COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

	Name of Administrative	Staff:	Alan Guarte
--	------------------------	--------	-------------

	Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1.	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.686	70%	3.280
2.	Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	2.335*	30%	0.701
		TOTAL NUME	RICAL RATING	3.981

*Only 50% of Supervisor's Rating is counted since Mr. Alan Guarte worked under DABE for half of the rating period (Jan-June Succeeding ratings will be full if appointment will be renewed. TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 3.981 Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: FINAL NUMERICAL RATING ADJECTIVAL RATING: Satisfactory Prepared by: Reviewed by:

Name of Staff

ARTHURAT. TAMBONG, FPSAE Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

ROBERTO C.

Dean/Director

Approved:

BEATRIZ S. BELÓNIAS, Ph.D.

Vice President

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW (IPCR)

I, <u>ALAN GUARTE</u>, of the <u>Department of Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering</u>, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January to June, 2019.

ALAN GUARTE

Ratee

Approved:

ARTHURIT. TAMBONG, FPSAE

Head of Unit

				Actual		Ra	ating		
MFOs/ PAPs	Success Indicators	Task Assigned	Target	Actual Accom- plishment	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	Remarks
UMFO 1.	ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES								
	OVPI MFO 1. Graduate Degree Program Management Se	rvices							
	PI 1: Number of graduate degree specializations offered and monitored	Assisting	1	1	5	5	5	5.00	MSAE with 3 specializations
	PI 2: Total FTE monitored								No MS teaching load
	PI 3: Percentage of students who graduated within the prescribed period	Assisting	50%	29%	5	4	5	4.67	2 out of 7 (low % is normal in MS degree programs)
	OVPI MFO 2. Graduate Student Management Services								
	PI 1: Percentage of graduate students awarded with honors/distinction	Assisting	0	2	5	5	5	5.00	DABE Best Thesis Awards in Water Res., Renewable Energy
	PI 2: Percentage of graduate students advised who rated the adviser/GAC member at least very satisfactory	Assisting	60%	100%	5	5	5	5.00	MS Students
	PI 3: Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following:								
	a. Pursuing advanced research degree program								No opportunity yet

				ii .					
	b. Actively pursuing in the last three years (investigative research, basic and applied scientific research, policy research, social science research)								RCG, AIT & DLST are all engaged in research
	c. Producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood imrovement	Assisting	100%	100%	5	5	4	4.67	RCG - solar lighting; AIT - employment generation from FS; DLST -processing machines commercializatn.
	d. whose research work resulted in an extension program	Assisting	0%	33%	5	5	4	4.67	RCG renewable energy research
	Total Points:				Subtota	ıl:		29.00	
UMFO 2.	HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES								
	OVPI MFO 1. Curriculum Program Management Services								
	PI 1: Total FTE Monitored	Assisting							No BS teaching load
	PI 2: Percentage of undergraduate curricular program compliant to CMO approved and offered	Assisting	100%	100%	5	5	5	5.00	BSAE & BSABE
	PI 3: Average percentage passing in licensure exam (first takers, June 2019 graduates)	Assisting	60%	84%	5	5	5	5.00	21/25 or 84.00% for 1st takers, 25/34 or 73.53% overall
	PI 4: Percentage of first time licensure exam takers who passed the licansure exam (compared to previous year's percentage)	Assisting	100%	121%	5	5	5	5.00	Computation: 100%+((84- 69.23)/69.23 x100%); Previous Yr Passing: 69.23% = 121%
	PI 5: Number of students who graduated within the prescribed period	Assisting	60%	91%	5	5	5	5.00	20 of 22
	PI 6: Percentage increase in the number of undergraduate students who graduated within prescribed period	Assisting	5%	110.98%	5	5	5	5.00	Last yr graduates: 14/17 or 82%
	PI 7: Percentage of graduates (two years prior) who are employed and/or pursuing graduate studies	Assisting	82%	100%	5	5	5	5.00	All graduates are employed (based on student monitoring feedback)
	PI 8: Number of academe/industry linkages established	Assisting	1	8	5	5	5	5.00	8 OJT New Linkages: NIA- Manila, NIA-Tacloban, NIA- Ormoc, DA-R7, DA-R8, SRA- Negros Occ., LGU-Negros Occ., LGU-Ormoc
	PI 9: Number of thesis/special problems of students:								

