



**COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF**

**Annex P**

Name of Administrative Staff: Nony F. Piad Jr.

| Particulars<br>(1)  | Numerical<br>Rating (2) | Percentage Weight<br>(3) | Equivalent<br>Numerical Rating<br>(2x3) |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 1. Numerical Rating per IPCR  | 4.86                    | 70%                      | 3.40                                    |
| 2. Supervisor/Head's assessment<br>of his contribution towards<br>attainment of office<br>accomplishments | 4.58                    | 30%                      | 1.37                                    |
| <b>TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING</b>   |                         |                          | <b>4.77</b>                             |


TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.77

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:           

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:           

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING 4.77

ADJECTIVAL RATING: OUTSTANDING

Prepared by:   
**NONY F. PIAD JR.**  
Name of Staff

Reviewed by:   
**MARIA JULIET C. CENIZA**  
Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:  
  
**MARIA JULIET C. CENIZA**  
Vice President for Research, Extension & Innovation

Approved:  
  
**MARIA JULIET C. CENIZA**  
Vice President for Research, Extension & Innovation

~~NONY F. PIAD JR.~~

Approved:

Head of Unit

[illegible]

|   |    |             |
|---|----|-------------|
| Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4) |    | 4.86        |
| Additional Points:                                  |    |             |
| Punctuality   | XX |             |
| Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)  | XX |             |
| FINAL RATING  |    | 4.86        |
| ADJECTIVAL RATING                                   |    | OUTSTANDING |

**Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:**

*Performs his job well, however, may have to improve his interpersonal relations with co-officiates.*

Evaluated and Rated by:

Recommending Approval:

Approved by:

MARIA JULIET C. CENIZA  
Dept./Unit Head

MARIA JULIET C. CENIZA  
Vice President, R, E & I

MARIA JULIET C. CENIZA  
Vice President, R, E & I

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

1-Quality

2 - Efficiency

3 - Timeliness

4 - Average





## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January-June 2021

Name of Staff: Nony F. Piad Jr.

Position: Adm. Aide III

**Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.**

| Scale | Descriptive Rating | Qualitative Description   |
|-------|--------------------|---|
| 5     | Outstanding        | The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model |
| 4     | Very Satisfactory  | The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements  |
| 3     | Satisfactory       | The performance meets job requirements  |
| 2     | Fair               | The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.  |
| 1     | Poor               | The staff fails to meet job requirements  |

| A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors) |   | Scale |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|-------|---|---|---|---|
| 1.  | Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.  | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 2.  | Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time   | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 3.  | Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 4.  | Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.  | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 5.  | Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks  | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 6.  | Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.  | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 7.  | Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.   | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 8.  | Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients   | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 9.  | Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university                             | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 10.   | Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele               | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 11.   | Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for   | 5     | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |



|  |          |   |   |   |   |
|--|----------|---|---|---|---|
| improvement of his work accomplishment   |          |   |   |   |   |
| 12. Willing to be trained and developed  | 5        | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Score  | Total 55 |   |   |   |   |
| <b>B. Leadership &amp; Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)</b>  | Scale    |   |   |   |   |
| 1. Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors  | 5        | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 2. Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.  | 5        | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 3. Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.  | 5        | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 4. Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.   | 5        | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 5. Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit | 5        | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Total Score  | 55/12    |   |   |   |   |
| Average Score  | 4.58     |   |   |   |   |

Overall recommendation : \_\_\_\_\_

  
**MARIA JULIET C. CENIZA**  
 Vice President for Research, Extension & Innovation

## EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: Nony F. Piad Jr.

Performance Rating: Outstanding

Aim: To have a smooth and efficient office operations.

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:

Date: January 1, 2021

Target Date: June 30, 2021

First Step:

1. Record or make a schedule of all official travels.
2. Ensure that the vehicle is always in good running condition.

Result:

1. Systematic recording of scheduled trips.
2. Safety of passengers and safe travel.

Date: July 1, 2021

Target Date: December 31, 2021

Next Step:

1. Assists the in-charge in the over-all activity of the office as support staff and render overtime work/travel if needed.

Outcome: Efficient office operations.

Final Step/Recommendation:

Recommended for promotion.

Prepared by:

  
MARIA JULIET C. CENIZA  
Unit Head

Conforme:

  
NONY F. PIAD JR.  
Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff