# SUMMARY OF INDIVIDUAL RATINGS OF FACULTY MEMBERS WITH MULTIPLE FUNCTIONS

Name of Faculty Member:

LEO A. MAMOLO

	Program Involvement (1)	Percentage Weight of Involvement (2)	Numerical Rating (Rating x %) (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1.	Instruction a. Head (100%) from IPCR b. Student (%) from Teaching Performance Eval'n. By-Students (NA, Just reinstated on April 2019)	1.00	3.22	3.22
	Total for Instruction	100%	3.22	3.22
2.	Administration and Support Services	0%	0.00	0.00
	TOTAL	100%	TOTAL EQUIVALENT NUMERICAL RATING	3.22

EQUIVALENT NUMERICAL RATING:

Add: Additional Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

3.22

3.22

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Satisfactory

Prepared by:

LEO A MAMOLO

Name of Faculty

Reviewed by:

IN GRACEVC. SUGANO

epartment Head

Recommending Approval:

LELI A. VILLOCINO

bean/Director

Approved:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS

Vice President

#### Visayas State University College of Education

#### **VISAYAS STATE UNIVERSITY INTEGRATED HIGH SCHOOL**

#### INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, LEO A. MAMOLO, Instructor of VSUIHS, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following accomplishments in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January, 2019 to June, 2019.

					1							
MFO No.	MFO Description	Success/Performance Indicator (PI)	Units/Persons Responsible	Target	Actual Accomplish ment	Quality	Efficiency	Timelinessauit	Average	Remark		
VIFO 1	Advanced Education Services 20%											
	1. Graduate Degree Program Management Services											
	0 = 3 1 = 4.8 ≥2 = 5.0	PI 1:_ Number of graduate degree specializations offered and monitored		NA								
	1.5 - 2.0 = 4.8 > 2 = 5.0	PI 2: Total FTE monitored		NA								
	0-25% = 3.0 26 - 90% = 4.5 >90% = 5.0	PI 3: Percentage of students who graduated within the prescribed period		NA								
	2. Graduate Student Mana	gement Services										
	0-25%= 3.0 26-50% = 4.5 >50% = 5.0	PI 1: Percentage of graduate students awarded with honors/distinction		NA								
	0-25%= 3.0 26-50% = 4.5 >50% = 5.0	PI 2: Percentage of graduate students advised who rated the adviser/GAC member at least very satisfactory		NA								

		PI 3: Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the ff:	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00		
		a. Pursuing advanced research degree     program	VSUIHS Faculty								
	0-25% = 3.0	b. Actively pursuing in the last three years (investigative research, basic and applied scientific research, policy research, social science research)	VSUIHS Faculty								
		c. Producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood	VSUIHS Faculty								
		d. Whose research work resulted in an extension program	VSUIHS Faculty								
MFO 2	Higher Education Services										
	1. Curriculum Program Management Services										
	<15 = 4.0 15 - 17.99 = 4.5 18 & above = 5.0	PI 1: Total FTE monitored (Higher Ed and Basic Ed)	VSUIHS Faculty	0	31.50	5	5	5	5.00		
	0= 3% 1-25%= 4 26-100% = 5.0	PI 2: Percentage of undergraduate curricular program compliant to CMO, approved and offered		NA							
	below nat'l = 4.0	PI 3: Average percentage passing in licensure exam		NA							
	above nat'l up to 75% = 4.5 76 - 100% = 5.0	PI 4: Percentage of first time licensure exam takers who passed the licensure exam		NA							
	0 graduate = 3.0 100% or more = 5.0	PI 5: Number of graduates who graduated within prescribed period		NA							
	No increase = 4 1 - 10% = 4.5	PI 6: Percentage increase in the number of undergraduate students who graduated within prescribed period		NA							