					_			
a. Thesis/Case studies/ Special Problems/ OJT Narrative/ Engineering Projects/ Portfolio outlines approved	Assisting	10	21	5	5	5	5.00	21 BS Thesis/OJT outlines approved
a. Thesis/Case studies/ Special Problems/ OJT Narrative/ Engineering Projects/ Portfolio reports/manuscripts approved	Assisting	10	21	5	5	5	5.00	21 BS Thesis/OJT manuscripts approved
PI 10: Number of student organizations advised/assisted		N/A	N/A					AIT & JJDL: PSABE-VSC; RCG: CoE-SSC; AIT: CBI-International VSU Chapter
PI 11: Number of instructional materials developed/revised								
a. OBE-compliant syllabi	Workforce	4	8	5	5	4	4.67	AIT-2, RCG-2, MEC-1, TFS-1, FLS-1, TFS-1
b. Teaching guides/Student guides/Laboratory Manuals	Workforce	1	1	5	4	4	4.33	AIT-1
c. Complete sets of teacher-developed audio visual materials (powerpoints, videos, computer games, etc)	Workforce	4	6	5	5	4	4.67	PowerPoint visual matls: AIT-1, RCG-1, MEC-1, TFS-1, JJDL-1, FLS-1
d. On-line interactive learning resources per subject	Workforce	0	1	5	4	4	4.33	AIT-1: Bytex Feasibility Analyzer Datasets Version 2019 (interactive but offline or on classroom only)
PI 12: Number of instruction-related awards								
Number of national student awards	Assisting	0	8	5	5	5	5.00	NAT'L Major Award - 1: Allen Glen Gil - Most Outstanding ABE Student Leader awarded by PSABE National Chapter; NAT'L Student Awards - 7: Various Awards Obtained during the PSABE Nat'l Conventn, Bacolod, April 2019 - AutoCAD, Harvester Parts Identificatn, Dance, PSABE Chapter Video, Ms. ABE, Mr. ABE
Number of honor graduates	Assisting	2	1	5	5	5	5.00	Fatima Gumamac, BSAE - Cum Laude; (2 board topnotchers-Top 3 & Top 7; placed under additional outputs)
Total Points	5	Subtota	l:		73.00			

UMFO 3.	RESEARCH SERVICES								
	PI 1. Number of research proposals submitted	Assisting	1	7	5	4	4	4.33	DA-BAR Propsal: AIT-1; DOS' CRADLE Proposal: RCG-1; CHED Proposals: RCG-1, TFS-1 JJDL-1, TFS-1; DOST Balik Scientist Propsal: ROC -1
	PI 2. Number of research proposals approved	Assisting	1	1	5	4	4	4.33	DOST Balik Scientist Project: ROC -1
	PI 3. Number of research studies conducted	Assisting	6	1	5	4	4	4.33	DOST Balik Scientist Roject: ROC -1
	PI 4. Number of research projects/studies completed within the year	Assisting	3	4	5	4	4	4.33	4 Climate Change Studies: AIT-1 MEC-1, TFS-1, JJDL-1
	PI 5. Number of research papers (oral presentation, posters, keynote speaker, plenary speaker) presented in regional/national/ int'l scientific/professional fora and conferences								
	In int'l fora/conferences	Assisting	0	0	N/A	3	4	3.50	No opportunity yet
	In nat'l fora/conferences	Assisting	1	1	5	4	4	4.33	In PSABE Nat'l Convention: AIT-
	In reg'l fora/conferences	Assisting	1	1	5	4	4	4.33	AIT-1 in ATI, Isabela
	In institutional fora/conferences	Assisting	2	3	5	5	4	4.67	AIT-2 in OVPRE, ATI-VSU; RCG 1 in OVPRE
	PI 6. Number of paper submitted for publication in internationally indexed journals	Assisting	0	1	5	4	4	4.33	AIT-1: EB Journal (Europe)
	PI 7. Number of published papers in peer-reviewed journals								
	In refereed int'l journals	Assisting	0	0	N/A	3	4	3.50	None published yet
	In refereed nat'l journals	Assisting	0	0	N/A	3	4	3.50	None published yet
	In institutional journals	Assisting	0	0	N/A	3	4	3,50	None published yet
	PI 8. Number of patents/Utility Models/copyrights filed and registered	Assisting	1	3	5	5	4	4.67	AIT-3 Copyrights: Bytex Feasibility Analyzer Datasets Version 2019, Global Food Security System Version 3, Open Channel Designer Ver. 2
	PI 9. Amount of research money generated from external funding (Thousand PHP)	Assisting	0	465	5	5	4	4.67	DOST Balik Scientist Sponsorship
	PI 10. Amount of research money generated from institutional funding (Thousand PHP)	Assisting	50	100	5	5	4	4.67	RCG Researches

