INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT REVIEW (IPCR) FORM

Rating Period: January - June 2023

I, SHERYL M. MERCADO, of CHED Regional Office VIII, commits to deliver and agrees to be rated in the attainment of the targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period JANUARY to JUNE 2023.



	N	141	4	ın
Poor	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Very Satisfactory	Outstanding

	5%	10%	5%	5%	5%	10%	10%	10%	15%	5%	10%		90%	WEIGHT	
Intervening Tasks	No. of outgoing SGS-L and SIKAP documents recorded in LGSO logbook	No. of CWs drafted	No. of SGS-L documents from the records unit received and logged	No. of SIKAP documents from the records unit received and logged	No. of SGS-L and SIKAP related concerns attended to via email	No. of SGS-L and SIKAP related concerns attended to via phone 10% calls/texts	No. of SGS-L and SIKAP related concerns attended to via walk-in 10% transactions	No. of SGS-L and SIKAP documents evaluated, processed and acted	No. of HEIs assisted	No. of activities conducted	10% No. of faculty members assisted with faculty development grants	Provision of Assistance to HEIs for K-12 & SIKAP Transition Program	HIGHER EDUCATION DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	SERVICES PROGRAMS / PROJECTS / INDICATORS	
	140	15	75	125	55	508	165	224	50	6	464			Targets	2023
	20	2	10	20	10	98	30	30	50	1	464	No.		Q1	
	30	4	20	30	15	130	35	57	50	1	464			Q2	Quarterly Targets
	45	4	20	35	15	140	45	62	50	2	0			Q3	y Targets
	45	CT .	25	40	15	140	55	75	50	2	0			Q4	
	14	з	10	24	20	102	39	45	52	1	464		STEEL STATE	Q1	Q
	38	7	25	46	23	168	50	64	52	2	464			Q2	arterly Acc
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			Q3	Quarterly Accomplishments
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			Q4	ents
	52.00	10.00	35.00	70.00	43.00	270.00	89.00	109.00	104.00	3.00	464			MENT	TOTAL
	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00		37.5	Q	
	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.00			Е	RATING
	4.00	4.00	3.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	3.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	S		7	NG .
	4.00	4.00	3.67	4.33	4.00	5.00	3.67	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.33			AVE	
	0.20	0.40	0.18	0.22	0.20	0.50	0.37	0.50	0.70	0.23	0.43	X		%	HTIM
										*see attached file	*data is not cumulative		STATE OF THE PARTY	REMARKS	

	2% Interr	5% certifi	2% No. o	1% No. o	WEIGHT	
	Internal and External Meetings	No. of events facilitated (Secretariat, prepared documentation, certificates & reports)	No. of office planning/assessment activities conducted/attended - minimum of 2	1% No. of days assigned at Information Desk	SERVICES PROGRAMS / PROJECTS / INDICATORS	
	12	4	2	6	Targets	2023
	з	1	0	1	Q1	
	3	1	1	1	Q2	Quarter
	3	1	0	2	Q3	Quarterly Targets
	3	12	1	2	Q4	
	4	2	1	2	Q1	Qu
	6	2	1	1	Q2	Quarterly Accomplishments
	0	0	0	0	Q3	omplishme
	0	0	0	0	Q4	nts
	10.00	4.00	2.00	3.00	MENT	TOTAL
	5	v,	ر د	5	۵	
	5	ú	ъ	5	Е	RATING
	5	5	5	ъ	7	NG
	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	AVE	
	0.10	0.25	0.10	0.05	%	нтім
The second secon	*see attached file	*see attached file			REMARKS	

100%

Prepared by:

SHERYL M. MERCADO

Reviewed by:

Approved by:

Immediate Head

Date: July 11, 2023

Director MAXIMO C. ALIBE, Ph.D., DPM, CESO III Date: July 11, 2023

Date: July 11, 2023 **Project Technical Staff**

- 1 Effectiveness/Quality: The extent to which actual performance compares with targeted performance (can be measured by quantity). The degree to which objectives are achieved and the extent to which targeted problems are solved. In management, effectiveness relates to getting the right things done.
- 2 Efficiency: The extent to which time or resources is used for the intended task or purpose. Measures whether targets are accomplished with a minimum amount or quantity of waste, expense, or unnecessary effort.
- 3 Timeliness: Measures whether the deliverable was done on time based on the requirements of the law and/or clients/stakeholders. Time-related performance indicators evaluate such things as project completion deadlines, time management skills, and other time-sensitive expectations.

Rating Scale:

Performance was consistently below expectations, and/or reasonable progress toward critical goals was not made. Significant	1 Poor	1
Performance failed to meet expectations, and/or one or more of the most critical goals were not met.	2 Unsatisfactory	2 1
Performance met expectations in terms of quality of work, efficiency, and timeliness. The most critical annual goals were met.	3 Satisfactory	3
Performance succeeded expectations. All goals, objectives, and targets were achieved above the established standards.	4 Very Satisfactory	4
Performance represents an extraordinary level of achievement and commitment in terms of quality and time, technical skills and knowledge, ingenuity, creativity, and initiative. Employees at this performance level should have demonstrated exceptional job mastery in all major areas of responsibility. Employee achievement and contributions to the organization are of marked excellence.	5 Outstanding	5