## COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff: JAIME V. LATRAS

|    | Particulars<br>(1)  | Numerical<br>Rating<br>(2) | Percentage<br>Weight (3) | Equivalent<br>Numerical<br>rating (2x3) |
|----|---|----------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 1. | Numerical Rating per IPCR   | 4.87                       | 0.70                     | 3.41                                    |
| 2. | Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments | 5.00                       | 0.30                     | 1.50                                    |
|    |   | TOTAL NUME                 | RICAL RATING             | 4.91                                    |

**TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:** 

4.91

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.91

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

**OUTSTANDING** 

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

JAIME V. LATRAS

Name of Staff

JULIUS V. ABELA

Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

Approved:

VICTOR B. ASIO

Dean, CAFS

RATING SCALE: 4.6-5.0 Outstanding

3.8-4.5 Very Satisfactory

3.0-3.7 Satisfactory

2.2-2.9 Unsatisfactory

2.1- & below Poor

**BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS** 

Vice-President for Instruction

## INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT AND REVIEW (IPCR)

I, <u>JAIME V. LATRAS</u>, of the <u>Department of Animal Science</u>, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period <u>January</u>, 2019 to <u>June</u>, 2019.

JAIME V. LATRAS

Ratee

Approved:

JULIUS V. ABELA

Head of Unit

| MFO & PAPS                            | Success Indicators   | Task Assigned   | Targets | Actual   | Rating |                |                |                | Remark(s) |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|---------|--|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|
|                                       |  |   |         | Accomplishment   |        | E <sup>2</sup> | T <sup>3</sup> | A <sup>4</sup> |           |
| Administrative<br>Support<br>Services | Number of animals cared/ sold                                    | Pasturing of goat/sheep and returning them to their shed, providing them with feeds, water, cutting, hauling, providing the animals with forages, give medication and takes care newly born animals and deworm the animals every 3 months. Generated income through sales of animals/manure | 80      | Animal Inventory =<br>80 heads<br>Gross Sale: P137,050.00                        | 5      | 5              | 5              | 5.00           |           |
|                                       | Number of paddocks of pasture established and/or maintained      | Cleaning of previously pastured areas, application of fertilizer, repairing fences, planting kakawati on fence line and planting different grasses.   | 10      | 15   | 5      | 5              | 5              | 5.00           |           |
| 4                                     | Number of animal sheds maintained                                | Clean/sanitize animal sheds   | 3       | Daily cleaning of goat/sheep houses. Animal manure and grass debris are properly | 4      | 5              | 5              | 4.67           |           |
|                                       | Number of animal facilities/equipment repaired and/or maintained | Maintain the facilities and equipment of goat/sheep project   | 5       | 13 project facilities/<br>equipment were<br>maintained                           | 4      | 5              | 5              | 4.67           |           |
|                                       | Number of clients served   | Accommodate students and other clients in the conduct of laboratory classes, researches and as a training facility for goat/sheep production in VSU   | 500     | 600 clients served   | 5      | 5              | 5              | 5.00           |           |
|                                       |  | -   |         | Total Over-all Rating 24.3   |        |                | 24.33          |                |           |

| Average Rating (Total Over-all Rating/No. of A <sup>4</sup> Entries) |   | 4.87        |
|--|---|-------------|
| Additional Points:   |   |             |
| Punctuality  | 0 |             |
| Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)                   | 0 |             |
| FINAL RATING   |   | 4.87        |
| ADJECTIVAL RATING  |   | Outstanding |

Evaluated and Rated by:

Head, Dept. of Animal Science

Date:

Recommending Approval:

VICTOR B. ASIO

Dean, College of Agriculture

Date:

Comments & Recommendation for Development Purpose:

Attendance to seminars and trainingworkshop for self improvement

Approved by:

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS

Vice-President for Instruction

4.6 - 5.0 Outstanding

3.8 - 4.5 Very Satisfactory

3.0 - 3.7 Satisfactory

2.2 - 2.9 Unsatisfactory

2.1 - & below Poor

Legend: Q1 - Quality

E<sup>2</sup> - Efficiency

T<sup>2</sup> - Timeliness

A<sup>4</sup> - Average

## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January - June, 2019

Name of Staff: Jaime V. Latras

Position: Administrative Aide I

Instruction to supervisor:

Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/ center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

| Scale | <b>Descriptive Rating</b> | Qualitative Description   |
|-------|---------------------------|---|
| 5     | Outstanding               | The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model |
| 4     | Very Satisfactory         | The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements  |
| 3     | Satisfactory              | The performance meets job requirements  |
| 2     | Fair                      | The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.  |
| 1     | Poor                      | The staff fails to meet job requirements  |

| A.  | Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)  |            | ( | Scal | е |   |
|-----|---|------------|---|------|---|---|
| 1.  | Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.  | 8          | 4 | 3    | 2 | 1 |
| 2.  | Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time   | 3          | 4 | 3    | 2 | 1 |
| 3   | Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay | ð          | 4 | 3    | 2 | 1 |
| 4.  | Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.  | 6          | 4 | 3    | 2 | 1 |
| 5.  | Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-<br>employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks   | 5          | 4 | 3    | 2 | 1 |
| 6.  | Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.  | 9          | 4 | 3    | 2 | 1 |
| 7.  | Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.   | 6          | 4 | 3    | 2 | 1 |
| 8.  | Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients   | 0          | 4 | 3    | 2 | 1 |
| 9   | Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university                             |            | 4 | 3    | 2 | 1 |
| 10. | Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele               | 8          | 4 | 3    | 2 | 1 |
| 11. | Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment  | 5)         | 4 | 3    | 2 | 1 |
| 12. | Willing to be trained and developed   | <b>6</b> ) | 4 | 3    | 2 | 1 |
|     | Total Score   | 1          | - |      |   | 1 |

|    | Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)   | Scale |   |   |   |   |
|----|---|-------|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors  | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 2. | Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.  | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 3. | Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.  | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 4. | Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.   | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 5. | Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|    | Total Score   |       |   |   |   |   |
|    | Average Score   | e V   |   |   |   |   |

| 0 11         |                |       |
|--------------|----------------|-------|
| ( )Vorall    | recommend      | ation |
| O A C I CIII | 10001111110110 |       |

ROGER ROQUE E. BUSTAMANTE
Goat/Sheep Project Manager

## **EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN**

| Name of Employee:  |
|--|
| Performance Rating: Outstanding  |
| Aim: To improve work efficiency and achieve targets  |
| Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance and/or Competence and Qualification to assume higher responsibilities: |
| Date: January 2019 Target Date: June 2019  |
| First Step: Develop skill in health, breeding and pasture  |
| management   |
|  |
| Result: Productive and sustainable project due to higher animal  |
| population which resulted to higher income   |
|  |
| Date: Target Date:   |
| Next Step:   |
|  |
|  |
| Outcome:   |
|  |
| Final Step/Recommendation:   |
|  |
| Prepared by:   |
| JULIUS V. ABELA  |
| Conforme: Utilt Head   |
| ,  |

JAIME V. LATRAS
Name of Ratee (Staff)