ш

				A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		****	_	_	
	PI 11. Number of research outputs in the last three years utilized by the industry or other beneficiaries	Assisting	0	4	5	5	5	5.00	4 Climate Change Studies utilized by PAGASA: AIT-1, MEC-1, TFS- 1, JJDL-1
	PI 12. Number of research-related awards (research conducted by faculty or students with faculty)	Assisting	0	0	N/A	3	4	3.50	Many papers presented, unfortunately no award received so far
	Total Points				Subtota	l:		154.50	
UMFO 4.	EXTENSION SERVICES								
	PI 1: Number of trainees weighted by the length of training (man-hours)	Assisting	240	2,690	5	5	5	5.00	ROC (Balik Scientist): Biodesel Production Training at RERC; AG: TESDA-VSU Training co- managed with ME Dept.
	PI 2: Number of trainings conducted	Assisting	1	2	5	5	5	5.00	ROC: Biodiesel Production; AG: TESDA-VSU Training co- managed with ME Dept.
	PI 3: Number of IEC materials/techno-guides developed/used	Assisting	1	2	5	5	5	5.00	RCG-1: Solar Technoguide; AIT- 1: ABE Brochure for Incoming Students
	PI 4: Number of beneficiaries served								
	Groups	Assisting	2	9	5	5	5	5.00	1 Biogas Training Batch; 3 RERC Field Trip Groups; 4 Senior High Schools: VSULHS, Ormoc, Hilongos, & Baybay; 1 TESDA Training Batch
-	Individuals	Assisting	15	255	5	5	5	5.00	Biogas Training≃50 persns; RERC Field Trips = 100 persons; Senior High Schools = 80 students from VSULHS, Ormoc, Hilongos, & Baybay; TESDA Training=25 persns
	PI 5: Number of technical/expert services provided/rendered								
	Research Mentoring	Assisting	1	2	5	5	5		RCG-1 & AIT-1: Separate research mentorings to junior DABE faculty
	Peer reviewers/Panelists								AIT-1: Journal of Society & Technoly

