



COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Annex P

Name of Administrative Staff: **VIRGILIO C. ACILO**

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.74	70%	3.32
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.50	30%	1.35
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			4.67

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.67


Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING 4.67

ADJECTIVAL RATING: **Outstanding**

Prepared by:


VIRGILIO C. ACILO
Name of Staff

Reviewed by:


MARIA ROBERTA S. MIRAFLORES
Office Head

Recommending Approval:


RYSAN C. GUINOCOR
Director, Administrative Services

Approved:



EDGARDO E. TULIN
OIC, VP for Administration & Finance

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, **VIRGILIO C. ACILO** of the **Records and Archives Office (RAO)** commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period **July 1 to December 31, 2023**.


VIRGILIO C. ACILO
 Ratee 1/11/24


Approved:


MARIA ROBERTA S. MIRAFLOR
 Head, Records and Archives Office 1/11/24

MFOs & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Targets (Jan-Dec 2023)	Actual Accomplishment	Rating				Remarks
					Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	
UMFO 5: SUPPORT TO OPERATIONS (STO)									
VPAF STO3: ARTA aligned compliance and reporting requirements									
ASO STO3: ARTA aligned frontline services									
RAO STO3: ARTA aligned frontline services	PI 1: Efficient & customer friendly frontline services	Attends to queries of clients	100%	100%	5	5	5	5.00	
		Efficient & customer-friendly frontline service	Zero percent complaints	Zero percent complaints	5	5	5	5.00	
VPAF STO4: Innovations and Best Practices									
ASO STO4: Innovations and new Best Practices Development Services									
RAO STO4: Innovations and Best Practices	PI 2: Percent implementation of new innovations and best practices	Continuous implementation of inventory for both current and non- current personnel files	95%	95%	5	5	4	4.67	
UMFO 6: GENERAL ADMINISTRATION SUPPORT SERVICE (GASS)									
VPAF GASS 1: Human Resource Management and Development									
ASO GASS 1. Administrative and Support Services Management									
RAO GASS 2: Records and Archives Services Management	PI 3: Number of leave applications, NOSI, NOSA filed within the day of receipt	Files contracts, 201 files/ documents of administrative staff (regular, casual, contractual staff) including NOSA and NOSI within the day of receipt	8,583 docs	4,291 docs/records	5	5	5	5.00	
		Updates 201 files of administrative staff based on the new CSC checklist	650 files	325 files	4	5	5	4.67	

		Conduct Inventory of all 201 personnel file for non-current documents and current files for administrative staff	4,583 files	2,291 files	4	5	5	4.67	current/ non-current folders of academic/ admin staff
	PI 4: Number of request to dispose of records secured from NAP	Encodes draft of Request for Authority to Dispose Records for review	207 records	1,035 docs/records	5	5	4	4.67	
		Encodes list of valueless records during the conduct of records inventory	2,156 records	1,078 docs/records	5	4	4	4.33	
RAO GASS 3: Information Management System Development & Maintenance	PI 5: Percent implementation of inventory using the HRIS database	Updates of inventory for non-current documents in the HRIS database	95%	100%	5	5	4	4.67	
Total Over-all Rating								42.67	
Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by # of entries)			4.74	Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose: <i>Recommends to attend trainings on disposition of records and archiving.</i>					
Additional Points:									
Punctuality									
Approved additional points (with copy of approval)									
FINAL RATING			4.74						
ADJECTIVAL RATING			Outstanding						

Evaluated & Rated by:


MARIA ROBERTA S. MIRAFLOR
Head, Records and Archives Office

Date: January 11, 2024

Recommending Approval:


RYSAN C. GUINOCOR
Director for Administrative Services

Date: 1/10/24

Approved by:


EDGARDO E. TULIN
OIC, Vice President for Administration & Finance

Date: 1/14/24

1 - Quality 2 - Efficiency 2 - Timeliness 4 - Average



Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: July-December, 2023

Name of Staff: VIRGILIO C. ACILO

Position: ADMINISTRATIVE AIDE III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score		54				

B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score						
Average Score		4.50				

Overall recommendation : _____


MARIA ROBERTA S. MIRAFLOR
 Head, Records and Archives Office

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: **VIRGILIO C. ACILO**

Performance Rating: **July-December 2023**

Aim: To improve his skills on electronic records management.

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:

Date: _____ Target Date: _____

First Step: To send him to training on electronic records management.

Result: _____

Date: _____ Target Date: _____

Next Step: _____

Outcome: _____

Final Step/Recommendation:

Attendance to electronic records management, and other relevant trainings on disposition of records.

Prepared by:

MARIA ROBERTA S. MIRAFLOR

Unit Head

11/11/24

Conforme:

VIRGILIO C. ACILO

Name of Ratee Staff

11/11/24