COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR **ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF**

Particulars	Numerical Rating	Percentage Weight 70%	Equivalent Numerical
(1)	(2)	(3)	Rating (2x3)
Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.67	x 70%	3.27
Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.58	x 30%	1.37
	4.64		

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING

ADD: Additional Approved Points, if any

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING

4.64

4.64

ADJECTIVAL RATING

OUTSTANDING

Prepared by:

ANTOMETA D. ISRAEL

Admin Aide III

Reviewed by:

DANIEU M. TUĐTUD, JR.

University/Board Secretary

Recommending Approval:

Approved:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

President

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

,ANTONIETA D. ISR	AEL , staff of the	OFFICE OF THE UNIVERSITY	BOARD SECRETA	RY	_ commits	to deliver and agree to be rated on the
attainment of the following targ	ets in accordance with the indi	cated measures for the period _	January to	June Appr	, 2016.	lo watered
ANTONIETA D. ISRAEL Ratee						DAMEL M. TUDTUD, JR. Head of Unit

AAFO e DADe	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Taract	Actual		Rat	ling		Remarks
MFO & PAPs	Success indicators	rasks Assigned	Target	Accomplishment	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	Kemarks
Efficient and customer friendly frontline service	Zero percent complaint from client served	-	0%	0%	5	NA	NA	5	
Meetings Organized and Facilitated	Number of meetings successfully undertaken - Board of Regents - University Administrative Council - University Academic Council	Facilitating University and Board Meetings	4 meetings	6	5	4	5	4.67	
Documents Prepared attendant to Meetings: * Minutes of meetings	Number of completed documents prepared within 7 working days before scheduled meeting - Board of Regents - University Administrative Council - University Academic Council	Photocopying/Riso printing of the Minutes of Meetings	2,500 pages/copies	2,915	5	5	4	4.67	
* Other documents	Number of completed documents prepared within 7 working days before scheduled meeting - Board of Regents - University Administrative Council - University Academic Council	Photocopying/Riso printing of materials for distribution to all BOR/UADCO/UAC Members	5,000 pages/copies	5,528	5	5	4	4.67	
,	,	Recording of incoming/outgoing documents	250 copies	310	5	4	4	4.33	
		Preparation of Standard Government Forms	485 copies	540	5	5	4	4.67	

			Toward	Actual		Rat	ing		Remarks
MFO & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target Accomplishment		Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	Kemarks
Information Dissemination	Number of BOR resolutions and materials disseminated to appropriate offices within 5 working days from date of meeting	Releasing of approved BOR materials with BOR resolutions	500 copies/pages prepared & released	705	5	5	4	4.67	
Performance of Other Functions Assigned by the	Number of pages lay-outed/ reproduced in the Graduation	Lay-outing of Graduation Program	40 pages	56	5	5	5	5.00	
President	Program	Reproduction/sorting/ binding of Graduation Program	10,250 copies/pages	15,700	5	5	5	5.00	
Total Over-all Rating						38	35	42.67	

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 9)	4.74
Additional Points:	
Punctuality	-
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)	-
FINAL RATING	4.74
ADJECTIVAL RATING	Very Satisfactory

Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:

Rece	ived	by:

Calibrated by:

Date: _

Recommending Approval:

Date:_

Approved by:

a frankly

REMBERTO A PATINDOL

EDGARDO E. TULIN

President

Date:

PMT

President

Date: ___

1 - Quality

2 - Efficiency

3 - Timeliness

4 - Average

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

	Rating Period:	to JUNE 201	
Name of Staff:ANT	ONIETA D. ISARAEL	Position: _	ADMIN. AIDE III
Instruction to supervisor:	Please evaluate the effectiveness attainment of the calibrated target campus using the scale below. Er	s of your departs	artment/office/center/college/

Scale	Descriptive Rating	ng Qualitative Description				
5 Outstanding		The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. T staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the He is an exceptional role model				
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements				
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements				
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.				
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements				

A.	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		е			
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	(5)	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	(5)	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	(5)	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	(5)	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5 (4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1

						_
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	(5)	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	(5)	4	3	2	1
	Total Score		5	5		
	B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)					
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score	re <i>55</i>				
	Average Score		4.	58	,	

Overall recommendation		
Overall recommendation		

DANIEL M. TUDTUD, JR.
University/Board Secretary