								_	
	Resource Person								RCG-2: CHED-TPET; AIT-4: ATI Trainings in Isabela and VSU; 2 Board Reviews handled in MSU-Gensan & SPAMAST- Digos
	Convenor/Organizer	Assisting	1	1	5	4	4	4.33	RCG-1:CHED-TPET Forum
	Consultancy								AIT-2 Feasibility Study Consultancy at Kurin, Manadaue City & DBSN Farms; RCG-1, ROC-1: DBSN Farms Biodiesel Concerns
	Evaluator	Assisting	N/A	N/A					All Faculty as Thesis/OJT evaluators
	PI 6: Number of extension projects conducted	Assisting	1	3	5	5	4	4.67	ROC: Biogas Training at RERC; AG: TESDA-VSU Trainings co- managed with ME Dept.; RCG: Field Trips hosting
	PI 7: Number of extension proposals submitted	Assisting	1	1	5	5	4	4.67	TESDA-VSU Trainings co- managed with ME Dept.
	PI 8: Number of extension proposal approved	Assisting	1	1	5	5	4	4.67	TESDA-VSU Trainings co- managed with ME Dept.
1 1"	PI 9: Amount of extension money generated from external funding (Thousand PhP)	Assisting	0	100	5	5	4	4.67	TESDA-VSU Trainings co- managed with ME Dept.
1	PI 10: Amount of extension money generated from institutional funding (Thousand PhP)	Assisting	30	100	5	5	4	4.67	VSU Counterpart in kind
	PI 11: Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course and advisory services as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance	Assisting	60%	100%	5	5	4	4.67	TESDA-VSU Trainings co- managed with ME Dept.
1 1-	PI 12: Number of extension-related awards (extension conducted by faculty or student and faculty)	Assisting	0	0	N/A	3	4	3.50	No opportunity yet
	Total Points				Subtota	l:		65.83	
UMFO 5.	SUPPORT TO OPERATIONS (STO)								
OVPI MFO	1. Faculty Development Services								
1 1	PI 1: Number of faculty pursuing advanced degree programs	Support	2	2	5	5	4		Engr. Eldon De Padua & Engr. Ma. Grace Sumaria
	2. Faculty Recruiting/Hiring Services		-						
	PI 2: Number of faculty recruited/aligned with university RSP policy and competency-based HRM	Support	0	N/A					N/A, none hired during the period

O 3. Faculty Evaluation Services								
PI 3: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops organized/ coordinated for the entire university	Support	1	2	5	5	5	5.00	RCG-1: ISO Workshops
PI 4: Number of seminars/trainings/conventions/workshops outside the university	Support	2	2	5	5	4	4.67	RCG-1:CHED-TPET Workshops
PI 5: Percentage of faculty rated by students with at least very satisfactory rating of the subjects evaluated	Support	60%	100%	5	5	4	4.67	All faculty were rated VS or higher on the average
PI 6: Number of college/department - level seminars/trainings/workshops/reviews conducted/attended/facilitated	Support	3	3	5	5	4	4.67	Undergrad Theses Review, MS Theses Review, OJT Reports Review
OVPI MFO 4. Program and Institutional Accrediation Services								
PI 1: Number of degree programs which passed accreditation/evaluation at least level 1	Workforce	1	2	5	5	5	5.00	BSAE & BSABE
PI 2: Degree program compliant with CHED requirements	Workforce	1	2	5	5	5	5.00	BSAE & BSABE
PI 2a. QMS on faculty recruitment, development	Workforce			N/A	N/A	N/A		Not applicable
IMFO 6. GENERAL ADMINISTRATION & SUPPORT SERVICES								
PI 1. Number of Risk Assessment/Review conducted	Support	1	1	5	5	5	5.00	DAE Risk Assessment in coordination with Dean's Office
PI 2. Number of risks identified	Support	5	10	5	5	5	5.00	10 Risks identified
PI 3. Number of risks adressed/minimized	Support	5	10	5	5	5	5.00	10 Risks minimized
PI 4: Number of legitimate complaints received	Support	0	0	5	5	5	5.00	Outstanding; none received
PI 5: Percentage of complaints indicated in PI 4, if any, addressed within the prescibed period	Support	100%	100%	5	5	5	5.00	100% or equivalent to "no complaint to comply"
	PI 3: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops organized/ coordinated for the entire university PI 4: Number of seminars/trainings/conventions/workshops outside the university PI 5: Percentage of faculty rated by students with at least very satisfactory rating of the subjects evaluated PI 6: Number of college/department - level seminars/trainings/workshops/reviews conducted/attended/facilitated D 4. Program and Institutional Accrediation Services PI 1: Number of degree programs which passed accreditation/evaluation at least level 1 PI 2: Degree program compliant with CHED requirements PI 2a. QMS on faculty recruitment, development GENERAL ADMINISTRATION & SUPPORT SERVICES PI 1. Number of Risk Assessment/Review conducted PI 2. Number of risks identified PI 3. Number of risks adressed/minimized PI 4: Number of legitimate complaints received PI 5: Percentage of complaints indicated in PI 4, if any,	PI 3: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops organized/ coordinated for the entire university PI 4: Number of seminars/trainings/conventions/workshops outside the university PI 5: Percentage of faculty rated by students with at least very satisfactory rating of the subjects evaluated PI 6: Number of college/department - level seminars/trainings/workshops/reviews conducted/attended/facilitated D 4. Program and Institutional Accrediation Services PI 1: Number of degree programs which passed accreditation/evaluation at least level 1 PI 2: Degree program compliant with CHED requirements Workforce PI 2a. QMS on faculty recruitment, development Workforce GENERAL ADMINISTRATION & SUPPORT SERVICES PI 1. Number of Risk Assessment/Review conducted Support PI 2. Number of risks identified Support PI 3. Number of risks adressed/minimized Support PI 4: Number of legitimate complaints received Support	PI 3: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops organized/ coordinated for the entire university PI 4: Number of seminars/trainings/conventions/workshops outside the university PI 5: Percentage of faculty rated by students with at least very satisfactory rating of the subjects evaluated PI 6: Number of college/department - level seminars/trainings/workshops/reviews conducted/attended/facilitated O 4. Program and Institutional Accrediation Services PI 1: Number of degree programs which passed accreditation/evaluation at least level 1 PI 2: Degree program compliant with CHED requirements Workforce 1 PI 2a. QMS on faculty recruitment, development Workforce GENERAL ADMINISTRATION & SUPPORT SERVICES PI 1. Number of Risk Assessment/Review conducted Support 1 PI 2. Number of risks identified Support 5 PI 3. Number of risks adressed/minimized Support 5 PI 4: Number of legitimate complaints indicated in PI 4, if any, Support 100%	PI 3: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops organized/ coordinated for the entire university PI 4: Number of seminars/trainings/conventions/workshops outside the university PI 5: Percentage of faculty rated by students with at least very satisfactory rating of the subjects evaluated PI 6: Number of college/department - level seminars/trainings/workshops/reviews conducted/attended/facilitated D 4. Program and Institutional Accrediation Services PI 1: Number of degree programs which passed accreditation/evaluation at least level 1 PI 2: Degree program compliant with CHED requirements Workforce PI 2. QMS on faculty recruitment, development Workforce PI 1. Number of Risk Assessment/Review conducted Support 1 1 PI 2. Number of risks identified Support 5 10 PI 3. Number of risks adressed/minimized Support 5 10 PI 4: Number of legitimate complaints indicated in PI 4, if any,	PI 3: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops organized/ coordinated for the entire university PI 4: Number of seminars/trainings/conventions/workshops outside the university PI 5: Percentage of faculty rated by students with at least very satisfactory rating of the subjects evaluated PI 6: Number of college/department - level seminars/trainings/workshops/reviews conducted/attended/facilitated D4. Program and Institutional Accrediation Services PI 1: Number of degree programs which passed accrediation/evaluation at least level 1 PI 2: Degree program compliant with CHED requirements Workforce 1 2 5 PI 2a. QMS on faculty recruitment, development Workforce N/A GENERAL ADMINISTRATION & SUPPORT SERVICES PI 1. Number of risks identified Support 5 10 5 PI 3. Number of risks adressed/minimized Support 5 10 5 PI 4: Number of legitimate complaints received Support 5 10 5 PI 4: Number of legitimate complaints received Support 5 10 5 PI 5: Percentage of complaints indicated in PI 4, if any,	PI 3: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops organized/ coordinated for the entire university PI 4: Number of seminars/trainings/conventions/workshops outside the university PI 5: Percentage of faculty rated by students with at least very satisfactory rating of the subjects evaluated PI 6: Number of college/department - level seminars/trainings/workshops/reviews conducted/attended/facilitated D 4. Program and Institutional Accrediation Services PI 1: Number of degree programs which passed accrediation/evaluation at least level 1 PI 2: Degree program compliant with CHED requirements Workforce 1 2 5 5 PI 2a. QMS on faculty recruitment, development Workforce 1 2 5 5 PI 1. Number of Risk Assessment/Review conducted Support 1 1 5 5 PI 2. Number of risks identified Support Support 1 1 5 5 PI 3. Number of risks adressed/minimized Support Support Support 1 0 5 5 PI 3. Number of legitimate complaints received Support O 0 5 5	PI 3: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops organized/ coordinated for the entire university PI 4: Number of seminars/trainings/conventions/workshops outside the university PI 5: Percentage of faculty rated by students with at least very satisfactory rating of the subjects evaluated PI 6: Number of college/department - level seminars/trainings/workshops/reviews conducted/facilitated PI 1: Number of degree programs which passed accreditation/evaluation at least level 1 PI 2: Degree program compliant with CHED requirements Workforce PI 2: Degree program compliant with CHED requirements Workforce PI 2. QMS on faculty recruitment, development Workforce PI 1. Number of Risk Assessment/Review conducted Support Support Support Support 1 1 2 5 5 5 FI 2 PI 2. Number of risks identified Support Support Support 1 1 5 5 5 PI 3. Number of risks adressed/minimized Support Support Support 1 0 5 5 5 PI 3. Number of legitimate complaints received Support O 0 5 5 5 PI 4: Number of legitimate complaints received Support O 0 5 5 5 FI 5: Percentage of complaints indicated in PI 4, if any,	PI 3: Number of seminars/trainings/conventions/workshops organized/ coordinated for the entire university PI 4: Number of seminars/trainings/conventions/workshops outside the university PI 5: Percentage of faculty rated by students with at least very satisfactory rating of the subjects evaluated PI 6: Number of college/department - level seminars/trainings/workshops/reviews conducted/attended/facilitated PI 6: Number of college/department - level seminars/trainings/workshops/reviews conducted/attended/facilitated PI 1: Number of degree programs which passed accreditation/evaluation at least level 1 PI 2: Degree program compliant with CHED requirements Workforce PI 2: Degree program compliant with CHED requirements Workforce PI 2: Degree program compliant with CHED requirements Workforce PI 3: Number of Risk Assessment/Review conducted Support Support

