

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Faculty Member: Mr. RAFAEL B. VERGARA, JR.

Program Involvement (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2 x 3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.64	70%	3.25
2 Supervisory/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	5.00	30%	1.50
		TOTAL, NUMERICAL RATING	4.75

EQUIVALENT NUMERICAL RATING: 4.75
Add: Additional Points, if any:
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.75

ADJECTIVAL RATING: OUTSTANDING

Prepared by:

RAFAEL B. VERGARA, JR.
Name of Administrative

Reviewed by:

WINSTON M. TABADA
Department Head

Approved by: 
EDGARDO E. TULIN
President

"Exhibit B"

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, Mr. RAFAEL B. VERGARA, JR., of the Department of Computer Science and Technology commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period July 1 December 31, 2016.


RAFAEL B. VERGARA, JR.
 Ratee


WINSTON M. TABADA

Head of Unit

MFO & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Rating				Remarks
					Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	
Advanced & Higher Education Services	Number of exam reproduced	Produced CS 21 & HRTM 135 exams	400	900	5	5	4	4.67	
	Number of IPCR, PPP encodes and reproduced	Encodes faculty and administrative IPCR, PPP and reproduced	7	7	5	5	4	4.67	
	Number of OPCR encodes and reproduced	Encode Dept. OPCR and reproduced.	1	1	4	4	4	4.00	
	Accreditation of BSCS curricular program (3rd level)	Assigned as AACCCUP Counterpart on Area X (Administration)	100%	100%	5	5	5	5.00	Gathers important documents needed for the Accreditation BSCS program Level III.
General Administration and Support Services (GASS)									
Efficient and customer friendly frontline service Student Services	0% complaint from client served	Frontliner	Frontlining	no valid complaint	5	5	5	5.00	
	Documents requested by students served on time								
	1. Number of Grades Student's Copy Issued	Facilitates students in issuance of permits	100	260	5	4	4	4.33	Done right after the end of the semester.
	2. Number of Registration Permit Issued	Issuance BSCS registration form	180	370	5	5	5	5.00	During enrollment

Teaching Performance Evaluation	Number of evaluation conducted and results submitted to OVPI within the day during the evaluation period	Facilitates Teachers Performance Evaluation	16	25	5	4	4	4	4.33	Done right after midterm examination per semester /subject.
Secretariat Works	Number of Incoming and Outgoing documents recorded & released	Recording Incoming and outgoing documents	100	470	5	5	5	5	5.00	Vouchers, Faculty Workload, Actual Teaching Load Assignments, Liquidation Reports, Purchased Request, Annual Procurement Plan, Staff Development Plan, Itinerary travel, CSRs, Appointment for Jos, JO, Payrolls.
	Number of documents prepared and submitted on time:	Prepared and submit documents on time								
	1. Individual Faculty Workload		10	10	5	4	4	4	4.33	Every semester
	2. Actual Teaching Load		2	2	5	4	5	5	4.67	Every semester
	3. Projected faculty workload for the succeeding semester		2	2	5	4	5	5	4.67	Every semester
	4. Standard government forms		100	300	4	5	5	5	4.67	Every month
Other Services	Number of DCST documents consolidated/files:		100	300	4	5	5	5	4.67	Memorandums, Letters, Contracts, Completion Forms, Grade Sheets, Other documents
Total Over-all Rating									65.00	
Average Rating									4.64	
Adjectival Rating									0	

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 6)		4.64
Additional Points:		
Punctuality		
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)		
FINAL RATING		4.64
ADJECTIVAL RATING		O

Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:

Received by:


Planning Office

Calibrated by:


REMBERTO A. PATINDOL
PMT

Recommending Approval:


BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS
Vice President for Instruction

Approved by:


EDGARDO E. TULIN
President

Date: _____

Date: _____

Date: _____

Date: _____

- 1- Quality
- 2 - Efficiency
- 3 - Timeliness
- 4 - Average

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: July 1- December 31, 2017Name of Staff: RAFAEL B. VERGARA JR. Position: Administrative Aide IV


Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which <u>always</u> results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score						
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1

2. Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3. Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4. Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5. Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score					
Average Score					

Overall recommendation : _____



Name of Head