



COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFFPHY

Annex P

ig ta

Name of Administrative Staff: LUCIO C. POLE JR.

| | Particulars (1) | Numerical Rating (2) | Percentage Weight (3) | Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3) |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| 1. | Numerical Rating per IPCR | 4.33 | 70% | 3.031 |
| 2. | Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments | 4.58 | 30% | 1.374 |
| | Fair require | TOTAL NU | MERICAL RATING | 4.405 |

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.405

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

4.405

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: FINAL NUMERICAL RATING

4.405

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Very Satisfactory

Prepared by:

Vision:

Mission:

Reviewed by:

Department/Office Hea

Recommending Approval:

Approved:

EDGARDO E.TULIN

Vice President

A globally competitive university for science, technology, and environmental conservation. Development of a highly competitive human resource, cutting-edge scientific knowledge and innovative technologies for sustainable communities and environment.

Page 1 of 2

FM-HRM-27 V0 11-12-2021





Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: July-December 2023

Name of Staff: LUCIO C. POLE JR.

Position: Foreman

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

| Scale | Descriptive Rating | Qualitative Description | | | | | |
|-------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 5 | Outstanding | The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model | | | | | |
| 4 | Very Satisfactory | The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements | | | | | |
| 3 | Satisfactory | The performance meets job requirements | | | | | |
| 2 | Fair | The performance needs some development to meet job requirements. | | | | | |
| 1 | Poor | The staff fails to meet job requirements | | | | | |

| A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors) | | | Scale | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-------|---|---|---|--|
| 1. | Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding. | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | |
| 2. | Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time | 5 | 4) | 3 | 2 | 1 | |
| 3 | Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay | | | | | 1 | |
| 4. | Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time. | (3) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | |
| 5. | Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks | | | | 2 | 1 | |
| 6. | Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work. | | | | | 1 | |
| 7. | Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | |
| 8. | Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | |
| 9 | Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university | | | | 2 | 1 | |
| 10. | Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | |

| _ | Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|--------------------|-----|------|---|
| 1. | improvement of his work accomplishment | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 2. | Willing to be trained and developed Total | - | 3) 4 3 2 1 1 35 | | | |
| B. L | Score eadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor) | 1.75 | S | cal | Pask | 1 |
| 1. | Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 2. | Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 3. | Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 4. | Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit. | - | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 5. | trackes monitors coaches and motivates subordinates | | 4 | 3 | 2 | • |
| | Total Score | • | 23 | | | |
| | Average Score | 9 | 4. | 58 | | |

| _ n | recommer | adation |
|---------|----------|---------|
| Overall | recomme | luation |

| beyond official time required by higher offices/agencies VEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory idening overtime work even without | MARIO LILIO VALENZONA Printed Name and Signature Head of Office |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her |

Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I. LUCIO C. POLE JR, of the PHYSICAL PLANT OFFICE commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period: July - December 2023

Approved:

LUCIO C. POLE JR.

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA Director PPQ

| | Success Indicator | Tasks Assigned | Target | Actual | | F | Rating | Remarks | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------|------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| MFO & Performance Indicators | | | | Accomplishmen t | ď | E ² | T ^a | A ⁴ | Kemarks |
| | PI 1: Monthly Accomplishments | Number of supervise workers | 30 J.O workers | 30 J.O workers | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4.33 | |
| MFO 1: Foreman Project Supervision | PI 2: Maintenance and Construction projects | Number of Survey Buildings & inspection | 6 on going project | 6 on going project | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4.33 | |
| | PI 3: Survey and inspection of VSU Buildings | Number of Job request serve | 30 | 35 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4.33 | |
| Total: | and experience of the second | and the second s | | | | | + | 13.0 | |
| Total Over-all Rating | | | | 100 | 6 | | 4- 0 5 | | ndations |
| Average Rating (Total Over-all ratin | | 4.33 | Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose: | | | | | | |
| Additional Points: | | | tor | Devel | opme | nt Purpo | se: | | |
| Punctuality: | | | | | - | | | | |
| Approved Additional point (with co | ppy of approval) | | | | BAS | IC OC | CCUPA | ATIONAL S | SAFETY AND HEATH |
| FINAL RATING | | | | 4.33 | | | | | |
| ADJECTIVAL RATING | | VS | | | | | | | |

Evaluate & Rated by:

Recommending Approval:

Approved by:

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA

Supervisor

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA

EDGARDO E. TULIN

VP. For Adm. & Finance

Date:___119/24

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

| Name of Employee: LUCIO C. POLE JR |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Performance Rating: JULY- DECEMBER 2023 |
| To develop skills and abilities in the organization needed to effectively performed the task. |
| Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance: |
| Date: JULY 2023 Target Date: NOVEMBER 2023 |
| First Step: Working as a team |
| Result: Improve intra-personal relationship |
| Date: AUGUST 2023 Target Date: DECEMBER 2023 |
| Next Step: Collaboration in the organization |
| Outcome: Building a working team • |
| |
| Final Step/Recommendation: |
| Effectively delivered the required service |
| CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF THE |
| Prepared by: |
| Conforme: LUCIO C. POLE JR. Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff |

of 2 M-27 2-2021