COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

Vugite C. Meliton

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.17	70%	2.91 2.91
Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	3.16	30%	3.85
	тот	AL NUMERICAL RATING	3.81

TOTAL	NUMERIC	CAL RATING:	

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

9.84

3.8

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Very safie Force by

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

EDITHA F. DARGANTES

Name of Staff

REMEGIO M. SANICO

Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

Draginant

President

Visayas State University HEAVY EQUIPMENT & LIGHT VEHICLE MAINTENANCE UNIT

Visca, Baybay City, Leyte

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW (IPCR)

I, Vergite Meliton, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with	th the indicated measures for the period <u>January to June</u> , <u>2016</u> .
VERGITE MELITON Machinist II	REMEGIO M. SANICO Head, HELVMU
Date:	Date:
MEO	Rating

	MFO					Rating				
MFO No.	Descrip- tion	Success Indicator (SI)	Task Assigned	Target	Actual Accom- plishment	Quality	Efficiency	Timeliness	Average	Remark
UMFO 6. General Admi	inistration and	Support Services							de la seconda	
HELVMU MFO 1. Repa	air of heavy and	l light vehicles								

Received by:	Calibrated by:	Recommending Approval:	Approved:
[L .	- Comp	Long	Algral
Report	tenunctus a. patrodo	REMBERTO A. PATINDOL	EDGARDO E. TULIN, Ph.D
Planning Officer	Chairman, PMT	Vice Pres. for Admin. & Finance	President
Date:	Date:	Date:	Date:

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January - June , 2016

Name of Staff: Vergite C. Melifm Position: Machinist 1)

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A.	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)	4	5	Scale	9	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	(3)	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	(2)	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	(3)	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	(3)	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	(3)	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	(3)	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4)	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4)	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	(3)	2	1
	Total Score		7.10	1		
В.	Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		. (Scale	е	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1

	Average Score					
	Total Score					
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1

Overall recommendation	.:	

REMEGIO M . SANICO Name of Head