



JOB ORDER (JO) WORKER EVALUATION FORM

Name of Job Order Worker: **MARIA RHODA A. SALAS**

Equivalent Job Title: **Science Research Assistant**

Name of Evaluator: **ANABELLA B. TULIN**

Date: **January 3, 2024**

Instruction to evaluators: Please write your comments on the performance and work ethics of the above JO worker and give your ratings by checking the appropriate number using the rating scale below:

5 – Excellent

4 – Very Good

3 – Good

2 – Fair

1 – Poor

Criteria/evaluation statement	Rating					Comments
	5	4	3	2	1	
I. Work Performance						
1. Performance of all mandated functions as listed in the contract	✓					
2. Over all attainment of outputs agreed with supervisor	✓					
3. Quality and timeliness in the attainment of agreed outputs		✓				
4. Efficiency and customer friendly frontline service to clients	✓					
5. Knowledge on the over-all aspect of the job assignments		✓				
II. Work Ethics/Attitude						
1. Industriousness - setting clear & attainable objectives & taking targets seriously and responsibly	✓					
2. Diligence and justice at work - prompt in accomplishing assigned tasks and submission of good quality outputs	✓					
3. Responsibility - having the right intention, with a sense of duty and accepts all jobs assigned by the supervisor	✓					
4. Practices teamwork - understanding and performing his/her role effectively and synergistically, share knowledge and provide a lending hand to needy co-worker	✓					
5. Commitment to public service – reporting on time and willingly extend service if needed without thinking of additional compensation	✓					

Evaluator's additional comments/recommendations:

What are the employee's strong points?

The employee does overtime work when necessary.

What are the employee's weak points?

Sometimes slow in making follow-ups.

What intervention would you recommend to make the JO worker more effective?

Remind her that certain tasks need to be prioritized and should be facilitated.

Final recommendation:

☒ renewal of the contract for another 6 months
☐ non-renewal of the contract due to below par performance

Certified Correct:


ANABELLA B. TULIN
Study Leader

Approved:


DENNIS P. PEQUE
VP, Research, Innovation and Extension

Approved:


MA. THERESA P. LORETO
Director, ARI Center