

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING
ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff: LUCIO M. POLE JR.

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1 Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.33	70%	3.031
2 Supervisor/Head's Assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishment	4.882	30%	1.4646
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			4.495

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING:

ADJECTIVAL RATING:


4.495

4.495


4.495

VS

Prepared by:


MARIO C. BANTUGAN
Adm. Aide III


Reviewed by:


MARIO LILIO VALENZONA
Dir./Dept./Office Head

Recommending Approval:


REMBERTO A. PATINDOL
Chairman, PMT

Approved:


EDGARDO E. TULIN
President

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I LUCIO POLE JR. of the GENERAL SERVICES DIVISION commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period: JANUARY TO JUNE 2017

LUCIO POLE JR.
Ratee

Approved:

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA
Head, IDB MU

MFO & Performance Indicators	Success Indicator	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Rating				Remarks
					Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	
Project Supervision	Monthly Accomplishments	Supervise assignments of workers of on going Maintenance and Construction Projects	3	6	5	4	4	4.33	
Total:									
Total Over-all Rating									
Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 1)									
Punctuality:									
Approved Additional point (with copy of approval)									
FINAL RATING				4.33					
ADJECTIVAL RATING				VS					
Recommendations for Development Purpose:					4.33				

Received:

Calibrated by:

Recommending Approval:

Approved by:

TERESITA L. QUIÑANOLA
Planning Office

REMBEERTO A. PATINDOL
PMT

REMBEERTO A. PATINDOL
Vice President

EDGARDO E. TULIN
President

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January to June 2017Name of Staff: LUCIO M. POLE JR.Position: Construction Foreman

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	(5)	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	(5)	4	3	2	1
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	(5)	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	(5)	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	(5)	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	(5)	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	(5)	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	(5)	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	(5)	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	(5)	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	(5)	4	3	2	1
Total Score		60				
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	(4)	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	(4)	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	(5)	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	(5)	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	(5)	4	3	2	1
Total Score		23				
Average Score		4.882				

Overall recommendation : _____

ML-P. NALLEN 2017
Name of Head