> 10% = 5.0	PI 7: Percentage of graduates (two years prior) who are employed and/or pursuing graduate studies		NA						
0 linkage - 3.0 1 - 2 linkage = 4.5 >2 = 5.0	PI 8. Number of academe/industry linkages established		NA						
	PI 9: Number of thesis/special problem students:	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
100% = 5.0	a. Thesis outline/manuscript/Case Studies/Special Problems/OJT Narrative Reports/Engineering Projects/Portfolios advised	VSUIHS Faculty							
	b. Thesis outline/manuscript/Case Studies/Special Problems/OJT Narrative Reports/Engineering Projects/Portfolios	VSUIHS Faculty							
0 organization = 3.0 1 organization = 4.0 2 or more = 5.0	PI 10: Number of student organizations advised/ assisted	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	PI 11: Number of instructional materials developed/revised	VSUIHS Faculty	0	1	5	5	5	5.00	
	a. OBE-compliant syllabi	VSUIHS Faculty							
0 IEC = 3.0 1 IEC = 4.0	b. Teaching guides/Student guides/ Laboratory Manuals	VSUIHS Faculty							
c. Complete sets of teacher-developed audio visual materials (powerpoints, videos, computer games, etc)	VSUIHS Faculty								
	d. On-line interactive learning resources per subject	VSUIHS Faculty			4				
	PI 12. Number of instruction-related awards	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	

		PI 1. Number of published papers in peer- reviewed journals	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3	
		In refereed int'l journals								
		In refereed nat'l journals								
		In institutional journals								
	0 output = 3.0	PI 2. Number of patents/Utility Models/copyrights filed and registered	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	1 - 2 output = 4.0 3 - 5 output = 4.5 > 5 output = 5.0	PI 3. Number of research papers (oral presentation, posters, keynote speaker, plenary speaker) presented in regional/national/ int'l scientific/professional fora and conferences	VSUIHS Faculty	0	2	5	5	5	5.00	
		In int'l fora/conferences								
		In nat'l fora/conferences								
		In reg'l fora/conferences								
		In institutional fora/conferences								
	0 prop/proj = 3.0	PI 4. Number of research studies conducted	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	1 - 2 prop/proj = 4.5 3 or more prop/proj = 5.0	PI 5. Number of research proposals submitted	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	3 of more propiping – 0.0	PI 6. Number of research proposals approved	Research Commit	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	None = 3.0 100K - 1M = 4.0 1.1M - 5M = 4.5 >5M = 5.0	PI 7. Amount of research money generated from external funding (Thousand PHP)	VSUIHS Faculty		None					
	None = 3.0 50K = 4.5 >50K = 5.0	PI 8. Amount of research money generated from institutional funding (Thousand PHP)	VSUIHS Faculty		None					

		<b>PI 9.</b> Number of research outputs in the last three years utilized by the industry or other beneficiaries	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	2 or more = 5.0	PI 10. Number of research projects/studies completed within the year	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
		PI 11. Number of research-related awards (research conducted by faculty or student w/ faculty)	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
MFO 4	<b>Extension Services</b>									
	0 pds = 3.0 100 - 500 pds = 4.5 >500 pds = 5.0	PI 1. Number of trainees weighted by the length of training	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	0 training = 3.0 1 training = 4.0 2 or more = 5.0	PI 2: Number of trainings conducted	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	0 IEC = 3.0 1 IEC = 4.0 2 or more = 5.0	PI 3. Number of IEC materials/techno- guides developed/used	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	0 group/ind = 3.0	PI 4. Number of beneficiaries served	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	1 grp/ind = 4.0	Groups								
	> 2 or more = 5.0	Individuals			-					
		PI 5. Number of technical/expert services provided/rendered	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	0 services = 3.0	Research Mentoring			0					
	1 - 10 services = 4.0	Peer reviewers/Panelists			0					
	10 - 20 services = 4.5	Resource Persons			1					
	>20 services = 5.0	Convenor/Organizer			0					
		Consultancy			0					
		Evaluator			0					
	0 proposal = 3 0	<u>PI 6</u> . Number of extension projects conducted	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	

