Annex P

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Staff: HENRY S. CAINTIC

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
Numerical rating per IPCR			(2/(0)
	3.75	70%	2.62
Supervisors/Head's assessment of His contribution towards Attainment of office accomplishments	4.0	30%	1.20
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			3.82

TOTAL	NUMERICAL	RATING:
-------	-----------	---------

3.82

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: TOTAL NUMERIAL RATING:

3.82

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

VS

Prepared by

Reviewed by:

Name di Stan

Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

President

PI 1. Number of hours fixed post being manned	Manning fixed Post (Post 1,2, Administration Building and Market area)	Checking in/out all motorized vehicle, Entering on the blotter all report incidents, Checked and assist all visitors, Checked/reprimand unlicense motorcycle driver, Received packages/money/letters and facilitate the owners to withdraw, Records trip ticket of VSU vehicles, Checking student, faculty and Staff ID's, Monitor in/out of Staff, Opening and closing of market gate including PCC and Holy spirit gate and Inspection of all stalls after closing time.	400	850	212%	3	4	4	3.667	
PI 2. Number of hours in the Campus properly roved	Campus roving	Observed area of responsibility (AOR)	18	87	483%	4	3	4	3.667	
<u>PI. 3.</u> Number of orders/directives from higher office implemented	Orders/directives compliance/implementati on on different memorandum circulars issued by OP.	Apprehend/reprimand violators on vandalism; Picking fruits, flowers, plants, etc. on campus without permission; Public disturbance; Trespassing; Littering; Intrusion VSU properties; No smoking policy; Improper disposal of solid waste; and Curfew policy.	12	13	108%	4	4	4	4	
TOTAL OVER-ALL RATING									15.001	

"Exhibit B"

I, HENRY S. CAINTIC, of the <u>SECURITY SERVICES AND MANAGEMENT OFFICE</u> commit to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period, <u>January 1 to June 30, 2016.</u>

HENRY S. CAINTIC

Ratee

CELSO GUMAOD

Head, Security Office

	Program/Activities/											
MFO / PAPS	Program/Activities/ Projects	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual	Percentage	Q ¹	Q ¹ E ² T ³ A ⁴			Remarks		
UMFO 6 General Administration and Support Services (GASS)												
VPAF MFO 7: Security Services and Management Office												
Security Services Management MFOs:												
MFO 3. Public Safety												
PI. 1. Number of hours implementation of road traffic safety during rush hour	Traffic safety	Guide pedestrian and assist students, Faculty and Staff in crossing highway	22	65	295%	4	4	3	3.667			
MFO 4. Maintain Peace and Order												

Average Rating(Total Overall rating divided by 4)		3.75
Additional Points:		
Punctuality	хх	
Approved additional points(with copy of approval)	хх	
FINAL RATING		3.75
ADJECTIVAL RATING		VS

Received by:

Calibrated by:

Recommending Approval:

Planning Office

Date:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

PMT

Date:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Vice Pres. For Admin & Finance

Date:

Approved by:

EDUARDO E. TULIN

President

Date:

1 - Quality

2 - Efficiency

3 - Timeliness

4 - Average

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff Rating Period: January 1 to June 30, 2016

Name of Staff: HENRY S. CAINTIC

Position: Security Guard

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating

Scale Descriptive Rating Qualitative Description									
Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description								
Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model								
Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements								
Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements								
Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.								
Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements								
	Outstanding Very Satisfactory Satisfactory Fair								

A. C	commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		5	Scal	е	
1.	Takes charge of the post and all government properties in view.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Walks during his tour-of-duty in a military manner, keeping always on the alert and observing everything that takes place within sight or hearing.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Reports all violation of orders he is instructed to enforce.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Repeats all calls from posts more distant from the guard house where he is stationed.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Quits his post only when properly relieved.	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Receives, obeys and passes on to the relieving guard all orders from the university heads, SSO head, security officer or shift supervisors.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Talks to no one except in line of duty.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Gives the alarm in case of fire or disorder.	5	4	3	2	1
9.	Calls the superior officer in any case not covered by instructions.	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Salutes all university officials, his superiors, ranking public officials and commissioned officers of the Armed Forces of the Philippines.	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Especially watchful at night, and during the time of challenging, challenges all persons near his post and allows no one to pass without proper authority.	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Wears his uniform, badge, patches and insignia in a proper manner, and neat in appearance as a symbol of public trust and confidence.	5	4	3	2	1
13.	Familiarizes himself diligently with the rules and regulations laid down by the university and that of the customers or clients.	5	4	3	2	1
14.	Learns at heart or memorizes and strictly observes the laws and regulations governing the use of firearms.	5	4	3	2	1

15.	Acts at all times with decorum and does not permit personal feelings; prejudices and undue friendship to influence his actuations in the performance of his official functions.	4	3	2	1
	Total Score	4.	0		

B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)			Scale					
Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors.				2	1			
Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1			
Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1			
Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1			
Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit.	5	4	3	2	1			
Total Score		L	-					
Average Score								

0 " " "	
Overall recommendation	

CELSO GUMAOD Name of Head