

SUMMARY OF INDIVIDUAL RATINGS OF FACULTY MEMBERS
WITH MULTIPLE FUNCTIONS

Name of Faculty Member: Engr. Juztine Jane L. Rebuyas

Program Involvement (1)	Percentage Weight of Involvement	Numerical Rating (Rating x %)	Equivalent Numerical Rating
(1)	(2)	(3)	(2x3)
1. Instruction			
a. Head/Dean (50%)		2.46	
b. Students (50%)		2.38	
TOTAL for Instruction	80%	4.84	3.87
2. Research	0%	0.00	0.00
3. Extension	20%	5.00	1.00
4. Support to Operation	0%		0.00
5. Administration	0%		0.00
TOTAL			4.87

*Instructor I- January-June 2019

EQUIVALENT NUMERICAL RATING: 4.87

Add: Additional Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.87

ADJECTIVAL RATING: Outstanding


Prepared by:


JUZTINE JANE L. REBUYAS
Name of Faculty


Reviewed by:


DIANA CHRISTA G. MILLOZA
Head, Dept. of Geodetic Eng'g

Recommending Approval:


ROBERTO C. GUARTE
Dean, College of Eng'g

Approved:


BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS
Vice President for Instruction



Department of Geodetic Engineering
College of Engineering and Technology
 Visca, Baybay City, Leyte, PHILIPPINES
 Telephone: (053) 525-0140 local 1027
 Email: dge@vsu.edu.ph
 Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, JUZTINE JANE L. REBUYAS, of the Department of Geodetic Engineering commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period of January to June 2019.

Date:

Date:

5 - Outstanding
4 - Very Satisfactory
3 - Satisfactory
2 - Fair

[illegible]

Number of hours spent on student manuscript outline/special problem consultation	Advising, Consultation, Checking and Editing	Spend nine (9) hours per sem	15	133.33%	20	5	5	5	5.0	Ampoloquio, MG., Cormanes, JR, Elan, F., Tizon, JR, Carreon, RR
Number of hours spent on student consultation other than manuscript outline/special problem consultation	Consultation/tutorial/ advising	Spend three (3) hours per week or 95 hours per sem	57	122.81%	70	5	5	4	4.7	Esci 122, GEng 152, Geng 146 students
Number of organizations advised										
Number of student-related activities assisted										
Number of Approved Manuscript submitted within the prescribed period	Approve manuscript/ special studies	Approve (3) Manuscript and/or special studies	2	200.00%	4	5	5	5	5.0	Ampoloquio, MG., Cormanes, JR, Tizon, JR, Carreon, RR
PI 4. Number of instructional materials developed/ revised and utilized:										
Syllabi										
New	Preparing/Organizing									
Revised	Reviewing/Revising									
Instructional Manual										
New	Preparing/Organizing									
Revised	Reviewing/Revising									
Lab Manual										
New	Preparing/Organizing									
Revised	Reviewing/Revising									
Powerpoint										
New (per course)	Preparing	Prepare presentations for newly offered subjects	5	180.00%	9	5	5	5	5.0	GEng 152
Revised (per course)	Revising	Revise existing presentation	2	150.00%	3	5	5	5	5.0	GEng 146

PI 5. Number of grade sheets submitted on prescribed period	Assessment of students performance	Conduct 5 quizzes/assignments, 4 long exams, 4 term exams , 3 laboratory exercises or a total of 16 student assessment	16	156.25%	25	5	5	5	5.0	Esci 122, GEng 152, Geng 146
	Assessment of students performance	Compute and submit midterm and final grades	4	150.00%	6	5	5	4	4.7	Esci 122, GEng 152, Geng 146

MFO 3. Research Services

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

PI 4. Number of academic lecture/laboratory rooms maintained										
PI 5. Number of heavy equipment maintained										
PI 6. Area of lawn maintained (sq.m, approx)										
PI 7. Number of office and laboratory equipment purchased										
PI 8. Zero percent complaint from clients served		No complaint received	0							
Number of Performance Indicators Filled-up						12				
Total Overall Rating						59.3				
Average Rating						4.94				
Adjective Rating						O				

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)		4.94
Additional Points:		
Punctuality	x	
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)	X	
FINAL RATING		4.94
ADJECTIVAL RATING		OUTSTANDING

Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:

- Improve teaching performance based on TRES result
- Attend trainings & seminars
- Conduct research & extension projects
- Publish research/extension results

Evaluated & Rated by:


DIANA CHRISTA G. MILLOZA

Head, Dept. of Geodetic Engineering

Date: _____

Recommending Approval:


ROBERTO C. GUARATE

Dean, College of Engineering

Date: _____

Approved by:


BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS

Vice President for Instruction

Date: _____

1 - Quality

2 - Efficiency

3 - Timeliness

4 - Average