## COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR STAFF

## **ADMINISTRATIVE**

Name of Administrative Staff:

**ERLY S. ESGUERRA** 

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2 X 3)
Numircal Rating per IPCR	4.86	0.70	3.40
Supervisor/Head's     assessment of his     contribution towards     attainment of office     accomplishments	4.92	0.30	1.48
	TOTAL NUME	RICAL RATING	4.88

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATIN	NG:
-----------------------	-----

4.88

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.88

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

OUTSTANDING

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

Name of Staff

ALICIA M. FLORES
Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

President

## INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, Erly S. Esguerra, of the Procurement Services Management Office commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January to June 2017.

ILY SESGMERE

ALICIA M. FLORES
Head, SPPMO

			Accomplishment	hment					
MFO/PAPS	Program/Activities	Task Assigned	January to June 2017	lune 2017		Rat	Rating		Remarks
	Undertaken		Target	Actual	Q1	E <sup>2</sup>	Т3	A4	
UMFO 6: General Admini	UMFO 6: General Administrative and Support Services								
OVPAF MFO 6: Procurement Services	ent Services								
SPPMO MF01: Administr	SPPMO MFO1: Administrative and Support Services								
Pl 1: Efficient and		T 1: Serves and attends to cleints		Zero percent					
customer friendly	A.1: Frontline services	requests and inquiries	complaint from	complaint from	2	2	2	2.00	
Sel Vices			not los saliono	DOLLOS CHICAGO		-			
PSMO MFO 6.2: Procuren	PSMO MFO 6.2: Procurement Process Management								
PI 2: Procurement	A.1: Number of PR's received, T1: Receives PR's, evaluates,	T1: Receives PR's, evaluates,							
documents peparation	evaluated and encoded	encodes and assign series PR	800	938	2	2	2	2.00	
and processing		numbers							
	A.2: Number of vochers	T 2: Prepares vouchers for payment							
	prepared	to suppliers for purchases through	200	286	Ľ	Ľ	4	4.67	
		Alternative Method of Procuremet	202	0	1	n			
	A.3: Number of PR's evaluated	A.3: Number of PR's evaluated   T 3: Evaluates specifications of items							
		in the Purchase Request (PR'S)	800	938	2	2	2	2.00	
PSMO MFO 6.3: Procuren	PSMO MFO 6.3: Procurement Monitoring Management								
PI 2: Procurement	A.1: Number of RFQ	T1: Monitors the served and							
documents	monitored	retrieved RFQ's	009	1,093	2	2	2	2.00	

peparation, processing and monitoring	A.2: Number of communications/ information sent to end-users.	A.2: Number of Communications/ information users regarding the items requested sent to end-users.	40	80	S	2	4	4.67	
	A. 3: Number of suppliers records monitored and updated	T 3: Monitors and up-dates Suppliers Directory	150	200	5	5	4	4.67	
Total Over-all Rating					35	35	32	34.00	

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating devided by 7)	4.86
Additional Points:	
Punctuality	××
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)	××
FINAL RATING	
ADJECTIVAL RATING	

Comments & Recommendations for

Development Purposes:



Approved by:

Recommending Approval

Calibrated by:

Received by:

EDGARDO E. TULIN
President

TERESITAL QUIÑANOLA Planning Office

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL **PMT** 

Date:

Date:

Date:

Date:

## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: **JANUARY-JUNE 2017** 

Name of Staff: ERLY S. ESGUERRA Position: ADMINISTRATIVE AIDE III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	<b>Descriptive Rating</b>	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A.	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)			Scale			
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	(5)	4	3	2	1	
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	(4)	3	2	1	
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay.	5	4	3	2	1	
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	0	4	3	2	1	
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1	
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1	
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1	
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients.	(5)	4	3	2	1	
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university.	5	4	3	2	1	
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non- routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele.	5	4	3	2	1	
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment.	(5)	4	3	2	1	
2.	Willing to be trained and developed.	(5)	4	3	2	1	
	Total Score						59

by	Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated higher supervisor)			Scale			
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors.	5	4	3	2	1	
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1	
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1	
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1	
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit.	5	4	3	2	1	
	Total Score						59
	Average Score						4.92

Overall recommendation	:	

ALICIA M./FLORES