



Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: March 2023 – June 2023

Name of Staff: Roderick M. Unajan Position: Admin Aide III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for	5	4	3	2	1

improvement of his work accomplishment					
12. Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1
Score	60				
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)	Scale				
1. Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2. Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3. Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4. Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5. Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score					
Average Score	5.0				

Overall recommendation : Can effectively work with all types of clients. Keep it up.


CHARIS B. LIMBO-RIVERA
 Printed Name and Signature
 Head of Office



JOB ORDER (JO) WORKER EVALUATION FORM

 Name of Job Order Worker: Roderick M. Unajan

 Equivalent Job Title: College Clerk/dDRC

 Name of Evaluator: Dr. MA. THERESA P. LORETO Date: _____

Instruction to evaluators: Please write your comments on the performance and work ethics of the above JO worker and give your ratings by checking the appropriate number using the rating scale below:

5 – Excellent 4 – Very Good 3 – Good 2 – Fair 1 – Poor

Criteria/evaluation statement	Rating					Comments
	5	4	3	2	1	
I. Work Performance						
1. Performance of all mandated functions as listed in the contract	/					
2. Over all attainment of outputs agreed with supervisor	/					
3. Quality and timeliness in the attainment of agreed outputs	/					
4. Efficiency and customer friendly frontline service to clients	/					
5. Knowledge on the over-all aspect of the job assignments	/					
II. Work Ethics/Attitude						
1. Industriousness - setting clear & attainable objectives & taking targets seriously and responsibly	/					
2. Diligence and justice at work - prompt in accomplishing assigned tasks and submission of good quality outputs	/					
3. Responsibility - having the right intention, with a sense of duty and accepts all jobs assigned by the supervisor	/					
4. Practices teamwork - understanding and performing his/her role effectively and synergistically, share knowledge and provide a lending hand to needy co-worker	/					
5. Commitment to public service – reporting on time and willingly extend service if needed without thinking of additional compensation	/					

Evaluator's additional comments/recommendations:

What are the employee's strong points?

He is very well-versed with ISO procedures.

What are the employee's weak points?

None, so far.

What intervention would you recommend to make the JO worker more effective?

None, so far.

Final recommendation:

☒ renewal of the contract for another 6 months
☐ non-renewal of the contract due to below par performance

Certified Correct:


MA. THERESA P. LORETO, PhD.
(Evaluator)

Approved:

MA. THERESA P. LORETO, PhD.
(Dean, CAS)