by;

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Vice Pres. For Admin & Finance Date:

Position: Security Guard-1

# Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff Rating Period: <u>July 1 to December 31, 2018</u>

Name of Staff: HENRY P. POSAS

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

| Scale | Descriptive Rating | Qualitative Description   |
|-------|--------------------|---|
| 5     | Outstanding        | The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model |
| 4     | Very Satisfactory  | The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements  |
| 3     | Satisfactory       | The performance meets job requirements  |
| 2     | Fair               | The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.  |
| 1     | Poor               | The staff fails to meet job requirements  |

| 4   | Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)  |     |    | Sca | le  |   |
|-----|---|-----|----|-----|-----|---|
| 1   | The state of the poor and an government properties in view.   | 5   | 4  | 3   | 2   | 1 |
| 2   | <ul> <li>Walks during his tour-of-duty in a military manner, keeping always on the aler<br/>and observing everything that takes place within sight or hearing.</li> </ul>       | t 5 | 4  | 3   | 2   | 1 |
| 3.  | Reports all violation of orders he is instructed to enforce.  |     |    |     | +   | - |
| A   |   | 5   | 4  | 3   | 2   | 1 |
| 4.  | Repeats all calls from posts more distant from the guard house where he is stationed.   | 5   | 4  | 3   | 2   | 1 |
| 5.  | Quits his post only when properly relieved.   | 5   | 4  | 3   | 2   | 1 |
| 6.  | Receives, obeys and passes on to the relieving guard all orders from the university heads, SSO head, security officer or shift supervisors.                                     |     | 4  | 3   | 2   | 1 |
| 7.  | Talks to no one except in line of duty.   | 5   | 4  | 3   | 2   | 1 |
| 8.  | Gives the alarm in case of fire or disorder.  | 5   | 4  | 3   | 2   | 1 |
| 9.  | Calls the superior officer in any case not covered by instructions.   | 5   | 4  | 3   | 2   | 1 |
| 10. | commissioned officers of the Armed Forces of the Philippines.   | 5   | 4  | 3   | 2   | 1 |
| 11. | Especially watchful at night, and during the time of challenging, challenges all persons near his post and allows no one to pass without proper authority                       | 5   | 4  | 3   | 2   | 1 |
|     | Wears his uniform, badge, patches and insignia in a proper manner, and neat in appearance as a symbol of public trust and confidence.   |     | 4  | 3   | 2   | 1 |
| 13. | Familiarizes himself diligently with the rules and regulations laid down by the university and that of the customers or clients.  |     | 4  | 3   | 2   | 1 |
| 4.  | Learns at heart or memorizes and strictly observes the laws and regulations governing the use of firearms.  | 5   | 4  | 3   | 2   | 1 |
| 5.  | Acts at all times with decorum and does not permit personal feelings; prejudices and undue friendship to influence his actuations in the performance of his official functions. | 5   | 4  | 3   | 2   | 1 |
|     | Total Score   | 45  | /2 | 4 = | 4.6 | 0 |

| B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)   |   |   |   | Scale |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|---|-------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors.  | ) | 4 | 3 | 2     |   |  |  |  |  |
| Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.   | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2     | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.   | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2     | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.  | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2     | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2     | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Score  |   |   |   |       |   |  |  |  |  |
| Average Score  |   |   |   |       |   |  |  |  |  |

| Overall recommendation : |                              |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|
|                          | CELSO GUMAOD<br>Name of Head |

### PERFORMANCE MONITORING FORM

Name of Employee: HENRY P.POSAS

|             | of Employee. Therefore | .1 00/10                                   | Y                                  |  |                          | ·                     | <del></del>                     | <del></del>                             |
|-------------|------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Task<br>No. | Task Description       | Expected Output                            | Date<br>Assigned                   | Expected Date to Accomplish            | Actual Date accomplished | Quality of<br>Output* | Over-all assessment of output** | Remarks/<br>Recommen-<br>dation         |
| 1           | Manning fixed post     | Effective manning of fixed post as per SOP | Refer to<br>weekly<br>guard detail | End of weekly<br>Guard Detail<br>Order | December 28<br>2018      | VS                    | VS                              | Observance<br>of 11<br>General<br>Order |
| 2.          | Campus Roving          | AOR properly observed                      | Refer to<br>weekly<br>guard detail | End of weekly<br>Guard Detail<br>Order | December 28<br>2018      | VS                    | VS                              |   |
| 3           |                        |  |                                    |  |                          |                       |                                 |   |
| 4           |                        |  |                                    |  |                          |                       |                                 |   |
| 5           |                        |  |                                    |  |                          |                       |                                 |   |
| 6           |                        |  |                                    |  |                          |                       |                                 |   |
|             |                        |  | <u> </u>                           |  |                          |                       |                                 |   |

<sup>\*</sup> Either very impressive, impressive, needs improvement, poor, very poor

Prepared by:

CELSO GUMAOD Head, Security Office

<sup>\*\*</sup> Outstanding, very satisfactory, satisfactory, unsatisfactory, poor

### PERFORMANCE MONITORING & COACHING JOURNAL

1st Q U 2<sup>nd</sup> A R T E 4th R

Name of Office: SECURITY SERVICES OFFICE

Head of Office: CELSO GUMAOD

Name of Personnel: <u>HENRY P.POSAS</u> Signature:

Date

| A objection -          |   | MECHA   | NISM   |  |   |
|------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|
| Activity<br>Monitoring | Meeti   | T   | Memo   | Others (Pls.   | Remarks   |
|                        | One-on-One  | Group   |  | specify)   |   |
| Monitoring             | The head of office and shift supervisor conducted on-the – spot follow up observations and inspection of detailed SG in his AOR.  | Participation of<br>the SGs and<br>admin staff<br>meeting in the<br>different<br>activities<br>conducted by<br>the head of<br>office. | President Memo on the different university event/celebrations. | LOI and verbal instructions of the University President and OVPAF. | Security Guard concerned was informed of his assignments and properly monitored.    |
| Coaching               | The concerned staff was informed of the outcome of the previous office performance especially concerning drawbacks on their assigned tasks.  -Advices were given to the concerned SG. | Security guards attended command conference/ meetings to iron out what is best he can contribute the unit.                            | SSO memo., orders and LOI issued                               | Weekly duty<br>detail order<br>was issued to<br>concerned<br>SG    | Narrative<br>instruction was<br>given and<br>encouragement<br>to do much<br>better. |

Note: Please indicate the date in the appropriate box when the monitoring was conducted.

Conducted by:

CELSO GWMAOD Head, Security Office Noted by:

REMBERTO A PATINDOL Vice Pres. for Admin & Finance

### EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

| Name of Employee: Henry P.Posas Performance Rating: VS   |
|--|
| Aim: <u>To improve performance</u> .   |
| Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:   |
| Date: July 2018 Target Date: End of September 2018   |
| First Step: Review the Eleven General Orders.  |
|  |
| Result:  |
| More aware of their respective duties and responsibilities.  |
| Date: October 2018 Target Date: End of December 2018  Next Step: Attendance of general meeting and special conference with regards to operation. |
| Outcome: Can easily respond to any form of incident happened in the campus   |
| Final Step/Recommendation:   |
| Attendance of security seminars/trainings.   |
| Prepared by:   |
| Conforme:  Henry P.Posas Name of Ratee/Staff   |