	proposar v.v							,		
	1 - 2 proposals = 4.5	<u>PI 7.</u> Number of extension proposals submitted	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	3 or more prop = 5.0	PI 8. Number of extension proposals approved	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	None = 3.0 100K - 1M = 4.0 1.1M - 5M = 4.5 >5M = 5.0	<u>PI 9</u> . Amount of extension money generated from external funding (Thousand PHP)	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	None = 3.0 50K = 4.5 >50K = 5.0	<u>PI 10</u> . Amount of extension money generated from institutional funding (Thousand PHP)	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	0 awards = 3.0 1 award =4.5	PI 11 Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course and advisory services as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	2 or more = 5.0	<u>PI 12</u> . No. of extension-related awards (extn. conducted by faculty or student & faculty)	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
MFO 5	Support to Operations (STO)									
	1. Faculty Development Ser	vices								
	0 faculty = 4 1 - 2 faculty = 4.5 >3 faculty = 5.0	PI 1: Number of faculty pursuing advanced degree programs	OVPI, ODAHRD, SFAC, Department							
	2. Faculty Recruitment/Hirin	g Services								
	0 faculty = 4 1 - 2 faculty = 4.5 >3 faculty = 5.0	PI 2: Number of faculty recruited/hired aligned with university RSP policy and competency-based HRM	VSULHS Personnel committee & APB							

## 3. Faculty Evaluation Services

	0 event = 3.0 1 - 2 events = 4.5 3 or more events = 5.0	PI 3: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops organized/coordinated for the entire university	OVPRE				
	0 event = 3.0 1 - 2 events = 4.5 3 or more events = 5.0	PI 4: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops organized/coordinated outside the university	OVPRE				
	90 - 100% = 5.0 60 - 89% = 4.5 <60% = 4.0	PI 5: Percentage of faculty rated by students with at least very satisfactory rating of the subjects evaluated	OVPI, Department, admin staff				
	0 event = 3.0 1 - 2 events = 4.5 3 or more events = 5.0	PI 6: Number of college/department- level seminars/trainings/workshops/ reviews conducted/ attended/ facilitated	OVPRE				
	4. Program and Institutional	Accreditation Services					
	100% programs = 5.0 <100% programs = 4.5	PI 1: Number of degree programs which passed accreditation/evaluation at least Level 1		NA			
	100% compliant = 5.0 <100% compliant = 4.5	PI 2: Degree program compliant with CHED requirements		NA			
MFO 6	General Admin. & Support Ser						
	0 complaint = 5.0	PI 1. Zero complaint from clients (complaints dropped in suggestion boxes, complaints on change of grades and other complaints)	OVPI, College, Department, Units				
	1 or more = 4.5	P1 2. Number of complaints addressed within the prescribed time	OVPI, College, Department, Units				
		PI 3: Additional Outputs					

	No initiative = 3.0 1 initiative = 4.5 2 or more initiatives = 5.0	Best practices/new initiatives/innovations	OVPI, College, Department, Units	1
		Values Restoration Program		
-	Total Over-all Rating		87.00	
	ting (Total Over-all rating)		3.22	
Additional	Points:			Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:
Punctu	ality			Maintain your motivation to work hard
Approv approval)	ed Additional points (with copy of			Maintain your motivation to work hard.  Has a leadership pokential.
FINAL RATI	NG			
ADJECTIVA	L RATING		Satisfactory	

Evaluated & Received by:

SHALEN GRACE C. SUGANO

Department Head

Date:

Legend:

Q1 - Quality

E<sup>2</sup> - Efficiency

T<sup>2</sup> - Timeliness

A<sup>4</sup> - Average

Recommending Approval:

ALELIA. VILLOCINO

Dean, College of Education

Date:

Approved by:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS

Vice Pres. for Instruction

Date:

Rating Scale:

4.6 - 5.0 Outstanding

3.8 - 4.5 Very Satisfactory

3.0 - 3.7 Satisfactory

2.2 - 2.9 Unsatisfactory

2.1 - & below Poor

### EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: <u>Dr. Leo A. Mamolo</u> Performance Rating: <u>Satisfactory</u>
Aim: To write a research proposal and submit to OVPRE for findings.
Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:
Date: April 2019 Target Date: April – June 2019
First Step: Attend seminar on Research Proposal writing
Result: Write a research proposal for endorsement to OVPRE
Date: Target Date:
Next Step: Prepare an OBEdized syllabus.
Outcome: Carry out responsibilities as an instructor.
Final Step/Recommendation:  1.) Publish more research to reputable journals.  2.) Attend seminars and trainings related to the field.
Prepared by:  SHALOM GRACEC, SUGANO, Ph.D.  Unit Head
Conforme:
LEO A. MAMOLO, Ph.D.  Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff