Exhibit K

SUMMARY OF INDIVIDUAL RATINGS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff: Charlie Mark F. Cutamora

Program Involvement	Percentage	Numerical	Equivalent
(1)	Weight of	Rating	Numerical
	Involvement	(Rating x%)	Rating
	(2)	(3)	(2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	70%	4.16	2.91
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	30%	4.66	1.39
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			4.3

EQUIVALENT NUMERICAL RATING:

Add: Additional Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

<u>4.3</u>

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Very Satisfactory

Prepared by:

VINCENT PAUL ASILOM

Name of Staff

Reviewed by:

MARLON G. BURLAS

Head HELVMU

Recommending Approval:

Approved:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

VP For Admin. & Finance

MARIO LILIO P. VALENZONA Director, GSD

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

i, <u>Charlie Mark F. Cutamora</u>	_ of the	HELVMU/GSD	commits	to	deliver	and	agree	to	be	rated	on	the
attainment of the following target:	s in accorda	ince with the indicated mea	asures for the period <u>Ju</u> l	y to	<u>Decem</u>	ber_,	2018					

CHARLIE MARK F. CUTAMORA ADM. AIDE III

Approved: MARLON G. BURLAS
Head, HELVMU

				Actual		R		Remarks	
MFO & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target		A ⁴				
UMFO 6. General Administration and Support Services									
HELVMU MFO 1. Operation and Maintenance of Vehicle									
	PI 1: Number of trip served	. Rendered driving services to requisitioner/end user within the specified period	70	82	5	5	5	5.00	. L-200; Rbus 01; ; Pajero; Bus 37; Bus 36; Strada; L-200 (Bidani); Kia Combi; Hyundai
	PI 2: No. of vehicles maintenance monitored	. Undertakes monitoring of the assigned vehicles; washing	2	4	5	5	4	4.66	. Rosa Bus 01; L-200 DPBG; Strada; Hyundai Bus
	PI 3: No. of vehicles rendered check-up and minor repair	. Undertakes check-up & renders minor repair	2	4	5	5	5	5.00	. Rosa bus 01; L-200 DPBG; Strada; Land Cruiser
	PI4: No. of garage cleaned	. Undertakes cleanliness of the garage area	1	1	2	2	2	2.00	. PPO Garage

			T					
Total Over-all Rating							16.66	
			_			L		
Average Rating (Total Over-all ra	ting divided by 4)		4.16			Commo	ents & Rec	ommendations
Additional Points:						for Dev	velopment	Purpose:
Approved Additional points (with copy	of approval)					x pasic	· Occur	on tours
FINAL RATING						8.	ntery 4	health from
ADJECTIVAL RATING			Very Satisfacto	ory		x De	tensive	A 1 1 -1
						1	teng ve	lanna sie
Evaluated & Rated by:	Recommendi	ng Approval:	Approv	ved by:	1 -	L		
MARLONG, BURLAS	MA	RIO LILIO P. VALENZON	IΔ	REMBI	RIO A PAT	TINDOL		
Dept/Unit Head		Dean/Director			Vice Presider			
Oate:	Date	e:	MARIO Para Para Para Para Para Para Para Par	Date:			-	

1 - Quality

2 - Efficiency

3 – Timeliness

4 – Average

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: July - December, 2018 Name of Staff: Charlie Mark F. Cutamora Position: Adm. Aide III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description				
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model				
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements				
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements				
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.				
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements				

Α. (Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		S	cale)	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	(5)	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	(5)	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	(5)	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1

	Total Score	F	56			
	Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)	Scale				
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score					
	Average Score			**	· · · · · ·	

:	
	:

MARLON G, BURLAS Name of Head

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: Charlie Mark F. Cutamora Performance Rating: July – December 2018 Aim: Awareness on Safety & Health Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance: Date: July 16, 2018 Target Date: September 30, 2018 First Step: Orientation on safe and unsafe condition Result: Safe driving and awareness Date: October 17, 2018 Target Date: December 31, 2018 Next Step: Materials handling and storage Outcome: Orderliness at respective vehicles

Awareness on safety and tidiness of vehicles

Prepared by:

LOM G/BURI Unit Head

Conforme:

Final Step/Recommendation:

CHARLIE MARK F. CUTAMORA Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff