



## JOB ORDER (JO) WORKER EVALUATION FORM

Name of Job Order Worker: **RANNIE B. VERIL**

Equivalent Job Title: **CLERK/dDRC**

Name of Evaluator: **JOHN ALLAN A. GULLES**

Date: **July 01, 2023**

Instruction to evaluators: Please write your comments on the performance and work ethics of the above JO worker and give your ratings by checking the appropriate number using the rating scale below:

5 – Excellent

4 – Very Good

3 – Good

2 – Fair

1 – Poor

Criteria/evaluation statement	Rating					Comments
	5	4	3	2	1	
<b>I. Work Performance</b>						
1. Performance of all mandated functions as listed in the contract	/					
2. Over all attainment of outputs agreed with supervisor	/					
3. Quality and timeliness in the attainment of agreed outputs		/				
4. Efficiency and customer friendly frontline service to clients	/					
5. Knowledge on the over-all aspect of the job assignments		/				
<b>II. Work Ethics/Attitude</b>						
1. Industriousness - setting clear & attainable objectives & taking targets seriously and responsibly	/					
2. Diligence and justice at work - prompt in accomplishing assigned tasks and submission of good quality outputs	/					
3. Responsibility - having the right intention, with a sense of duty and accepts all jobs assigned by the supervisor	/					
4. Practices teamwork - understanding and performing his/her role effectively and synergistically, share knowledge and provide a lending hand to needy co-worker	/					
5. Commitment to public service – reporting on time and willingly extend service if needed without thinking of additional compensation	/					

Evaluator's additional comments/recommendations:

What are the employee's strong points?

Interpersonal relationship among others

What are the employee's weak points?

What intervention would you recommend to make the JO worker more effective?

Consistent efficiency & effectiveness at work


Final recommendation:

☒ renewal of the contract for another 6 months  
☐ non-renewal of the contract due to below par performance

Certified Correct:

  
**JOHN ALLAN A. GULLES**  
Head, ILEU

Approved:

  
**MARIO LILIO P. VALENZONA**  
Director, FPO





## JOB ORDER (JO) WORKER EVALUATION FORM

Name of Job Order Worker: **RANNIE B. VERIL**

Equivalent Job Title: **CLERK/dDRG**

Name of Evaluator: **MARLON G. BURLAS**

Date: **January 10, 2023**

Instruction to evaluators: Please write your comments on the performance and work ethics of the above JO worker and give your ratings by checking the appropriate number using the rating scale below:

5 – Excellent      4 – Very Good      3 – Good      2 – Fair      1 – Poor

Criteria/evaluation statement	Rating					Comments
	5	4	3	2	1	
<b>I. Work Performance</b>						
1. Performance of all mandated functions as listed in the contract	/					
2. Over all attainment of outputs agreed with supervisor	/					
3. Quality and timeliness in the attainment of agreed outputs		/				
4. Efficiency and customer friendly frontline service to clients	/					
5. Knowledge on the over-all aspect of the job assignments	/					
<b>II. Work Ethics/Attitude</b>						
1. Industriousness - setting clear & attainable objectives & taking targets seriously and responsibly	/					
2. Diligence and justice at work - prompt in accomplishing assigned tasks and submission of good quality outputs		/				
3. Responsibility - having the right intention, with a sense of duty and accepts all jobs assigned by the supervisor	/					
4. Practices teamwork - understanding and performing his/her role effectively and synergistically, share knowledge and provide a lending hand to needy co-worker	/					
5. Commitment to public service – reporting on time and willingly extend service if needed without thinking of additional compensation	/					

Evaluator's additional comments/recommendations:

What are the employee's strong points?

works with less supervision

What are the employee's weak points?

nmc


What intervention would you recommend to make the JO worker more effective?

for permanent position

Final recommendation:

- ☒ renewal of the contract for another 6 months  
☐ non-renewal of the contract due to below par performance

Certified Correct:

  
**MARLONG G. BURLAS**  
OIC Head, OHILE

Approved:

  
**MARIO LILIO P. VALENZONA**  
Director, PPO

**Vision:**  
**Mission:**

A globally competitive university for science, technology, and environmental conservation.  
Development of a highly competitive human resource, cutting-edge scientific knowledge  
and innovative technologies for sustainable communities and environment.

Page 2 of 2  
FM-HRM-29  
VO 11-12-2021

No. 2023-01