



# INSTITUTE FOR STRATEGIC RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT STUDIES

Visayas State University Visca, Baybay City, Leyte PHILIPPINES Phone/Fax: +63 563 7695 Email: isrds@vsu.edu.ph Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

### COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Annex P

Name of Administrative Staff:

Melodina P. Edullantes

	Particulars (1)	Rating (2) Percentage Weight (3)			
1.	Numerical Rating per IPCR	3.85	70%	2.70	
2.	Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	tribution towards t of office		1.33	
		TOTAL NUI	MERICAL RATING	4.02	

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

4.02

4.02

4.02

Very Satisfactory

Prepared by:

LODINA P. EDULLANTES

Name of Staff

Reviewed by:

LILIAN B. NUÑEZ
Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

MOISES NEIL V. SERIÑO

Dean/Director

Approved:

BEATRIZ/S. BELONIAS

Vice President-Academic Affairs

# Visayas State University OFFICE OF THE VICE PRESIDENT FOR RESEARCH & EXTENSION

Visca, Baybay City, Leyte

#### INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW (IPCR)

I, MELODINA P. EDULLANTES, of the BIDANI Program, ISRDS, Visca, Baybay City, Leyte, commit to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January to June 2023.

MELODINA P. EDULLANTES
Ratee
Date: 10,2023

Approved:

								Ra	ting		
MFO No.	MFO Descrip- tion	Success Indicator /Performance Indicator (SI/PI)	Task Assigned T		Target	Actual Accom- plishme nt	1 (0	Efficiency	Timeliness	Average	Remarks
UMFO 4. E	XTENSIO	N SERVICES: Baran	gay Integ	grated Development Approach for	Nutriti	on Impr	roven	nent (	BIDA	ANI)	
UMFO 4. 3.	BIDANI P	Project 3: Participativ	e Nutriti	ion Enhancement Approach (PNEA	4)						
UMFO 4.3.1	. Advoca	cy/Linkaging/Partne	rship								(4.41)
		nber of External and LGUs adopting the ect		Conducts advocacy/social marketing, ground working/resource generation on the adoption of PNEA	2	22	5	4	4	4.33	VSU Component Campuses,Baybay City, Ormoc City, Hindang & Hilongos, Inopacar Leyte, Macrohon Southern, Leyte
	NGAs/VSU experts/dep coordinated	partment/center d & facilitated in echnical services for	1 1	Facilitates & coordinates with LGUs, NGAs & VSU's technical experts	4	24	5	5	4	4.47	Local Government Units of Baybay Hindang, Hilongos, Inopacan Leyte & Macrohon, Southern Leyte, & NNC-R8 as member of RTWG & RNET

	S/PI 3. Number of functional Local Nutrition Committees (C/MNC/BNC), BNS & Nutripak Associations facilitated and coordinated	Facilitates & coordinates the conduct of meetings & planning workshops re: C/M/BNAP	20	25	5	4	5	4.67	City/Municipal/Barangay Nutrition Committees, BNS Associations, Nutripak Association/Cooperative- Baybay & Ormoc City, Hindang & Hilongos, Leyte, Macrohon, Southern, Leyte
	S/PI 4. Amount of extension money generated from external funding	Facilitates generation of extension money from external funding for PNEA implementation	0	0					LGU funded PNEA trainings and Supplemental Feeding Program using Nutripak
	S/PI 5. Number of meetings with NNC Region 8 as RTWG & RNET Members		1	2	4	4	4	4	Regional Technical Working Group (RTWG) andRegional Nutrition Evaluation Team (RNET) Meetings
JMFO 4.3	3.2. Trainings/Seminars								(26)
	S/PI 1. Number of PNEA and nutrition-related trainings/seminars conducted	Conducts PNEA and nutrition-related trainings/seminars in collaboration with partner stakeholders	0	0	2	2	2	2	
	nutrition-related trainings/seminars	trainings/seminars in collaboration with	0	0	2	2	2	2	
	nutrition-related trainings/seminars conducted  S/PI 2. Number of persons trained	trainings/seminars in collaboration with partner stakeholders						9	
	nutrition-related trainings/seminars conducted  S/PI 2. Number of persons trained on PNEA and nutrition related  S/PI 3. Number of persondays trained on PNEA and nutrition	trainings/seminars in collaboration with partner stakeholders	0	0	2	2	2	2	
	nutrition-related trainings/seminars conducted  S/PI 2. Number of persons trained on PNEA and nutrition related  S/PI 3. Number of persondays trained on PNEA and nutrition related  S/PI 4. Percentage of trainees who acted trainings as satisfactory or	trainings/seminars in collaboration with partner stakeholders	0	0	2	2	2	2	

	<u>S/PI</u> . 1. Number of IEC materials prepared and produced: (handouts, planning forms, brochure, programs, monitoring forms, video script)	Prepares Information education Communication (IEC) materials	2	4	4	4	4	4	Monitoring forms for PNEA and MELLPI-Pro
	<u>S/PI</u> . 2. Number of IEC materials distributed: (handouts, planning forms, brochure, programs, monitoring forms)	Distributes Information education Communication (IEC) materials	25	30	4	4	4	4	Monitoring forms for PNEA and MELLPI-Pro
1FO 4.3	3.4. Technical Backstopping Activiti	es (done to partner stakeholders outside	training	ıs - Coa	ching	and d	consu	Itatio	ons) (50)
	S/PI . 1. Number of technical/expert services provided to partner stakeholders/organization/groups/in dividuals	Provides technical backstopping activities/technical support services to partner stakeholders and beneficiaries through on-site coaching/meetings/consultations, phone calls and emails	10	33	15	5	5	5	City/Municipal/Barangay Nutrition Committees, BNS Associations, Nutripak Association/Cooperative- Baybay City, Hindang & Hilongos, Inopacan Leyte, Macrohon, Southern Leyte

Total Overall Rating	15.42
Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)	3.95
Additional Points:	
Approved additional points (with copy of approval)	
FINAL RATING	3.85
ADJECTIVAL RATING	VC.

Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:

Exert more effort towards gaining more partners.

Evaluated & Rated by:

LIAN B. NUÑEZ

Recommending Approval:

MOISES NEIL B. SERIÑO

Approved:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS

Dept./Unit Head

2-Efficiency

3-Timeliness

1-Quality

4-Average

Vice President for Academic Affairs
Date: 7/2/23



Visayas State University
Visca, Baybay City, Leyte PHILIPPINES
Phone/Fax: +63 563 7695
Email: isrds@vsu.edu.ph
Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

#### Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January - June 2023

Name of Staff: Melodina P. Edullantes Position: Administrative Aide 3

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. (	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		9	Scale	3	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	(5)	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1

	Total Score					
	eadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher upervisor)	Scale				
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score		1	53	3	-
and the second second	Average Score			4.4	-2	

Overall recommendation

: allocate time for marteral their work.

Director, ISRDS

## EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Conforme:

MELODINA P. EDULLANTES

Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff