Annex P

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING **ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF**

Name of Administrative Staff:

Leopoldo P. Igot

	Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.665	70%	3.2655
2	Supervisor/Head's Assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishment	4.58	30%	1.374
		4.6395		

TOTAL NU	MERICAL	RATING:
----------	---------	---------

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING:

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Immediate Supervisor

Prepared by:

4.6395

4.6395

4.6395

Outstanding

Recommending Approval:

Director, GSD

Approved:

REMBERTO A.

Vice President

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, <u>LEOPOLDO P. IGOT</u> of the <u>GENERAL SERVICES DIVISION</u> commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period: <u>July to December 2018</u>

LEOPOLDO P. IGOT

Approved:

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA
Unit Head

MFO & Performance Indicators	Success Inditors	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplish	Rating				
IVII O C. I GITOI MAINE MAINEACOIS	Success matters		rarget	ment	Q ¹	E ²	T ^{3.}	A ⁴	Remarks
MFO1: Performance Indicators	PI-1: Completed repairs	various repair of Buildings	100	110	5	5	4	4.67	
MFO2: Furnitures Works	P2, 1-Completed repairs and fabrication	various repair and fabraication of furnitures	90	100	5	5	4	4.67	
Total Over-all Rating								9.333	
Average Pating (Tatal Over all reting divided by				T					
Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4) Additional Points:				4.665	Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:				
Punctuality:								1900	
Approved Additional point (with copy of approval)					XA	ral	TE	ZDA	farings
FINAL RATING				4.665	Affect TEDA forrings and Seniores				
ADJECTIVAL RATING				0					

Evaluate & Rated by:

Recommending Approval:

Approved by:

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA Supervisor

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA
Director, GSD

REMBEERTO A. PATINDOL

Vice President



Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

	Rating Period:	July-Dec. 2018
Name of Staff: Leopoldo P. Igot	Position: Adm. A	Aide V

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description						
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model						
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements						
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements						
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirem	ents.					
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements						
Commitm	ent (<i>both for subordinates a</i>	nd supervisors)		,	Scale	€		
1	Demonstrates sensitivity to business with the office fulfil	client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting fling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	Γ	
2	Makes self-available to clier	nts even beyond official time	(5)	4	3	2	T	
3	•	reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by en without overtime pay	5	4	3	2		
4	Accepts all assigned tasks a the prescribed time.	as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within	5	4	3	2		
5	Commits himself/herself to I who fail to perform all assign	help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees ned tasks	(5)	4	3	2		
6	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.				3	2		
7	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.			4	3	2		
8	Suggests new ways to furth	er improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	(5)	4	3	2		
9	not related to his position bu	signed by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is at critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	(4)	3	2		
10	of which results as a best posatisfaction of clientele	ng lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs ractice that further increase effectiveness of the office or	5	4	3	2		
11	his work accomplishment	and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of	(5)	-	3	2		
12	Willing to be trained and de		(5)	4	3	2	L	
	- 1 - 1 - 0 BI	Total Score		22				
B. L.		For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and			Scale	Ī	Г	
1	confidence from subordinate	tes and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	F	
2	office/department aligned to	aw strategic and specific plans and targets of the that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	L	
3	1	f improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2		
4	Accepts accountability for the his/her unit.	ne overall performance and in delivering the output required of	5	4	3	2		
5		nitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment the unit	5	4	3	2		
		Total Score				L		

Overall recommendation

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA
Director, GSD

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee:	LEOPOLDO P. IGOT				
Performance Rating:	July 1 to December 31, 2019				
Aim:		***			
Proposed Intervention	ns to Improve Performance:	•			
Date:	Target Date:				
First Step: Attend TE	ESDA training and seminars				
Result:					
·					
Date:	Target Date:				
Next Step:					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Outcome:					
Final Step/Recommen	ndation:				

	Prepared by:				
	MARIO LILIO VALENZONA Director, GSD				