COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING

Rating Period:

JULY TO DECEMBER 2017

Name of Administrative Staff:

FLORANTE G. DIDAL

| Particulars (1) | Numerical Rating (2) | Percentage Weight 70% (3) | Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3) | | | | |
|---|----------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Numerical Rating per IPCR | 4.73 | 70% | 3.31 | | | | |
| Supervisor/Head's assessment of her contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments | 5.00 | 30% | 1.50 | | | | |
| TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING | | | | | | | |

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.81

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.81

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Outstanding

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

FLORANTE G. DIDAL

Name of Staff

TERESITA L. QUINAÑOLA

Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

President

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, Florante G. Didal, of the Personnel Records and Performance Evaluation Office commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period July 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017.

| TERESITAL. QUINANDLA Head of Unit | Remarks | | | | | | | | | | | or | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|--|--------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| UINAN | | A ⁴ | | 2.00 | | 5.0 | | 4.33 | 4.33 | 5.00 | 23.67 | Comments & Recommendations for | | | | | | | | | |
| ITAL. QUINA Head of Unit | Rating | ₂ _ | | 2 | | 2 | | 4 | 4 | 2 | | Secomme | Purposes | | | | | | | | |
| TERESI' | ž | E ₂ | | 2 | | 2 | | 4 | 4 | 2 | | ments & F | Development Purposes: | | | | | 1 | | | |
| , | | Q ₁ | | 2 | | 2 | | Ŋ | 2 | 2 | | Comi | Deve | _ | _ | _ | _ | 2 | TULIN | _ | |
| Approved: | Actual | Accomplishment | | no complaint | | 2478 | | 720 | 1539 | 3780 | | 4.73 | | | | 4.73 | OUTSTANDING | by: agree | EDGARDO E. TULIN | President | |
| | Target | Š. | | no complaint | | 2400 | | 700 | 1400 | 3600 | | | | | | | | Approved by: | | Date: | |
| | Tasks Assigned | | | Attends to queries and consultation on personnel matters | | Attaches approved leave applications to payroll | | Proceses, encodes, sorts and countersigns leave applications of casual/contractual employees | Proceses, encodes, sorts and countersigns leave applications of regular employees | Sorts, checks and monitors submission of DTR/CSR | | Average Rating: | Additional Points: | Punctuality | Approved Additional points (w/ copy of approval) | FINAL RATING | ADJECTIVAL RATING | Recommending Approval: | NDOL REM | MT Vice President Date: | 4 - A |
| FLORANTE G. DIDAL | Success Indicator | | es Management | Efficient & customer friendly frontline Zero percent complaint from clients served service | gement | No. of leave applications | ind Management | No. of leave applications | | No. of DTR/CSR | | | | | | | | Calibrated by: | REMBERTO A. P. | Chairman, PMT Date: | 2 - Efficiency 3- Timeliness |
| FLORAN | MFO & PAPs | | Administrative and Support Services Management | Efficient & customer friendly frontline is service | Personnel Development and Management | Personnel development recommendations endorsed to appropriate Personnel Board/Office of | Personnel Records Develoipment and Management | Percentage of CSC/DBM rules and policies on leave administration complied/implemented | | | Total Over-all Rating | | FLORANTE G. DIDAL | | | | | Received by: | | PRPEO Date: | Legend: 1 - Ouality |

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: JULY TO DECEMBER 2017

Name of Staff: FLORANTE G. DIDAL Position: Administrative Aide III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus

using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

| Scale | Descriptive Rating | g Qualitative Description | | | | | | | |
|-------|--------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 5 | Outstanding | The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Very Satisfactory | The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Satisfactory | The performance meets job requirements | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Fair | The performance needs some development to meet job requirements. | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Poor | The staff fails to meet job requirements | | | | | | | |

| A. (| | | | | | |
|------|---|-----|----|-----|---|---|
| 1. | Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding. | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 2. | Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 3 | Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | |
| 4. | Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time. | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | |
| 5. | Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | |
| 6. | Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work. | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | |
| 7. | Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed. | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | |
| 8. | Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | |
| 9 | Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | |
| 10. | Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | |
| 11. | Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | |
| 12. | Willing to be trained and developed | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | |
| | Total Score | | 60 | /12 | | |

Overall recommendation

5.0

Head of Office