extension	DITIONAL OUPUTS* (In instruction, research, n, production, and/or administration not found in nal commitment)										
Board To	ppnotchers	Support	0	2	5	5	5	5.00	2 ABE Board Exam Topnochers: Top 3 - Gerald Ompod, Top 7 - Donna Pagliawan		
Internation	onal Accreditation	Workforce	0	1	5	5	5	5.00	1 International Accreditation obtained - Washington Accord		
Nationa	Accreditation	Workforce	0	1	5	5	5	5.00	AACCUP Level 3 Phase 2 (2nd to highest level)		
Regiona	Regional Accreditation Workforce 0					5	5	5.00	CHED-RQAT Region VIII		
Number	Number of DOST Balik Scientists Hosted Support 0					5	5	5.00	1 DOST Balik Scientist hosted at RERC - Dr. Rico O. Cruz		
Number	of Field Trips Entertained	Support	0	4	5	5	5	5.00	4 Field Trips Oriented at RERC		
					Subtota	1:		55.00			
Total Over-all Rating						328	3.000				
Average Rating							686				
Adjectival Rating											
			Adjournal rading								

LEGEND: AIT - Arthur It. Tambong; RCG - Roberto C. Guarte; MBL-Manolo B. Loreto; MEC - Manuel C. Casangcapan; TFS - Triana F. Soroño; JJDL - Jessie James D. Layan; FLS - Feliciano L. Sinon Jr. DLST - Daniel Leslie S. Tan; ROC - Rico O. Cruz (DOST Balik Scientist hosted at RERC); LGM - Lorbert G. Mazo; AG - Alan Guarte

Comments &
Recommendations for
Development Purpose:

Enhance participation in reasearch & extension.

