

Employee	Milleza, Pyana Rose T.	Date	2018-09-05 10-17-18
Leader	Charito T. Bonul	Designation	Choose an item.

Part I - Performance Discussion

1.	What	does	the	employee	think	he/she	did	well?
----	------	------	-----	----------	-------	--------	-----	-------

· Safety: Proper PPE, SLAM, housekeeping, checks equipments before Reports observed hazards to team leaders In cose of equiptment breakdown - reports to team leader to make work register. Quality: precision and accumayare mel

2. What does the employee think he/she could have done differently?

- . To be trouned on tasks not yet learned like worter analysis
 - CHy, Crta, Total hardnes, etc. willing to 20 work covertime)
 - Persistent willing to learn analysis done outside Fnv1- In Proxes Group

3. What does the Leader think the employee did well?

- Regularly attend PCOM meetings, wear PPES, fill up SUMM Reports to Team vender sufety anewns and equippined GC/BA protocol to analytical tack line. anne
- 4. What does the Leader think the employee could have done differently?
 - Proper PPE should be won always experially during titratum

training on Cr+4, Hg. MPsk, Cl, Tat Hardness, Sio_ of when sumple ; give head XPF nutrick For Improvement in authoritation of samples in Sample Manager



Part II - Core Values

Core Values	Below expectations	Meets expectations	Exceeds expectations	
Safety	No or low compliance to safety and environmental standards	Full compliance to safety standards such as but not limited to: Wears correct PPE / Regularly conducts preshift meetings / Uses appropriate risk management tools – SLAM, JSA / Prepares required permits Housekeeping / Work complies with or follows relevant environmental standards	 Influences behaviors of colleagues by being a positive model, through coaching, mentoring Recognized as a safety champion and/or environmental steward within and outside the organization 	
	Comments: mere are times not meaning safety glass in titrating. Regulary attend PCM Multings, fill upsimo Raise ametru (safety) to TL			
Sustainability	■ Lacks or no initiative, drive for improvement ■ Accepts status quo ■ Temporary, quick-fix solutions	 Initiates improvements within work group or team Seeks out new projects, ideas Uses systematic process in achieving improvements Incremental results implemented or sustained within the year 	 Initiates improvements across the organization Displays out-of-the-box, lateral thinking to come up with creative and effective solutions Breakthrough and sustained results more than 1 year 	
	Comments: Raised about Works of	t dilapidated cabinets. first W Samples with	sworter turn armul	
Passion	Avoids new, difficult or challenging tasksCruise control	 Demonstrates sense of urgency for performing tasks Takes initiative 	 Volunteers or takes on 'thankless jobs' - difficult tasks regardless of reward or recognition 	



Core Values	Below expectations	Meets expectations	Exceeds expectations
	Very comfortable or content with routine work	 Does not give up easily (or at all) Initiate projects, tasks they are interested in Takes responsibility for personal learning and growth 	 Works on projects, tasks outside of defined responsibilities Works or engages with people outside of work group or organization to mobilize and/or leverage resources
	Comments: • Helps co-wor • starts specifi regular samp	al samples if it can be done	together with
Integrity	 Denies responsibility for own words and actions; tends to blame others Usually unreliable to act on committed actions Undermines trust within the workgroup or organization 	 Takes responsibility for words and actions Demonstrates consistency in using a constructive words and actions ('walks the talk') Delivers on commitments most of the time Builds trust within / workgroup Speaks his/her mind 	 Delivers on commitments with high consistency and reliability Fosters trust and respect across the organization Consistently provides constructive feedback Courage
	Comments: . Accepts fau to happen a , with palab	l lts/mistakes, learn from it gain (as much as possible). ra de horor	& avoiding it
Collaboration	 Strained work relationships Difficulty building and/or maintaining productive work relationships Not a team player, disrupts team process Jeopardize achievement of team goals Difficulty working with others Avoids conflict Unwilling to resolve conflict 	 Effective work relationships allow for achievement of shared goals Works effectively and cooperatively with others, team player Collaboration within team, work group Work with others in resolving conflicts within reasonable period 	 Builds productive, mutually beneficial relationships to solve problems and achieve common goals Creates new partnerships or expands sphere/circle of influence with stakeholders outside of the work group and/or organization to achieve multi-faceted, cross- functional/shared goals



Core Values	Below expectations	Meets expectations	Exceeds expectations		
T - P - GU - S - V - A - V - V - V - V - V - V - V - V	Unable to resolve or constructively manage conflicts	Proposes middle ground solutions allowing group to move forward	 Proactively addresses conflicts — anticipates conflicts and addresses these skillfully before becoming Always seeks and usually achieves 'win- win' resolutions 		
		shift (weighs endorsed sar usy doing its assigned tas			
	·willing to	analyze samples from other	group.		
Quality	 Work is below agreed specifications (cost, quality, time, delivery) Unable to or difficulty distinguishing what is quality work Unable to or difficulty recognizing and/or correcting mistakes Fails to learn from mistakes Relies on others to check on own work 	 Work meets agreed specifications most of the time (cost, quality, time, delivery) / Learns and corrects own mistakes most of the time / Takes action to ensure errors do not recur / Able to check own work to ensure accuracy and completeness / 	 Work is consistently of high caliber vis-à-vis peers/colleagues Work creates more value than original intent or purpose Takes action to minimize or mitigate impact of errors/mistakes to a negligible levels 		
	Comments: . If Cs can't be attained, cleaning of equipment is done or reprepare Cs if necessary.				

DYANA ROSE 1. MILLEZA
[Name of employee]

Choose an item.

10-17-18 2018-09-05

Date

Charity T. Monut [Name of Leader]

2018-09-05

Choose an item.

Date





Employee Name	Dyana Rose T. Milleza	Date	2019-07-22
Immediate Leader	Charito T. Boñul	Designation	Team Leader

Part I - Performance Discussion

1.	What	does	the	employee	think	he/s	he did	d well?
----	------	------	-----	----------	-------	------	--------	---------

Ensures results are relayed on time

Organized and systematic- has a system to prepare and analyze the samples (arranging them according to priority)

Collaboration with workmates-emphasizing teamwork to get done with the job assigned Takes initiative

Has undergone training on mercury and Cr+6 analysis in water samples

- 2. What does the employee think he/she could have done differently?
 - a) Enhance analytical skills when trained on the following: NovAA (more training) and Agilent AAS operations MIBK method of analysis of water samples Glass bead analysis by Glass bead-XRF method.
- 3. What does the Leader think the employee did well?

More safety conscious. Raise safety concerns

Support 5S project of the lab

Can analyze mercury and Cr+6 in water samples thereby enhancing flexibility on manpower scheduling

Trained on tri-acid digestion

- 4. What does the Leader think the employee could have done differently?
- a) Could enhance analytical skills if trained on the following
 - 1. NovAA (more training) and Agilent AAS operations
 - 2 MIBK method of analysis in coastal water samples
- b) Courage to issue permit to work and work permits



Part II - Core Values

Core Values	Below expectations	Meets expectations	Exceeds expectations
Safety	No or low compliance to safety and environmental standards Comments: Wears complete PPEs, regulation regulations of the safety conscious	Full compliance to safety standards such as but not limited to: Wears correct PPE Regularly conducts preshift meetings Uses appropriate risk management tools—SLAM, JSA Prepares required permits Housekeeping Work complies with or follows relevant environmental standards	 Influences behaviors of colleagues by being a positive model, through coaching, mentoring Recognized as a safety champion and/or environmental steward within and outside the organization
Sustainability	Lacks or no initiative, drive for improvement Accepts status quo Temporary, quick-fix solutions	 Initiates improvements within work group or team Seeks out new projects, ideas Uses systematic process in achieving improvements Incremental results implemented or sustained within the year 	 Initiates improvements across the organization Displays out-of-the-box, lateral thinking to come up with creative and effective solutions Breakthrough and sustained results more than 1 year
	Comments: Suggested a system (like Si SMST samples	i monitoring of APCT samples)	for monthly monitoring of
	■ Avoids new, difficult or	■ Demonstrates sense of	 Volunteers or takes on



Works on projects, tasks outside of defined responsibilities Works or engages with people outside of work group or organization to mobilize and/or leverage resources winish the work assigned
inish the work assigned
mon are wern assigned
 Delivers on commitments with high consistency and reliability Fosters trust and respect across the organization Consistently provides constructive feedback Courage
nstructive feedback agestions for rotational rest days
 Builds productive, mutually beneficial relationships to solve problems and achieve common goals Creates new partnerships or expands sphere/circle of influence with stakeholders outside of the work group and/or organization to achieve multi-faceted, cross- functional/shared goals



Core Values	Below expectations	Meets expectations	Exceeds expectations
	 Unable to resolve or constructively manage conflicts 	 Proposes middle ground solutions allowing group to move forward 	 Proactively addresses conflicts – anticipates conflicts and addresses these skillfully before becoming Always seeks and usually achieves 'win- win' resolutions
	Comments: Support 5S project of the lab Team work to achieve good of	quality results delivered on tim	e
, Quality	 Work is below agreed specifications (cost, quality, time, delivery) Unable to or difficulty distinguishing what is quality work Unable to or difficulty recognizing and/or correcting mistakes Fails to learn from mistakes Relies on others to check on own work 	Work meets agreed specifications most of the time (cost, quality, time, delivery) Learns and corrects own mistakes most of the time Takes action to ensure errors do not recur Able to check own work to ensure accuracy and completeness	 Work is consistently of high caliber vis-à-vis peers/colleagues Work creates more value than original intent or purpose Takes action to minimize or mitigate impact of errors/mistakes to a negligible levels
	Comments: Make sure that relayed resewithin acceptable range	uits are precise and on trend a	nd values of CS used are

Dyana Rose T. Milleza [Name of employee]

Choose an item.

2019-07-22

Date

Charito T. Boñul

[Name of Leader] Choose an item. 2019-07-22

Date



2019 YEAR-END PERFORMANCE REVIEW FORM 2 (PRF2)

Final Individual Performance Discussion - WITH RATING

Employee	Dyana Rose T. Milleza	Date	February 10, 2020
Leader	Charito T. Boñul	Designation	Team Leader

Process:

55;
The Leader summarizes the Employee performance review in reference to the initial performance discussion and Employee's individual performance throughout the year based on Employee's behavior towards the company values, project completion and participation in the team's performance and then completes PRF2.
The Leader determines <i>preliminary rating</i> of the Employee's performance on 1-4 scale. <i>Do not discuss rating with employee yet.</i>
The Leader and Manager (Manager once removed) meet to discuss rationale behind the rating.
The Manager holds a review of ratings with the Superintendents to discuss the performance of Employees and achieve agreement on performance appraisal ratings.
The Leader holds a formal 1 on 1 review meeting with the Employee to discuss Employee's individual performance.
Once discussions are complete, Leader communicates the final assessment rating and rationale behind the rating.
The Employee and Leader agree on actions for improving performance.
The Employee and Leader agree on development requirements and actions. These must be consistent with the needs of the business.
The Employee and Manager document results of review and ensure the PRF2 is completed and signed.
The Manager (or Manager once removed) signs the form. Employee and Leader each keep a copy; and submit a copy to HRBP.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

- THE FORMAL REVIEW MEETING BETWEEN THE LEADER AND EMPLOYEE CANNOT OCCUR UNTIL
 AFTER THE MANAGER (OR MANAGER ONCE REMOVED) MEETING AND REVIEW HAVE TAKEN PLACE
- STEPS 1, 2, 3 AND 4 ABOVE MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO CONDUCTING THE FORMAL REVIEW MEETING WITH THE EMPLOYEE.

Part I - Final Performance Discussion

1. What does the Employee think he/she did well?

Learned new methods like tri-acid digestion Learned how to operate new equipment like Agilent AAS and new TU analyzer Supported 5S program of the lab

What does the Employee think he/she could have done differently?

Enhance analytical skills if trained on the following:

- a) Operation of TCLP equipment
- b) Thorough training on MIBK analysis, Oil and grease in water samples
- 3. What does the Leader think the Employee could have done differently?
 - 1. Should have the courage to issue permit ot work and associated work permits
 - 2. Thorough training on the ff:
 - a. Fluorine by Fusion -ISE method,
 - b. Glass bead fusion-XRF
 - c. MIBK method and Oil and Grease analysis in water samples
- 4. What does the Leader think the Employee did well?
 - 1. Learned new methods of analysis:

Hg, Cr+6 in water samples

Tri-acid digestion,

- 2. Learned to operate new lab equipment such as
 - a) AGILENT AAS
 - b) New TU analyzer
- 3. Supports 5S program of the lab
- 4. Accepted the challenge as full time trainee of the new TU analyzer conducted last September 2019

Part II - Final Evaluation of Performance

Consider overall performance, both positive and negative throughout the year based on Employee's behavior towards the reorganization, company values, project completion and participation in the team's performance. Please provide specific examples or evidence.

Overall Comments on Performance:

Showed enthusiasm when trained on new procedure and analytical equipment and accepting the challenge as full time trainee on the operation of new TU analyzer last September 2019
Support 5S program of the lab

Safety conscious

However needs the courage in issuing permit to work and associated work permit

Follow QA/QC protocol to ensure analytical results are released with good quality and on time

Performance Rating: Considering overall performance during the review period, circle as appropriate

4 Exceeds Expectations Significantly exceeded the objective or standard(s).

3)— Meets Expectations Met (or somewhat exceeded) required objective or standard(s).

2 – Needs Improvement Made some progress in achieving the expectations, but did not meet the required

objective or standard(s).

1 - Not Achieved Is clearly not performing to expectations. Results are far from the required

objective or standard(s).

DO <u>NOT</u> COMMUNICATE PERFORMANCE RATING WITH EMPLOYEE <u>UNTIL AFTER</u> THE MANAGER REVIEW.

Part III - Individual Development Plan

Improvement Plan

Agreed between Leader and Employee

- What work behavior is currently productive and should be enforced?
- What work behavior should be changed to be more productive?
 - 1. Can perform multitasking task
 - 2. Courage in issuing work permits

Development Requirements

- What development actions are needed? (These can be training or on the job experiences)
- Development actions must be consistent with the needs of the business

Needs further training on:

- 1. Oil and Grease analysis in water samples
- 2. MIBK method of analysis of water samples
- 3. TCLP operation
- 4. Tellurium analysis of Copper Telluride samples

Dyan Rose T. Milleza

February 10, 2020

Cflorut

February 10, 2020

Dyan Rose T. Millez Staff Date

Charito I. Boni Team Leader Date

<signature> ND Natangcop
[Nagraphyagas] Nagraphyagas

January 20, 2020

Date

Choose an Item.