COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

NAME OF ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF:

EDWIN V. BAGARINAO

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.03	70%	2.821
Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.91	30%	1.473
	TOTAL NU	IMERICAL RATING	4.290

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.290

Add: Additional Approved points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.290

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

VERY SATISFACTORY

Prepared by

Reviewed by:

EDWIN V. BAGARINAO

Name of Staff

Department/Office Head

<u>.ICIANO G:\SINON</u> 4

Recommending Approval:

Approved:

YISAYAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Visca, Baybay City, Leyte, Philippines

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, EDWIN BAGARINAO, Administrative Aide I of the National Abaca Research Center-Visayas State University commits to deliver and agree

to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period <u>January 2018</u> to <u>June 2018</u>.

EDWIN BAGARINAO

Ratee

Approved:

FELICIANO G. SINON

Head of Unit

MFO & Performance Indicators	4		T1	Actual Accomplishments		RA	Poment		
(PI)	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishments	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	Remarks
MFO5: Research & Extension				4	—	4—	 	4	
Admin. & Support Services			4		4—	 -			
	No. of abaca power stripper,	Production of abaca power machine:				4	 _	1	
abricated, disseminated and	plant shredder, twisting and	No. of machining of bushings	30	32	4	14	15	4.33	
distributed	twining machines fabricated	No. of machining of shaftings	30	31	3	14	14	3.67	
	(metal and steel works)	No. of threading of shaft for adjuster	30	30	3	14	17	3.67	
		No. of machining & fitting of pulleys	30	30	3	4	14	3.67	
		No. of drilling of holes	20	25	4	14	4	4.00	
		No. of cutting of angle bars	50	55	4	5	5	4.67	
		No. of cutting & folding of GI pipe	50	55	4	14	14	4.00	
		No. of cutting of steel plate	70	70	3	4	14	3.67	1
	+	No. of grinding of parts	15	20	<u> 5</u>	P	4	4.33	1
	+	No. of welding	15	20	4	14	14	4,00	1
		No. of assembling of parts	30	32	3	4	14	3.67	1
		No. of painting	30	· 35	4	15	4	4.33	
		No. of machine testing	5	6	4	<u> 1</u> 4	3	4.33	
								<u> </u>	
					\mathbf{I}_{-}				1
·	+								
								<u> </u>	
<u></u>			·						and the second
						1			
			•			T		·	
Total Over-all Rating								4.03	

		Very	satisfactory	
				4.03
-				
			·	
				4.03
	-	-	Verv	- Very satisfactory

Comments & Recommendation for
Development Purpose:
Good Job. your consistent -
hard work and productions
contributed much to the
office functions.

Evaluated	&	Rated by:
		\ _/ `

Recommending Approval:

FELICIANO G. SINON, Director, NARC

Date: _____

FELICIANO G. SINON, Director, NARC

Date:

Approved by:

OTHELLO B. CAPUNO OVPRE

Date:

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January 1 to June 30, 2018

Name of Staff: **EDWIN V. BAGARINAO** Position: **ADMIN AIDE 1**

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description				
5 Outstanding		The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model				
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements				
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements				
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.				
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements				

A.	A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors) Scale							
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1		
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	(5)	4	3	2	1		
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	⑤	4	3	2	1		
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	⑤	4	3	2	1		
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	6	4	3	2	1		
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1		
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5 -	4	3	2	1		
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	(5)	4	3	2	1		
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	⑤	4	3	2	1		
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1		
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	(5)	4	3	2	1		
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5)	4	3	2	1		
·	Total Score	59						

B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)					Scale			
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	(5)	4	3	2	1		
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.					. 1		
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	(5)	4	3	2	1		
4.	4. Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.					1		
5.	 Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit 					1		
	Total Score							
	Average Score							

Overall	recommendation
---------	----------------

OUTSTANDING



Exhibit I

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Name of Employee: **EDWIN V. BAGARINAO**

Task No.	Task Description	Expected Output	Date Assigned	Expected Date to Accomplish	Actual Date accomplished	Quality of Output*	Over-all assessment of output**	Remarks/ Recommen- dation
No. o	f abaca power stripper, plan	t shredder, twistin	ng and twinir	ng machines f	abricated (me	tal and steel w	vorks)	
1	No. of machining of bushings	30	Jan. 1, 2018	Feb. 28, 2018	32	Impressive	VS	Good job. Your
2	No. of machining of shaftings	30	Jan. 1, 2018	Feb. 28, 2018	31	Impressive	VS	consisted, hard work
3	No. of threading of shaft for adjuster	30	Jan. 1, 2018	Feb. 28, 2018	30	Impressive	VS	and productivity
4	No. of machining and fitting of pulleys	30	Jan. 1, 2018	Feb. 28, 2018	30	Impressive	VS	contributed much to the
5	No. of drilling of holes	20	Mar. 1, 2018	Apr 30, 2018	25	Impressive	VS	office functions
6	No. of cutting of angle bars	50	Mar. 1, 2018	Apr 30, 2018	55	Very Impressive	0	
7	No. of cutting and folding of GI pipe	50	Mar. 1, 2018	Apr 30, 2018	55.	Impressive	VS	
8.	No. of cutting of steel plate	70	Mar. 1, 2018	Apr 30, 2018	70	Impressive	VS	

9	No. grinding of parts	15	Mar. 1, 2018	Apr 30, 2018	20	Impressive	VS	
10	No. of welding	15	May 1, 2018	June 30, 2018	20	Impressive	VS	
11	No. of assembling of parts	30	May 1, 2018	June 30, 2018	32	Impressive	VS	
12	No. of painting	30	May 1, 2018	June 30, 2018	35	Impressive	VS	
13	No. of machine testing	5	May 1, 2018	June 30, 2018	6	Impressive	VS	

^{*} Either very impressive, impressive, needs improvement, poor, very poor

** Outstanding, very satisfactory, satisfactory, unsatisfactory, poor

Prepared by:

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: EDWIN B. BAGAR Performance Rating: <u>VERY SATISFACT</u>	
Aim: To produce/fabricate postharvest p	rocessing equipment for abaca
Proposed Interventions to Improve Perform	ance:
Date: January 1, 2018	Target Date: <u>June 30, 2018</u>
First Step:	
 Cutting of shafting, angle bars and fi Machining of bushings, shaftings, ar Welding and assembling of parts 	·
Result: - Machine parts and components effect	utivoly propored
- Operational and working machine un	
Date: July 1, 2018	Target Date: December 31, 2018
Next Step: - Assist project leader in machine test	ing and operation.
Outcome: comprehensive data on machine	evaluation
Final Step/Recommendation:	
Recommended for skills training on machin	e shaping.

Prepared by:

ELICIANO O SINON Unit Head