COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

ELENA I. MONTEROSO

| Particulars (1) | Numerical Rating (2) | Percentage Weight 70% (3) | Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| 1. Numerical Rating per IPCR | 3.8 | 3.8 x 70% | 2.66 |
| Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments | 4.0 | 4.0 x 30% | 1.20 |
| | TOTAL NUM | ERICAL RATING | 3.86 |

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

3.86

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

0.1

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

3.96

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

VERY SATISFACTORY

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

ELENA I. MONTEROSO

Name of Staff

MARIA AURORA T.W. TABADA

Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

TONIO P. ARAMO

Dean

Approved:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS

Vice Pres for Instruction

Visayas State University

INSTITUTE FOR STRATEGIC RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT STUDIES

Visca, Baybay City, Leyte

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW (IPCR)

I, ELENA I MONTEROSO Science Research Specialist -BIDA Coordinator of the BIDANI, ISRDS, Visayas State University, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following accomplishments in accordance with the indicated measures for the period July to December, 2018. State (1)

ELENA I. MONTEROSO

Science. Research. Specialist

| Date: | |
|-------|--|
| | |

| | | with | | |
|--------------|---------------|----------|------|---------------|
| MARIA | AURØRA | TERESITA | W. 1 | TABADA |

BIDANI Supervisor

| Date: | |
|-------|--|
| _ ~ | |

| | MFO | | | | | | | R | ating | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--|---------------|--------|-------------------------------|---------|------------|------------|---------|--------|
| MFO No. | Descrip- tion | Success Indicator (SI)/Performance Indicator (PI) | | Task Assigned | Target | Actual Accom- plishment | Quality | Efficiency | Timeliness | Average | Remark |
| UMFO 4. Extension Se | UMFO 4. Extension Services; BIDANI-Barangay Integrated Development Approach for Nutrition Improvement | | | | | | | | | | |

Component: BIDA-Barangay Integrated Development Approach - The Process of implementing the BIDANI Strategy thru advocacy/linkaging or generating and sustaining the active and coordinated participation of all sectors at various levels to facilitate adoption, implementation of the strategy to accelerate development at all levels. Also, build capacities of local government on systematic development planning, implementing, monitoring and & eveluating development Program/projects/activities (PPAs).

| OVPRE MFO 4.1. | 4.1 Advocacy/Linkaging/Partnership | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | SI.1 Number of SUCs adopted BIDANI as Extension Program/Project/Tool with MOA | Conducts Advocacy/social ma mobilization on the adoption of Program/Project /Tool and as program planning and manage | BIDANI as SUC extension GUs Development Strategy in | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4.0 | VSU-BIDANI satellite SUC, VSU-Isabel |
| | SI.2 Number of Local Government Units (LGUs) adopted/readopted BIDANI as a Development strategy in Local governance in program planning and Management of PPAs with SB Resolution/MOA /executive order | | | 331 | 351 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3.0 | Own catchment LGUs: Baybay =92 brgy Ormoc City- 110 brgys, Hilongos=51brg Inopacan =20 brgys, Mahaplag 28 brgys Leyte, Macrohon So. Letyte =30brgys. |
| | SI.3 Number of Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) bet. SUC-SUC & SUC-LGU /SB Resolution /Executive Order facilitated and signed | Facilitates the legitimization of Program/ Project/Tool of SUC LGUs | | 1 | 2 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | SUC-SUC-LGU, SUC-LGU, VSU Tolosa for for study, Mahaplag, Leyte for presentation to new ABC. |
| | S1.4 . Number of LGUs/partner stakeholders funded BIDANI training activities | Conducts/Attends/presents pro planning/meeting | posal during LGUs strategic | 1 | 2 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | Proposal approved for partnership development- Inopacan, Leyte and Macrohon So. Leyte for Training activit |

