# COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

#### **ALFREDO BRAGA**

Particulars (1)		Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.46	70%	3.122
2	Supervisor/Head's Assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishment	4.00	30%	1.2
	4.322			

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4.322
Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:	
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4.322
FINAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4 322

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Reviewed by:

VS

MARIO C. BANTUGAN

Adm. Aide III

Prepared by:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Vice Pres. for Adm. & Finance

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

President

## INDIVIDUAL PERE-RMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, <u>ALFREDO M. BRAGA</u> of the <u>GENERAL SERVICES DIVISION</u> commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period: <u>JANUARY TO JUNE 2018</u>

ALFREDO M. BRAGA

Ratee

Approved:

Head of Unit

A450 0 B of a second delication	Success Indicators . Tasks Assigned	. Tooks Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishme	Rating				Domarka
MFO & Performance Indicators		Tasks Assigned	Target	nt	Q¹	E²	T³	A⁴	Remarks
FMO1-Power related new and major project	PI 1.1 Installed Electrical Systems Administration building	Installed electrical rough-ins & raceways	90	110	5	4	4	4.33	
	PI 1. 2 Academic and Research buildings	Installed electrical wiring	5	6	5	5	4	4.67	
	PI 1.3 IGP building	Installed electrical panel board, switches, convenience outlet, aircon outlet and devices	45	55	5	5	4	4.67	
	PI 1.4 Student and Staff Housing	Installed electrical lighting fixtures	30	35	5	4	4	4.33	
		Replaced/Maintaind electric poles distribution and secvondary lines, cross arms, cut outs, and insulators	12	15	5	4	4	4.33	
FMO2-Electrical Division	Lauter and an all and	Re-insulated and replaced primary and secondary service entrance	5	7	5	4	4	4.33	
System Circuit, reapir and maintain		Tension primary and secondary lines, reconnected the primary and secondary lines and cleaned insulators and cut outs	5 minor repair 5 major repair	6 minor repair 6 major repair	5	5	4	4.67	
		Cleaned primary and secondary bushing, took sample of transformer oil for color index, refilled new transformer oil	17 distribution transformer		5	4	4	4.33	
Total Over-all Rating								35.7	
				T					
Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)			4.46	Comments & Recommendations					
Additional Points:					for	Deve	lopment	Purpose:	
Punctuality:		·		7	eet	-W.S		China	
Approved Additional point (with copy of approval)				7	6 K			Basis	
FINAL RATING				4.46	/r.	al"	abro	nator	Soft by the
ADJECTIVAL RATING				VS	UL	7			U / 1.01/

Evaluat & Rated by: Recommending Approval:

MPERVISOR ENCITED

Approvedby:

PEMBER'S A PATINDOL VIOR PORS, FOR ADM.

#### Annex O

## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

	Rating Period:	Junaury to June 2018
Name of Staff: ALFREDO BRAGA	Position: Adm. As	sst.II

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description					
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model					
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements					
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements					_
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirement	ents.				
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements					
Commitme	ent (both for subordinates	and supervisors )		S	<u>Scale</u>		
1	Demonstrates sensitivity business with the office for	to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting ulfilling and rewarding.		4			
2	Makes self-available to cl	ients even beyond official time		4			
3	DBM, CSC, DOST, NED, by rendering overtime wo	ine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, A, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time ork even without overtime pay		4			
4	Accepts all assigned task within the prescribed time	s as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs		4			
5	employees who fail to pe	to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- rform all assigned tasks		4			
6	on personal matters and	on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out logs out upon departure from work.		4			
7	Keeps accurate records	of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.		4			
8	1 **	rther improve her work and the services of the office to its clients		4			
9	is not related to his positi	assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment on but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the		4			
10	Maximizes office hours doutputs of which results or satisfaction of clientele	uring lean periods by performing non-routine functions the as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office		4			
11	Accepts objective criticis his work accomplishmen	ms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of t		4			
12	Willing to be trained and	developed		4			
		Total Score	4	18			
B. Le	adership & Management (	For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor			Scale	,	
1	confidence from subord	nd expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and inates and that of higher superiors					
2	office/department aligne	draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the dto that of the overall plans of the university.	Þ				_
3	processes and functions	e of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.					_
4	his/her unit.	or the overall performance and in delivering the output required of monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved					_
5		ess in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the ted targets of the unit					
		Total Score			1		
		Average Score		4	n		

Overall recommendation

APOLONIO M. ENCIERTO

Head, PESMU

### EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Performance Rating: Very Satisfactory	
Aim:	
Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:	
Date: Target Date:	
First Step:	
Result: Technical Seminar on Lineman Electrical Training on Basic occupational on safe health.	∍ty
Date: Target Date:	
Next Step:	
Outcome:	
Final Step/Recommendation:	٠
Prepared by:  APOLONIO M. ENCIERTO Supervisor	
Conform:	

ALFREDO BRAGA
Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff