COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Faculty Member: Mrs. Leilani M. Valdevieso

| Program Involvement (1) | Numerical Rating (2) | Percentage Weight (3) | Equivalent Numerical Rating (2 x 3) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Numerical Rating per IPCR | 4.44 | 70% | 3.11 |
| 2 Supervisory/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments | 4.59 | 30% | 1.38 |
| | | TOTAL, NUMERICAL RATING | 49.00 |

EQUIVALENT NUMERICAL RATING:

4.49

Add: Additional Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.49

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Very Satisfactory

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

Madaien LEILANI M. VALDEVIESO

Name of Administrative

LORINA A. GALVEZ

Department Head

Approved by:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

President

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, Mr. Leilani M. Valdevieso, of the Department of Food Science and Technology commit to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January to June 2016.

Walder De LEILANIA VALDEVIESO

Ratee

Approved:

LORINA A. GALVEZ

Head of Unit

| | | | | | | | | F | Rating | Remarks | |
|---------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------|------------|------------|---------|--|
| MFO No. | MFO & PAPs | Success/Performance Indicators (PI) | Program/ Activities/ Projects | Tasks Assigned | Target | Actual Accomplishment | Quality | Efficiency | Timeliness | Average | |
| MFO: 1 | High Education Services | PI.1. Number of solutions/glasswares/utensils prepared/day/lab | Instruction | Facilitated the preparation of solutions, glasswares & kitchen utensils used for lab/day | 90% | 98% | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4.33 | |
| | | P1.2 Number of faculty/ students assisted/day/lab | Instruction | Assisted faculty/ students | 95% | 100% | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4.67 | |
| | | PI.3. Number of borrowers slip prepared/day/lab | Instruction | Number of borrowers slip prepared | 90% | 98% | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4.33 | |
| | | PI.4 Number of glasswares/ apparatus/utensils | Instruction | Facilitated washing of glasswares & utensils | 90% | 96% | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4.67 | |
| | | PI.5 Number of chemicals/ reagents listed for purchase | Instruction | Facilitated purchase of chemicals/reagents | 90% | 96% | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4.33 | |
| | | Pl.6 Number of times assisted/ proctored exams | Instruction | Proctored exams | 2 times | 4 times | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4.33 | |
| | | PI.7 Number of times prepared/ facilitated for practical exams | Instruction | Prepared/facilitated practical exams | 1/sem | 1/sem | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4.00 | |
| | | PI.8 Prepared daily incident report/day | Instruction | Prepared every after class | once a week | once a week | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4.00 | |
| | Extension | Number of trainings assisted | Extension | Hands-on training on food processing | 4/rating period | 5/rating period | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.00 | |
| | | Number of participants trained | Extension | Assisted participants during food processing | 60 | 100 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.00 | |
| 7 | | Number of preparations for the training | Extension | Purchased & prepared raw materials; clean up | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.00 | |
| 11.2 | Support to Operations | PI.1 Number of students and faculty served on time during the schedule laboratory class | Administrative | Faculty and students served on time | 4 faculty; 10 lab/wk | 6 faculty; 18 lab/wk | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4.33 | |

| | T | PI.2 Percentage of requests for | | Requests prepared and | T 50% | 98% | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4.33 | |
|--------|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|---|-------|------|
| | | reagents prepared and issued during scheduled lab classes | Administrative | issued | 3070 | 3078 | | 7 | | 4.00 | |
| | | PI.3 Number of thesis students performing research functions requesting for technical services served on time | Technical services served | Thesis students served on time | 12 | 24 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4.33 | |
| | | PI.4 Number of students/ faculty members performing research and extension functions requesting for technical services served within 1 week | Technical services served | Number of faculty/ students served | 2 faculty/6 students | 4 faculty/10 students | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4.33 | |
| | | | Emergency assistance | Emergency requests for assistnace served within 5 mins | 1 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4.67 | |
| | | PI.6 Number of consolitated/filed documents | Administrative | Consolidated/bound/file documents | 10 | 15 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4.33 | |
| | | PI.7 Conducts inventory every semester | Technical services served | Submitted inventory on time | 1/sem | 1/sem | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4.00 | |
| MFO 6: | General Administration and Support Services (GASS) | | | | | | | | | | - 41 |
| | Efficient and customer friendly frontline service | Served with 0% complaint from client | General Services | Customer assistance | 0% complaint | 1 minor complaint | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4.33 | |
| | Total Overal-all Rating | | | | | | | | | 84.31 | |
| | Average Rating | | | | | | | | | 4.44 | |
| | Adjectival Rating | | | | | | | | | 0 | |

| Received by: | Calibrated by: | Recommending Approval: | Approved: Alfre |
|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| DANIEL M. TÜDTUD, JR. Head, Planning Office | REMBERTO A. PATINDOL Chair, PMT | BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS Office of the Vice. Pres. Instruction | EDGARDO E. TULIN President |
| Date: | Date: | Date: | Date: |

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: <u>January-July 2016</u>
Name of Staff: <u>Leilani M. Valdevieso</u> Position: <u>Admin. Aide I</u>

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

| Scale | Descriptive Rating | Qualitative Description |
|-------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 5 | Outstanding | The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model |
| 4 | Very Satisfactory | The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements |
| 3 | Satisfactory | The performance meets job requirements |
| 2 | Fair | The performance needs some development to meet job requirements. |
| 1 | Poor | The staff fails to meet job requirements |

| A. (| Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors) | | S | cal | Э | |
|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|---|-----|---|---|
| 1. | Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding. | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 2. | Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time | (3) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 3 | Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 4. | Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time. | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 5. | Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 6. | Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 7. | Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed. | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 8. | Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 9 | Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 10. | Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 11. | Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 12. | Willing to be trained and developed | (3) | 4 | 3 | 2 | |
| | Total Score | V | 8 | | | - |

| B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor) | | | | | Scale | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----------|---|-------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1. | Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | | | |
| 2. | Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | | | |
| 3. | Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients. | 5 | Ø | 3 | 2 | 1 | | | | |
| 4. | Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | | | |
| 5. | Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit | 5 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | | | |
| | Total Score | 2 | ſ | | - | | | | | |
| | Average Score | 3 | 7. | 5 | | | | | | |

| Overall recommendation | : |
|------------------------|---|
| | |

LORINA A. GALVEZ Name of Head