| | | (| | | | | | | | |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | S1.5 Amount of Funds generated/contributed from/by partners to finance training activities | | Condcts MTAC strategic planning-workshop for operationalizing BIDANI strategy and provided techical assistance in the prepatation of program of activities including resource allocation and mobilization. | 100,000 | 250,000 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4.0 | estimated amount utilize by partner SUC/LGUs for operationalizing BIDANI Strategy VSU-Isabel, Inopacan and Macrohon, So. Leyte |
| | <u>PI.1</u> Number of Stakeholders Advocated on the adoption of BIDANI Strategy | | | 25 | 81 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4.0 | Mayor, Vice mayor, C/MPDO/ Administrator, , Extension & Research Director, College/Campus Administrator/College Deans, Associatio of Barangay Captains (ABC), SB/SP members |
| | PI.2 Number of SUCs Extension Core team and LGUs Local Development Councils Sect oral functional committees organized & Strengthened | | Facilitates the organization/strenthening of SUCs extension core team and LGUs technical /sectoral functional committees as the machinery in implementing the BIDANI program in SUCs and development strategy in Local Governance | 8 | 17 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4.0 | Tolosa SUC BIDANI Core team-BIDA, BMIS & PNEA, LGUs functional committee/sect oral core group-Municipa Technical Committees of Macrohon Southern, Leyte and Inopacan, Leyte=8 sect oral cor group existing at the LGUs |
| | PI.3 Number of LGUs technical Department/agencies experts mobilized and participated in in operationalizing BIDANI strategy in LGUs program planning & management of PPAs. | | Coordinate/Collaborate participation of different stakeholders/technical experts in operationalizing BIDANI strategy in LGUs program planning & management of PPAs | 50 | 56 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3.0 | Sect oral Core group /Team 8 sect oracommittess MTAC/MNC: existing at the local levels including Government Agencies and NGOs. |
| | PI.4 Number of VSU Departments/Centers and NGAs and NGOs coordinated/collaborated for LGUs PPAs implementation | | Conducts linkage and partnership development | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3.0 | ISRDS thru the MAGPANGU APA project. Academe CAFS-ATI-LGUs partnership in testing extension modalities (BIDtANI strategy: serves as Assistant Project Leader) NGOs-Facilitate Clients /beneficiaries loan application to BIDANI micro-finance for IGPs, -OVPRE |
| | | | | | | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | |
| OVPRE MFO 4.2 | Trainings/Seminar | <u> </u> | Partnership Development | | | | | | | |

| | P2.1 Number of BIDA trainings conducted © Torientation Seminar © TOT/Reinforcement training © Sectoral Planning-Workshop of 8 sectoral committees/core group © Barangay Integrated Development Plan (BIDP) formulation workshop -City/Municipal/Barangay Nutrition Action Plan Formulalation Workshopp | Conducts training(TOT)/ reinforcement training /Seminar on BIDANI as SUCs extension program/project/tool and as a development strategy in local governance in program planning and management of PPA s | 10 | 21 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4.0 | SUC VSU- Isabel Trainers Trainig LGU Macrohon So.Leyte & Inopacan, Leyte - The mechanics in operationalizing BIDANI Strategy, Sect oral Planning Workshop and Barangay Integrated Development Plan (BIDP) Comprehensis Multi-Sect oral Development Plan |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | S2.1. Number of person-days trained. | No. of persons trained weightedx No. of weight of trainings | 200 | 1,277 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4.0 | SUCs staff and LGUs stakeholders |
| | S2.2. Number of persons trained | Coordinates/collaborates LGUs sect oral technical committees & SUCs experts in supports for training activities | 150 | 1,183 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4.0 | |
| | S2.3 Number of LGUs Sect oral Core group/committes formulated their Sect oral Plans. | Failitates fillinng-up and completion of sect oral form | 40 | 50 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3.0 | Inopacan, Leyte and Macrohon So. Ley |
| | S2.4. Number of LGUs formulated Barangay Integrated Development Plans (BIDP)/BDP/Comprehensive multisectoral development Plan . | Facilitate the formulation and completion of BIDP/ comprehensive Multi-sect oral plan/ book | 20 | 20.00 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | Inopacan, Leyte, BIDP is the consolidation of all the sect oral plans of the 8 sect oral core groups existing at the local levels. BIDP is the basis in coming up with LGUs AIP. Then AIP basisfor BNAP and other plans required by the LGU. |
| | | | | | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | |
| OVPRE MFO 4.3 IEC | Materials/Extension Packages | | | | | | | | |
| | P3.1 Number of IEC materials prepared and produced, distributed/presented (handouts, planning forms and guide in filling-up, brochure, programs, survey forms, monitoring forms, draft MOA, executive Order and slide presentation, workplan and reports. | Conceptualize/design/prepares/produces and distributes trainings/extension packages/Information Education Communication | 8 | 10 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | Brochures, training proposal, training program, planning forms Sect oral Activ Plan, Barangay Integrated Developmer Plan (BIDP) and guide in filling -up the forms (Municipal & barangay), draft MOV and executive order, ,Slide presentation workplan, reports: Distributed during advocacies and training conducted |
| | S3.1: Number of person provided with IEC materials distributed/Slides presented. | Provided during workshop as extension package in the pllaning-workshop | 200 | 2,340 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4.0 | Sect oral Action Plan , Barangay Integrated Development Plan (BIDP) an Guide in filling-up the forms |
| | S3.2. Number of training designed/proposal approved by partner stakeholders for partnership implementation. | Present during MTAC strategic planning/meeting -workshop and approval | 2 | 2 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | Inopacan, Leyte Sctoral and BIDP,AIP, BNAP. Macrohon So. Leyte, Sect oral and BIDP |

e e

| | | T | | · y | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|------------|-------|----------|----------|------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | S3 .3: Percent of request for IEC responded to within 3 days. | IEC were provided during the training period | 90 | 100 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4.0 | Planning forms utilized during workshop |
| | S4.4: Percent of recipients/stakeholders who rated IEC as satisfactory or better | | 90 | 99.7 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | Client/participants uses /filled -up the training handouts/materials provided and submitted to concerned agencies |
| | | | | | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | |
| OVPREMFO 4.4 Tec | hnical Backstopping Activites (done to par | tner stakeholders outside trainings- Coaching and | small d | osage trai | ning) | <u> </u> | ,L | | |
| | P4.1 Number of technical/expert services | Provids technical backstopping activities /advisory services to partner stakeholders/organization/groups/indiviualsoperationalizing BIDANI strategy /during strategic meeting/planningworkshop, implementation monitoring and evaluating PPAs. | 10 | 24 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | As member of the NNC./C/MNC C/MTAC/Sect oral core group ;quarterly strategic planning meeting /workshop at Technical Assistance for Monitoring and Evaluation (TAME) member of ECCD FII |
| | S4.1. Number of stakeholders/partners/clients/ beneficiaries provided with technical assistance/ services. Groups/individual | | 150 | 475 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4.0 | Sect oral Functional committee City/Municipal Technical action Committees, Municipal Nutrition Committee, National Nutrition Council, Also includeded the clients/partners tel/Cephone/emailed services |
| | S4.2 Percent of persons assisted who rated services satisfactory or better. | | 90 | 100 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | Participants submitted required output/outcome |
| | | | | | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | |
| OVPRE MFO 4.5 Other | rs | | | | | † | 1 | | |
| | S5.1 Number of awards and recognition received | Award on partnership initiatives development using the BIDANI strategy | 1 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4.0 | Cerificate/Plaque of Appreciation: LGU Inopacan, Leyte, Macrohon So. Leyte ar NNC-* |
| | Best practices/new initiatives | Introduced and Utilized logical map in planning with monitoring and evaluation scheme | 0 | 1.00 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4.0 | showed LGUs existing services and SU technical Department experise to harmonized LGUs development initiative |
| | | | | | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | |
| Total Over-all Rating | | | | <u> </u> | 19.2 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 19.2 | |
| Average Rating | | | | | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | |
| Adjectival Rating | | | | | | Very S | atisfact | ory | |

| Antabala Maria aurora Tw. Tabada |
|-----------------------------------------|
| MARIA/AURORA TW. TABADA |
| Dept./Unit Head |
| Dept./Unit Head Date: <u>2/18/19</u> |

1-quality

Evaluated and Rated by:

Recommending Approval:

ANTONIO P. ABAMO

Dean/Director Date: _____

2 -efficiency

3 - timeliness

4- average

Approved by:

Vice President

Date: _

Comments &
Recommendations for
Development Purpose:

Ms. Monteroso, having beer involved with BIDANI since the 1990s, is encouraged to write about the VSU BIDANI experience before she retires.

Annex O

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: July to December 2018

Name of Staff: <u>ELENA I. MONTEROSO</u> Position: <u>Science Res. Specialist</u>

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

| | ule Scale Delow. Efficiency your rating. | | | | | | | | |
|-------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Scale | Descriptive Rating | Qualitative Description | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Outstanding | The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Very Satisfactory | The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Satisfactory | The performance meets job requirements | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Fair | The performance needs some development to meet job requirements. | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Poor | The staff fails to meet job requirements | | | | | | | |

| Α. | Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors) | Scale | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|-----|----|---|--|--|
| 1. | Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | |
| 2. | Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time | 5 | 4 |)3 | 2 | 1 | | |
| 3 | Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay | 5 | 4 |)3 | 2 | 1 | | |
| 4. | Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | |
| 5. | Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks | 5 | 4 |)3 | 2 | 1 | | |
| 6. | Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | |
| 7. | Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed. | 5 |)4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | |
| 8. | Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | |
| 9 | Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | |
| 10. | Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | |
| 11. | Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment | 5 | 4 | (3) | 2 | 1 | | |
| 12. | Willing to be trained and developed | 5 | 4 | (3 |)2 | 1 | | |
| | Total Score | 42 | | | | | | |
| B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor) | | | Scale | | | | | |
| 1. | Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | |
| 2. | Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | |

| 3. | Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|---|---|---|---|
| 4. | Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 5. | Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | Total Score | (40 | | | L | |
| | Average Score | (| Y | | | |

Overall recommendation

MARIA AURORA T. W. TABADA

Director