Evaluated/Rated by:

ARTHURIT. TAMBONG, FPSAE

Dept /Unit Head Date:

ROBERTO C. GUARTE, Ph.D.

Recommending Approval:

Dean/Director

Date:

Approved by:

BEATRIZ S/BELONIAS, Ph.D.

Vice President

Date: _____

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Name of Staff: _	Rating F	eriod:	Jan-	une	2010)
Name of Staff: _	Alan	Guar	te	Posit	ion:	Welder

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description				
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model				
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements				
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements				
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.				
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements				

A. (A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)					Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	(5)	4	3	2	1				
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	(5)	4	3	2	1				
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	6	3	2	1				
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	(5)	4	3	2	1				
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	9	4	3	2	1				
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	8	4	3	2	1				
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1				
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4)	3	2	1				
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	(5)	4	3	2	1				
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	(5)	4	3	2	1				
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	(5)	4	3	2	1				
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	6	4	3	2	1				
	Total Score									
	improvement of his work accomplishment Willing to be trained and developed				Scale					
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1				
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the	5	4	3	2	1				

3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further	5	4	3	2	1
	satisfaction of clients.					
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	2	1	
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score		56			
	Average Score	1	7.6	07		

Overall recommendation

Improve recording, later since the employer started work at end of morch 2019, the rating will be devided by 2 for verting posiod Jan-Dec. 2019.

> ARTHUR IT. TAMBONG, FPSAE Name of Head

PERFORMANCE MONITORING FORM

Name of Employee: Mr. Alan M. Guarte

Period: January-June 2019

Task	Task Description	Expected Output	Date	Expected	Actual Date	Quality of	Over-all	Remarks/
No.			Assigned	Date to Accomplish	accomplished	Output*	assessment of output**	Recommen- dation
1	Teaching in MS Courses	Grade reports	1-2-2019	6-30-2019	6-30-2019	NA	NA	Not Teaching MS
2	Teaching in BS Courses	Grade reports	1-2-2019	6-30-2019	6-30-2019	NA	NA	Not Teaching
3	Research	Research support	1-2-2019	6-30-2019	6-30-2019	I	VS	Improve more
4	Extension	Extension support	1-2-2019	6-30-2019	6-30-2019	I	VS	Improve more
5	Support to operations	Documents and services	1-2-2019	6-30-2019	6-30-2019	VI	0	Improve more
6	General administration & support services	Documents and services	1-2-2019	6-30-2019	6-30-2019	VI	0	Improve more
7	ISO preparations	Documents and services	1-2-2019	6-30-2019	6-30-2019	VI	0	Improve more

* Either very impressive (VI), impressive (I), needs improvement (NI), poor (P), very poor (VP), not applicable (NA)

**Outstanding (O), very satisfactory (VS), satisfactory (S), unsatisfactory (US), poor (P), not applicable (NA)

NOTE: Mr. Alan M. Guarte started work at DABE in April 2019.

Prepared by:

PROF ARTHUR IP. TAMBONG, FPSAE

Head, DABE

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: ALAN M. GUARTE

Performance rating: 3.90 (S) for the period January-June 2019

Aim: The employee as an effective and efficient implementor of 5S and ISO standards in the

Department of Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering (DABE).

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance: Standardization of office management

Date: January 2019 Target Date: June 2019

First Step

· Re-orientation on 5S

· Re-orientation on ISO standards

Result:

Refreshed knowledge on 5S

• Refreshed knowledge on ISO standards

Date: July 2019 Target Date: December 2019

Next Step:

Implementation of 5S

· Implementation of ISO standards

Outcome:

• No outcome yet/Not applicable for period January-June 2019

Final Step/Recommendation:

• Enhance implementation of 5S

• Enhance implementation of ISO standards

Prepared by:

ARTHUR IT. TAMBONG, FPSAE

Head of Unit

Conforme:

ALAN M. GUARTE

Ratee