University of the Philippines TACLOBAN COLLEGE

XDD.	Man	DIA
ALIV	90	LUAS

Date:

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMI	TMENT AND REVIEW (IPCR)

I, <u>CHRISTIAN P. MILITANTE</u> of the <u>Teaching and Learning Resource Center, UP Tacloban College</u>, commit to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the iindicated measures for the period <u>February 1, 2024</u> to <u>June 30, 2024</u>.

Outstanding	130% and above	5
Very Satisfactory	115% - 129%	4
Satisfactory	100% - 114%	3
Unsatisfactory	51% - 99%	2
Poor	Below 50%	1

CHRISTIAN P. MILITANTE	
Ratee	

				1						
beviewed by:		Date	c. Approved by:							Date
NELFA M. GLOVA Immediate Supervisor			PATRICIA B. ARINTO Head of Office							
Function Name	Key Performance Indicator	Acco	omplishments	% Distribution	q ¹	Rating 2 3 T		A ⁴	Ave. Score	Remairks
(d)	(e)		(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k = ave of h, i, j)	(I = g x k)	(m)
Strategic Functions N/A										
Core Functions										
Ensure balance between excellence and equity	KPI: Adequacy of student support services Measure: Percentage of students who requested and availed of TLRC services (computer/internet access, printing, photocopying) Target: 100%; promptly assisted within 10 minutes upon their arrival	5 5 5	assisted within 5 minutes on their arrival	40%	4	4	3	3.67	1.47	
Streamline University systems and processes	KPI: Efficiency of administrative system Measure: Percentage of faculty and administrative staff entertained/assisted with their printing needs, discussion room reservation, and other concerns Target: 100%; promptly entertained/assisted; within 5 minutes upon their arrival	1141 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 11	entertained/assisted within as upon their arrival	20%	4	4	3	3.67	0.73	
Support Functions										
Strengthen academic programs	KPI: Quality of academic programs: learning environment Measure: Percentage of TLRC activities for teaching and learning enhancement program assisted during its implementation Target: 100% efficiently assisted within the scheduled implementation		ntly asissted within the ed implementation	10%	3	4	4	3.67	0.37	

q. Discussed with	Date	r. Assessed by: y that I discussed my assessment of the performance with the	Date			\ <i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>	Final Ratin	Date	
Comments and Recommendations for Developments	opment Purposes								
	Satisfactory	3.00 - 3.99	L,.				/		
Legend for Final Rating	Very Satisfactory	4.00 - 4.99	Unsatisfactory Poor			2.00 - 2.99 1.00 - 1.99			
	Adjectival Rating Outstanding	Numerical Rating 5.00	,	ectival Rating	-	N	umerical Rai 2.00 - 2.99	- 1	
o. Final Rating	Adject					•	Numerica		
n. Total			100%						
Streamline University systems and processes	KPI: Efficiency of administrative system Measure: All office facility and equipment thoroughly checked to ensure cleanliness a functionality Target: 100%; thoroughly checked everyda		5%	4	3	4	3.67	0.18	
Streamline University systems and processes	KPI: Efficiency and productivity improvement Measure: Efficiency of coordination with other offices in relation to office activities ademands Target: 100% of communications and othe documents requested by other units and offices are efficiently coordinated and transmitted	documents are efficiently coordinated and	5%	4	3	4	3.67	0.18	
Streamline University systems and processes	KPI: Efficiency of administrative system Measure: Percentage of student accountability thoroughly checked and cleared within a specified amount of time Target: 100%; thoroughly checked and cleared; 5 minutes upon receipt of proof of payment	100% thoroughly checked and cleared; 5 minutes upon receipt of proof of payment	10%	4	3	3	3.33	0.33	
Streamline University systems and processes	KPI: Efficiency and productivity improvements Measure: Percentage of documents/report requested by the TLRC Coordinator successfully done on time Target: 100%; successfully prepared and donn time	100% of requested documents/reports successfully done on time	10%	4	3	4	3.67	0.37	

Legend:

1 - Quality

2 - Efficiency

3 - Timeliness

4 - Average

University of the Philippines TACLOBAN COLLEGE



								Dy		200/	-	
a.	INDIVIDU	AL PERFORM	ANCE COMI	MITMENT A	ND REVIEW	(IPCR)		Da	te:	my rorks		
I, <u>CHRISTIAN P. MILITANTE</u> of the <u>Teac</u> measures for the period <u>July 1, 2024</u> to <u>De</u>	hing and Learning Resource Center, UP Ta cember 31, 2024.	icloban College	, commit to	deliver and a	gree to be rate	ed on the a	ttainment	of the follo	wing targe	ts in accorda	ance with	the indicated
	Scale for									CHRISTIAN	P. MILITA	ANTE
1	Outstanding	130% an	nd above	5	1						Ratee	
	Very Satisfactory	115% -	129%	4	İ				Date:		_	
	Satisfactory	100% -	- 114%	3	1							
7	Unsatisfactory	51% -	- 99%	2								
V andre service	Poor	Below	v 50%	1								
b. Reviewed by:		Date	c. Approved	by:				111111111111111111111111111111111111111				Date
NELFA M	GLOVA					PATRIC	A B. ARINTO	0				
Immediate Supervisor						Head of Office						
100 100 100 100		Accomplishments		%		Rat	ting					
Function Name	Key Performance Indicator			s	Distribution	Q ¹	E ²	т3	A ⁴	Ave. Score	· '	Remarks
(d)	(e)		(f)	4.00	(g)	(h)	(i)	(i)	(k = ave of h, i, j)	(l = g x k)		(m)
Strategic Functions N/A		-										
Core/Support Functions		245										
Ensure balance between excellence and equity	Measure: Number of students who requested and availed of TLRC services (computer/internet access, printing, photocopying) Target: 300; promptly assisted within 10 minutes upon their arrival	100% prompth up	y assisted with on their arriva		40%	5	. 3	4	4.0	1.6		
Streamline University systems and processes	KPI: Efficiency of administrative system Measure: Percentage of Faculty and Administrative Staff entertained/assisted Target: 90%; promptly entertained/assisted; within 5 minutes upon their arrival	100% promptly entertained/assisted withi 3 minutes upon their arrival			5%	4	4	5	4.3	0.2		
Streamline University systems and processes	KPI: Efficiency and productivity improvement Measure: Percentage of functions (core, support, strategic) successfully done Target: 100%; successfully done on time in the preparation of documents/reports requested by the TLRC Coordinator and other offices	100)% done on tim	ne	15%	4	4	5	4.3	0.7		

61		ify that I discussed my assessment of the performance with the				W	k . •	\nearrow	
q. Discussed with	Date	r. Assessed by:	Dat	te		s,	Final Rasing	фу:	Date
Legend for Final Rating Comments: and Recommendations for Devel		5.00 4.00 - 4.99 3.00 - 3.99	Ų,	nsatisfactory Poor	_		2.00 - 2.99 1.00 - 1.99		
	Adjectival Rating	Numerical Rating	Adj	ectival Rating	g	N	umerical Rat	ring	
Final Rating	Adje	tival Very Satisfactory			•	•	Numerical	4.10	•
Total			100%				Ì	<u> </u>	
reamline University systems and processes	KPI: Efficiency of administrative system Measure: Efficiency of coordination with other offices in relation to office activities Target: 90%; efficiently coordinated	100% efficiently coordinated	10%	4	4	4	4.0	0.4	
reamline University systems and processes	KPI: Efficiency of administrative system Measure: All office facility and equipmen thoroughly checked to ensure cleanliness functionality Target: 90%; thoroughly checked everyda	and 100% thoroughly checked everyday	10%	4	3	4	3.7	0.4	
reamline U niversity systems and processes	KPI: Efficiency and productivity improvement Measure: Percentage of functions (core, support, strategic) successfully dor Target: 100% of communications and oth documents successfully transmitted on ti	er time	10%	4	4	5	4.3	0.4	
reamline University systems and processes	KPI: Efficiency and productivity improvement Measure: Percentage of functions (core, support, strategic) successfully dor Target: 100% of tasks assigned in the con of TLRC activities successfully done on tin	duct	10%	4	5	4	4.3	0.4	

Legend:

Name and Signature of Employee

1 - Quality

2 - Efficiency

1/18/1/25

3 - Timeliness

4 - Average

1/28/2025

Head of Office

NELFA M. GLOVA

Supervisor