#### Annex P

# COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF (July-Dec 2016)

Name of Administrative Staff:

#### SHIRLEY T. NAYRE

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.84	x 70%	3.39
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.52	x 30%	1.36
	TOTAL NUM	MERICAL RATING	4.75

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4.75
Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	
ADJECTIVAL RATING:	

Prepared by:

SHIRLEY T. NAYRE
Name of Staff

Recommending Approval:

Reviewed by:

Director

, 6621

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

## Visayas State University OFFICE OF THE VICE PRESIDENT FOR RESEARCH AND EXTENSION

Visca, Baybay City, Leyte

## INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW (IPCR)

I, SHIRLEY T. NAYRE, of the OVPRE, Extension Office\_commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period July to December, 2016.

Date:

Date:

							R	ating		
MFO No.	MFO Descrip- tion	Success Indicator (SI)	Task Assigned T		Actual Accomplishment	Quality	Efficiency	Timeliness	Average	Remark
IMFO 4. Extension Services				AVAILABLE SECTION OF THE SECTION OF		-				
	PI 1. Number recorded and	of official documents received, released.	Receives, records and releases all official documents to and going out from the extension unit.	180	185	45	4.5	Ĭ	0.00	
	PI 2. Number of gov't. forms/documents typed, prepared and facilitated for approval.		Types vouchers, payrolls, PRs, RIS, Trip Tickets, Application for Leaves, CSRs, Travel Orders/Reimbursements, Appointments, OICships, attendance sheets, mailing envelopes, RATA, PPMP and other documents related to extension unit.	180	250	45	1.0	1.0	4. 83 0.00	
	prepared, red depts/centers	of official communications corded and sent to different and letter of of invitations to FFD/VSU Anniversary.	Prepares and send communications/notice of meetings to different depts/centers and letter of invitations to LGUs during FFD/VSU Anniversary.	150	175	9.1	1.0	1.0	4.83 0.00	
		of documents filed.	Files official documents of extension unit.	75	160	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.00	
									19.32	

Total Over-all Rating  Average Rating					0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00
	PI 1. Efficient and customer-friendly best practices/new initiatives.	Zero percent complaint from clients serves.	90%	100%	10	2.0 2.0	0.00
OVPI MFO 2. Frontline Services							
		Performs other tasks assigned by the supervisor.	90%	95%	4.5	4-10	6:60
		Checks balances of extension budget at the end of the 2nd & 3rd qtr of the year.	2	2.	1.0	2.0 L.	0.00
		Acts as Chairman in the Baccalaureatte Mass.	1	-1 -	5.0	J. U J. 0	6.06
		Acts as Co-Chairman in the Anniversary Thanksgiving Mass.	1	.1	10	I.0 T.	0.00
	PI 8. Other tasked assigned by superiors.	Acts as Co-Chairman in the registration committee during Baybay Agro-Fair Fiesta.	1	1	1.0	2.0 2.9	0.08
	PI. 7 Number of other tasks accomplished.	Performs other tasks assigned i.e. photocopying and collating of official documents.	88%	80%	4.0	\$541	9.30
	<u>PI 6.</u> Number of trainings, in-house reviews, agri-fair/exhibits facilitated.	Facilitated trainings, in-house reviews, agrifair/exhibits and other related RDE activities.	8	.14	1.0	20 20	0.00
	<u>PI 5</u> . Number of assisted and facilitated the distribution of the technical staff functions.	Assists the technical staff in the performance of their functions on tasks related to her functions.	10	12	45	45 5.0	0.00

Indred
Planning Officer
Date:

Received by:

Strud
The state of the s
REMBERTO A. PATINDOL, Ph.D.

Chairman, PMT Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Calibrated by:

Recommending Approval:

OTHELLO B. CAPUNO, Ph.D.

Vice Pres. for Research and Extension

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN, Ph.D.

President de Date:

#### Annex O

## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: <u>July – December 2016</u>

Name of Staff: Shirley T. Nayre Position: Administrative Aide III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using

the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description			
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model			
4	4 Very Satisfactory The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirement				
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements			
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.			
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements			

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)					Scale						
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1					
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1					
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4)	3	2	1					
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1					
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1					
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1					
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1					
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1					
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1					
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	100	4	3	2	1					

			, miles			
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	(5)	4	3	2	1
	Total Score	74	72			
	Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		S	Scale	е	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score		Ñ			
	Average Score					

Overall recommendation			

EFREN B. SAZ Director, Extr.